High-risk infrastructure projects pose imminent threats to forests in Indonesian Borneo
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Indonesian Borneo (Kalimantan) sustains ~37 million hectares of native tropical forest. Numerous large-scale infrastructure projects aimed at promoting land-development activities are planned or ongoing in the region. However, little is known of the potential impacts of this new infrastructure on Bornean forests or biodiversity. We found that planned and ongoing road and rail-line developments will have many detrimental ecological impacts, including fragmenting large expanses of intact forest. Assuming conservatively that new road and rail projects will influence only a 1 km buffer on either side, landscape connectivity across the region will decline sharply (from 89% to 55%) if all imminently planned projects proceed. This will have particularly large impacts on wide-ranging, rare species such as rhinoceros, orangutans, and elephants. Planned developments will impact 42 protected areas, undermining Indonesian efforts to achieve key targets under the Convention on Biological Diversity. New infrastructure will accelerate expansion in intact or frontier regions of legal and illegal logging and land colonization as well as illicit mining and wildlife poaching. The net environmental, social, financial, and economic risks of several imminent projects—such as parallel border roads in West, East, and North Kalimantan, new Trans-Kalimantan road developments in Central Kalimantan and North Kalimantan, and freeways and rail lines in East Kalimantan—could markedly outstrip their overall benefits. Such projects should be reconsidered in light of rigorous cost-benefit frameworks.

Infrastructure expansion is occurring at a dramatic rate across the globe. Paved roads have increased by ~12 million km worldwide since 2000, with an additional ~25 million km projected by mid-century1,2. Countries with extensive tropical forest areas are becoming the epicentres of this infrastructure expansion3-5, threatening many of the world's biologically richest ecosystems6-11. Globally, 110 million hectares of tropical forest were lost between 2000 and 2012, frequently in the aftermath of infrastructure expansion12.

Borneo (Fig. 1) sustains the largest intact forest area in Southeast Asia. These forests harbour a global biodiversity hotspot, exceptionally high species endemism, and large carbon stocks. They also provide important ecosystem services for local and indigenous communities. The ~37 million hectares of tropical forest in Indonesian Borneo (Kalimantan)13 comprises diverse ecosystems including montane forests, lowland mixed-dipterocarp forests, and peat-swamp forests14-18. These forests have been undergoing clearance and degradation at varying but generally high rates19-21 since the onset of industrial-scale extractive industries half a century ago22. Since 1973, ~31% of all remaining forests have been lost22, with primary (old-growth) forests being the worst affected, declining by ~14.4 million hectares23. Key drivers include infrastructure expansion, logging, oil palm and wood-pulp plantations, mining, and wildfires associated with major droughts22,23.

Indonesia, the most extensively forested Southeast Asian nation, experienced the world’s highest annual rate of forest loss (2.2 million hectares) in 2011–2012, overtaking Brazil12. Over the same period, its road network increased by ~42%, with legally mapped roads expanding by ~151,000 km in length24. Many roads have markedly increased nearby forest loss and degradation, particularly those built through and adjacent to wilderness areas15,24. Such trends are slated to increase further under the Indonesian Master Plan for the Acceleration and
Expansion of Economic Development (2011–2025)\(^{26}\), which aims to sharply expand road infrastructure by 2025 via the development of six major economic corridors across the Indonesian archipelago\(^{26,27}\). One of these, the Kalimantan Economic Corridor, will sharply expand transportation infrastructure across Kalimantan\(^{26}\).

Among the infrastructure projects planned or underway in Kalimantan are an upgrade of 3,316 km Trans-Kalimantan Highway in southern Kalimantan\(^{26,28,29}\), 1,920 km of new roads (parallel border roads) in northern Kalimantan flanking the Malaysian-Indonesian border\(^{29–35}\), and additional highways and expressways in Central, South, East, and North Kalimantan\(^{26,29,36–38}\) (Fig. 1). The primary goal of this new infrastructure is to increase commercial connectivity and primary industries, particularly coal mining, oil palm, and industrial logging\(^{26,39}\). However, the major impacts of this spate of new infrastructure and extractive industries on native forest, biodiversity and relevant attributes—forest fragmentation, landscape connectivity and current forests pattern—in Kalimantan have not been quantified.

