1.6 CDM 2007 - 2015 - DIOHAS Views on 'Risk Terminology'

CDM Regulations 1994, 2007 & 2015 - Target setting legislation.

Non prescriptive risk management as opposed to prescriptive solutions to risk problems. Requires the exercise of individual and team judgement in the context of all design issues.

But lack of prescription means examples poor, best, good or acceptable practice case studies missing.

Therefore RIBA are producing the Toolkit of good CDM case studies.

The Regulations are open to wide interpretation

What is Proportionate - this is different to different people?

SFARP - so far as is reasonably practicable? ICE report?

Right information, to the right people, at the right time-examples?

What is suitable and sufficient?

What is proportionate and practicable?

Industry reaction have been:

- Unduly risk averse
- Risk averse

CDM COMPLIANT or MINIMALLY COMPLIANT? Is there a difference?

- Risk negligent
- Professionally negligent
- Malicious Compliance

A lack of understanding of the issues has led to a design disconnect between designers, CDM-C's/Principal Designers of the industry.