

**MINUTES**

**ASH Redesign Campus Planning – Partnerships Work Group**

Monday, February 3, 2020 • 2:00-3:00pm • HDB 2.126

---

**Agenda**

1) Introductions
2) Project Overview
3) Previous RFI
4) Work Group Goals
5) Timeline for recommendations

---

**Attendees:**

- Francela Williams – Work Group Chair, HHSC Hospital Planning System
- Brandi Hart – Chief Administrative Officer at Shoal Creek Hospital
- Lynn Sherman – Chair of Central Texas Recovery Network
- Octavio Martinez – Executive Director for Hogg Foundation
- Sydney Harris – Director of Mental Health Care Redesign at Dell Medical School
- David Evans – CEO with Integral Care
- Darrin Acker – Executive Director at Community for Recovery at ASH
- Alison Barajas – EA for Sydney Harris

---

**Minutes:**

*Introductions:*
The group introduced themselves, provided detail about their background and why they are in the group.

*Project Overview:*
A member reviewed the Timeline (attached) and indicated additional information about the project can be found at ashredesign.org, executive summary. Highlights were discussed along with key milestones and expectation of continuing timeline. It was clarified that the current adult facilities on the property will be combined into the one new hospital building. It was also clarified that any new partners would be able to decide whether to remove or renovate a building and that all funds must come from the entity wishing to utilize the space – no funding from HHSC will be utilized for this.

*Previous RFI:*
The group discussed details of what the previous RFI entails (see attachment) and what could potentially be needed in a new RFI. A request was made for additional materials and information to be available before the group could provide recommendations on a timeline. This information included a current map of the campus that identifies current available space, upcoming available space, and anticipated future available space; whether the buildings will need to have a cohesive exterior appearance; if there are universal assessments that have
already been completed which potential partners can use for cost analysis; if there are any potential land areas that are historically known to have contaminants; and what the average timeline HHSC uses for RFI/RFP. The group would like to know what the plan is to communicate with the previous RFI applicants, which will be reviewed. It was asked whether current partner contracts would remain intact, the expectation is yes, they will. The group also asked about other planning efforts around government agencies and non-profit groups and whether they would need to go through the RFI process as well. The group expressed a desire to have clear guidelines for those who are applying through the RFI.

*Work Group Goals*:
Time ran out, so this topic was not discussed at this meeting.

*Timeline for recommendations*:
Time ran out, so this topic was not discussed at this meeting other than to confirm more information is needed before any recommendations can be made.

*Close*:
Items to be followed up on:
1) Chair to obtain current map of property that includes current buildings, state of building (occupied, empty, etc.) as well as proposed land development sites available to potential partners.
2) Chair and a member to compile existing universal assessments of the property that can be presented to potential partners for cost analysis purposes (including whether a building is or is not inhabitable, can be renovated, needs to be demolished, has contaminants, etc.).