For instance, Kalimantan's forests are host to one of the two remaining habitat on the planet where orangutan, rhinoceros and elephant co-exist\(^{40}\). It also harbours several globally endangered species (e.g., Bornean orangutans \((Pongo pygmaeus)\), pygmy elephants \((Elephas maximus borneensis)\)) and iconic species (e.g., bearded pigs \((Sus barbatus)\), sun bears \((Helarctos malayanus)\)) that require large home range to maintain viable population\(^{42}\). Consequently, if planned and ongoing infrastructure expansion fragments forests and reduces landscape connectivity, it will likely seriously impact the key species and landscape ecological dynamics in Indonesian Borneo.

Here we evaluate how planned and ongoing infrastructure development in Indonesian Borneo could impact the spatial pattern, connectivity, protected areas, and overall environmental integrity of the region's native forests. This preliminary analysis underscores a number of potentially serious threats to ecosystems in the region.

**Results**

**Infrastructure expansion and forest spatial pattern.** Planned and ongoing road development will substantially alter the current spatial pattern of forests in Indonesian Borneo. Infrastructure expansion will create an additional ~97,000 ha of forest-edge habitat (forest <900 m from the nearest forest edge) — a 17% increase over current levels. Conversely, ~237,000 ha of core forests (forest ≥900 m from an edge) will be transformed into
other, non-core forest categories, primarily small forest patches and edge forests, due to increased forest fragmentation or clearing associated with infrastructure development (Fig. 2). Furthermore, ~392,000 ha of existing ‘bridge’ forest corridors connecting different core-forest areas (Fig. 2) will be impacted, potentially ecologically disconnecting large expanses of forest from one another. Finally, ~90,000 ha of existing ‘loop’ forests — those that connect different sections of a core-forest patch (Fig. 2) — will be created because of increased fragmentation. All these findings clearly indicate that planned and ongoing road development in Indonesian Borneo will substantially alter the current spatial pattern of forests, leading to large-scale degradation and fragmentation of currently intact forest and a marked decline of forest connectivity (Fig. 2).

Infrastructure expansion and landscape connectivity. Planned and ongoing road development in Indonesian Borneo will significantly reduce the connectivity of forests at regional scales. Our analysis shows that the Equivalent Connected Area (ECA) — a measure of the percentage of habitat accessible by wildlife based on the degree of network connectivity43 — is currently 89% for forested areas of Indonesian Borneo. If the planned and ongoing infrastructure expansion were to occur, this index would be reduced to 55% (Fig. 3), meaning that more than one-third (34%) of the accessible habitat in the region would be isolated from the remaining accessible habitat. This would create a number of additional isolated habitat patches across the Kalimantan region (Fig. 3, right panel). This process of patch isolation would predominantly occur via the bisection of larger habitat patches and destruction of numerous forest linkages that currently connect core-forest areas (Fig. 2).

Infrastructure expansion and protected areas. The planned and ongoing road expansion would have a major impact on existing protected areas in Indonesian Borneo. Using high-resolution data (30 m-pixel size) on protected areas, we found that planned and ongoing construction of new road and rail lines would intersect a total of 25 existing protected areas that are currently free from major road incursion (Fig. 4). Notably, a planned parallel border-road project in north Kalimantan will intersect a relatively intact protected area — Kayan Mentarang National Park — that is among the largest remaining protected areas in Indonesian Borneo (Fig. 4). Planned and ongoing projects would reduce the internal ecological connectivity of the protected areas and also the extensive trans-boundary connectivity between Indonesian Borneo and Malaysian Borneo (Figs 1 and 4). In addition to new infrastructure project, planned upgrades of existing roads will further impact 17 protected areas, particularly in southern Borneo (Fig. 4). This process would increase disturbances from earth- and road-works, increase erosion and stream sedimentation, and increase barrier effects44 to wildlife by widening existing clearings inside protected areas.

Infrastructure expansion and logging, oil palm, and wood fibre industries. The planned and ongoing road expansion in Kalimantan is likely to facilitate logging, oil palm, and wood-fibre industries in intact forest frontiers. We found that most of the planned and ongoing road developments are situated either inside or along the margins of primary or selectively logged forests adjacent to logging, oil palm, and wood-fibre concessions (Fig. 4). This pattern suggests that much road expansion will be at the expense of native forest. We also
observed that many ongoing and planned road developments are located along the margins of concessions adjacent to remaining primary or selectively logged forests (Fig. 4).

**Infrastructure expansion and environmental consequences.** Different segments of the planned and ongoing road and rail lines in Kalimantan have varying environmental risks. We classified planned and ongoing road and rail lines construction and upgrades into different categories, considering their overall potential implications for regional environmental integrity. Our analyses classified 634 km of new and upgraded road and rail lines in Kalimantan as very high impact i.e. these roads and railine segments will bisect current protected areas (Fig. 5). Furthermore, 1,472 km are classified as high impact, as these segments will cut through primary forest or peat land. Additionally, 1,242 km segments are classified as moderate impact, indicating that these segments will
cut through selectively logged forests or regrowth forests (Fig. 5). This categorization indicates that a substantial part of the planned construction and upgrade locations in Kalimantan coincides with current protected areas, peat land, intact forests, and selectively logged and regrowth forests.

Discussion
The planned and ongoing expansion of infrastructure in Kalimantan will significantly degrade large expanses of native forest. It will sharply increase edge forests and forest fragmentation while reducing core forest (Fig. 2). Our findings are consistent with several previous analyses (for example, refs 5,11) showing that road proliferation in tropical landscapes dramatically increases forest fragmentation and abrupt forest edges. The projected changes in spatial pattern will occur because a number of ongoing and planned infrastructure projects, such as parallel border road projects in West, East, and North Kalimantan, will cut through large core-forest areas. Such large-scale fragmentation will inevitably diminish key environmental and ecological values, such as disturbance-sensitive biodiversity, carbon storage, and hydrological functioning45–48. The fragmented forests would be more vulnerable to subsequent forest conversion via legal and illegal means, mirroring trends observed in the Amazon49, Sumatra4, and Malaysian Borneo50.

Indices of landscape connectivity measure the proportion of suitable habitat in a landscape that is accessible by wildlife populations. Our findings—including a marked loss of current forest linkages (Fig. 2), the creation of a number of isolated forest patches, and a 34% decline in overall landscape connectivity (Fig. 3)—indicate that planned projects would have major impacts on forest connectivity. The consequences of this severe connectivity loss may be dire for the unique biodiversity of Borneo, particularly for several globally endangered animals that have large home ranges, such as Bornean orangutans (Pongo pygmaeus) and pygmy elephants (Elephas maximus borneensis), as well as iconic species such as bearded pigs (Sus barbatus) and sun bears (Helarctos malayanus) that will travel hundreds of kilometers in response to mast fruiting41,42,51. The critically endangered Sumatran rhinoceros (Dicerorhinus sumatrensis) may also persist in tiny numbers in Kalimantan. Planned projects could pose severe threats to the viability of these rare and space-demanding species, in part by massively reducing forest connectivity between Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo, which have long existed as a single forest block.

Many wildlife species — including many species actively poached and traded illegally — would become more vulnerable to poachers and hunters from increased road access and forest fragmentation. Similar consequences were reported from African tropical forests where forest elephants (Loxodonta cyclotis) declined by more than 60% between 2002 and 2011, largely due to the increased access for poachers and commercial hunters from forest-road expansion52–54. In Peninsular Malaysia, ~90% of snares and poaching camps in a studied forested area were found within 5 km of a paved road55. Similarly, Sumatran orangutans (Pongo abelii) and Tapanuli orangutans (Pongo tapanuliensis) in Sumatra are both critically endangered by infrastructure proliferation in their remaining habitat4,10,56. Beyond these iconic species, infrastructure would also negatively affect forest-interior small mammals, arboreal species, and birds44,57–59, among others.

Our analyses suggest that planned and ongoing infrastructure expansion in Kalimantan will be highly disruptive to protected areas, by diminishing their connectivity to nearby forests and increasing encroachment
and poaching. If all planned infrastructure expansion proceeds, 42 protected areas will be impacted to varying degrees (Fig. 4). This process will undermine the Indonesian commitment to achieve the Aichi Target 11 of the Convention on Biological Diversity that aims to ensure that by 2020 at least 17% of the total terrestrial area will be represented by well-connected protected area systems26. Both at the regional (Kalimantan) and national scale, Indonesia is currently well behind schedule to achieve this target and immediate actions to maintain or improve protected-area connectivity are essential61,62. Road construction facilitates deforestation and degradation of protection forest, and is one of the main causes of declining effectiveness of protected areas across Indonesia63 and the world64.

If major infrastructure projects in Kalimantan proceed as planned, the majority of remaining old-growth forests (unlogged primary forests) and peatlands in Kalimantan may become accessible, threatening their near-term degradation (Fig. 4). This would parallel current and historical trends of deforestation in Borneo31–33 and elsewhere in Indonesia21. The current Indonesia Master Plan (2011–2025) as presently framed will lead to sharp increases in forest loss and disruption and reductions in their associated environmental services, such as the high levels of carbon storage in upland and peatland forests, which would have global implications for climate change65,66.

If completed as planned, the overall environmental risks posed by infrastructure expansion in Indonesian Borneo would be extremely high. Our categorization of over 3,300 km of planned and ongoing road and rail lines as very high impact, high impact, and moderate impact, underscores the high environmental risks involved in current development schemes. We assert that new road and rail-line segments categorized as very high impact should not proceed on environmental grounds. Upgrading of road and rail line segments categorized as high impact and moderate impact should be implemented only with a focus on limiting their environmental impacts via stringent mitigation and offsets measures, the establishment of new protected areas along road routes8, and improved law enforcement following road development87,88. New road and rail-line segments categorized as moderate impact should be implemented with a clear focus on limiting their impacts, following proactive land-use zoning, and ensuring appropriate mitigation and offset measures89,70 and stringent monitoring and law enforcement. The extremely high environmental cost of road segments could provide a rationale for curtailing or cancelling the projects. The parallel border road projects in West Kalimantan, East Kalimantan and North Kalimantan provinces, and the development of Trans-Kalimantan Highway segments in Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan and East Kalimantan provinces (Fig. 5), are likely to contribute most substantially to environmental degradation.

The goal of current infrastructure expansion in Kalimantan is to enhance economic development and integration26 by 2025, with an explicit assumption that infrastructure expansion is necessary for the economic growth of the region. Infrastructure expansion in Kalimantan is clearly needed but must be strategically located and designed, considering unique environmental and other attributes of the region69,70. Otherwise, it can open a Pandora’s box of unplanned and unanticipated risks6,9, as identified here. Our study suggests that majority of the planned and ongoing road-infrastructure expansion projects in Kalimantan are based on unrealistic assumptions about their relative costs and benefits when the full range of environmental, economic, financial, and socio-political factors are considered.

Conclusion

The currently planned and ongoing expansion of roads and rail lines in Indonesian Borneo will have severe deleterious impacts on native forests in the region. These projects will promote and shape future investments, particularly for logging, mining, and oil palm developments69, but will have major impacts on existing forests and wildlife, and will carry serious and poorly recognized economic, financial, social, and political risks. There is an urgent need to reframe the current approach of these projects and infrastructure expansion in Kalimantan as a whole — using a transparent framework that considers the full range of their likely costs and benefits.

Methods

Planned and ongoing infrastructure expansion.

We obtained spatial data and maps of planned and ongoing roads and rail lines in Kalimantan from a variety of regional and national sources in an endeavour to include the majority of the planned and ongoing roads and rail lines in our analyses. We digitized parallel border roads and other planned and ongoing road developments from infrastructure maps of each of the five provinces of Kalimantan (West Kalimantan, East Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan and North Kalimantan)31–35 generated from the Indonesian Government Infrastructure Information website (http://loketpeta.pu.go.id/peta-infrastruktur). These maps contain planned and ongoing roads in each province of Kalimantan. We also digitized planned new and upgrade roads of Trans Kalimantan Highways from Potter38, and the Indonesian Master Plan (2011–2025)39, which identified the planned routes and other planned highways in Kalimantan. Furthermore, we digitized additional planned and ongoing roads in North Kalimantan and West Kalimantan71. The digitization procedure entailed geo-referencing maps and then tracing them in a GIS. Subsequently, we retraced the digitized GIS layer in Google Earth to acquire the maximum accuracy with respect to the alignment of the existing roads. The estimated locational error of the final digitized features were <200 m relative to Google Earth. We also compiled spatial data on the planned roads, rail lines and rail stations in North Kalimantan, and on the Samarinda Freeway from the Department of Forestry, Mulawarman University, Samarinda, East Kalimantan (Fig. 1). Extensive discussions to appreciate the ambitions, constraints, and precautions surrounding the planned and ongoing road expansion projects were held with relevant provincial and district planning offices (Bappeda), environmental agencies, Non-Government Organizations (NGOs) such as World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), and local community groups such as Balikpapan Bay Community Group in Balikpapan. The aim of these discussion was understanding of local pressing issues relevant to these infrastructure expansion projects.
Forest spatial pattern, and landscape connectivity. We conducted a morphological spatial pattern analysis (MSPA) to describe the spatial pattern of the current and future Kalimantan forests due to the infrastructure expansion. Analyses were performed using Graphical User Interface for the Description of Image Objects and their Shapes (Guidos Toolbox 2.6 version 4). The MSPA described the spatial configurations of Kalimantan forests by segmenting them into distinct elements of the forest landscape, namely core forest patches (forest ≥900 m from the nearest forest edge), edge forest (forest <900 m from an edge), bridge forest - forest corridors connecting different core forest patches, loop forest - forests connecting different sections of a core-forest patch, islet forest - isolated forest patches that are too small to contain core area (details MSPA analysis technique is available in Vogt and Vogt and Rüitters). MSPA thus simultaneously captures changes to both fragmentation and connectivity across a forest landscape while retaining spatially-explicit focus on critical individual forest patches, unlike similar approaches to fragmentation analysis. Our 900-m threshold defining edge forests is taken as a mid-range indicator of potential edge effects on forest patches. Edge effects can extend from a few meters to more than 2000 m from the forest periphery to its interior. An edge effect threshold of 1000 m has been widely used in road impacts research.

To understand landscape connectivity in Kalimantan forest and the potential changes due to the infrastructure expansion we conducted a network component analysis based on the MSPA outputs, using Guidos Toolbox 2.6 version 4. The analyses considered forested areas to be internally connected where they were contiguous bridged by at least one 150-m forest pixel. In this way we identified discrete, disconnected forest patches across Kalimantan, consisting of core forests and their links (i.e., bridge forest), and estimated the Equivalent Connected Area (ECA) relative index. This index indicates the percentage of the forested areas that are accessible to wildlife population, based on the degree of forest connectivity across the landscape.

For the above analyses, we classified primary forests, selectively logged forests, and regrowth forests as 'forest class', without discrimination among these constituent classes. This reflected our objective of evaluating forest connectivity with respect to non-forested areas in the landscape. Here, primary forests are relatively pristine forest without clear human disturbances according to satellite imagery. Selectively logged forests are those that have been disturbed by selective, usually industrial-scale logging to varying degrees since 1973. Regrowth forests are those that are roughly resembles old-growth forest in canopy structure but were likely young regrowth in 1973 according to satellite imagery. These forest land cover classes were according to latest 30-m Landsat derived land-cover classifications of Gaveau, et al. In this method, a general 'forest' class was classified using Landsat satellite imagery for various years between 1973 and 2015, and logging roads were visually interpreted and digitised within the forests of each year of this time series. Forest cover within 700 m of contemporary logging roads was then reclassified as 'logged forest' given that depressed canopy cover indicative of logging was notable up to 700-m distance as observed by Gaveau, et al. The forest cover datasets were resampled to 150 m spatial resolution for consistency with aforementioned Guidos analyses. We conducted the above analyses for the current landscape and also for the future landscape under projected infrastructure expansion, incorporating a 1 km buffer on both sides of planned and ongoing roads and rail lines (new and upgrade).

Protected areas, industrial concessions, and peatlands. To spatially evaluate the planned and on-going infrastructure expansion routes with respect to conservation areas and industrial development, we spatially overlaid planned and ongoing routes over protected areas, peatlands, different forests classes, and industrial concession maps for logging, wood fibre plantation and oil palm plantation in Kalimantan. The protected areas data were obtained from Salim because these data are more robust at a regional scale than other freely available protected-area datasets such as The World Database on Protected Areas. The protected areas encompass various forest designation, including protection forests, national parks, wildlife reserves, citizen forestry parks, sanctuary reserve, nature recreation parks and nature reserves. The spatial delineation and designation of the protected areas are according to national and provincial spatial plans. The peatlands distribution data were obtained from Wetland International Wetland Program, which was widely used by the Indonesian government, and the different industrial concessions were obtained from Global Forests Watch.

Environmental risks. We categorised planned and ongoing road and rail lines construction and upgrade into four categories to explore potential environmental risks from the respective road segments. Road and rail lines can be environmentally risky, if all road risks are not considered by the road proponents. The environmental risks considered in our categorisation are positively linked with habitat importance for wildlife (such as protected area), habitat sensitivity to disturbances (such as peat land), and forest intactness (such as primary forest). Considering all those notions, and providing a km buffer, we categorised planned and ongoing road and rail lines construction and upgrade into four groups: (i) very high impact – road and rail line segments that will bisect protected areas; (ii) high impact – road and rail line segments that will cut through primary forest or peat land; (iii) moderate impact – road and rail line segments that will cut through selectively logged forests or regrowth forests; and (iv) low impact – planned and upgrade road and rail line segments that will be located in currently non-forested areas.

Data Availability
Data available upon request.
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