Standing Up for Truth

The Role of Libraries in the Mis/Disinformation Age
“Misinformation rides the greased algorithmic rails of powerful social media platforms and travels at velocities and in volumes that make it nearly impossible to stop. That alone makes information warfare an unfair fight for the average internet user.”
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We should all agree that a well-informed, information-literate population is essential for democracy. We believe librarians are uniquely positioned to make that happen.

Across the political spectrum, people hold librarians in high regard (Geiger, 2017; Horrigan, 2016). Librarians have always been skilled curators of information resources. But in a world of mis/disinformation, their role has grown into helping the community find common ground in shared facts and teaching the skills needed to successfully navigate a complex information landscape. Indeed, librarians may be the best defense against mis/disinformation.

Merriam-Webster defines misinformation as “incorrect or misleading information.” Disinformation is more sinister. It is defined as “false information deliberately and often covertly spread (as by the planting of rumors) in order to influence public opinion or obscure the truth.” One can turn into the other when an unsuspecting person, believing it to be true, unwittingly passes along the more sinister disinformation, turning it into misinformation. Both create problems for “sense-making,” or developing a plausible understanding of a shifting world. For that reason, this report uses the combined term, mis/disinformation, throughout.

With many local newspapers folding, trust in the mainstream media falling, and big tech algorithms feeding bias that can mislead users, people struggle to discern evidence-based facts (Abernathy, 2018; Brenan, 2020; Takenaga, 2019). Or, more troubling, they may choose not to engage in that struggle, preferring to believe the mis/disinformation no matter how outlandish it might appear, while writing off generally reliable sources as “fake news.”

Recognizing the crisis of a lack of shared understanding of evidence-based facts, librarians are refining their role as Information Literacy experts. Increasingly, it falls to librarians to teach library users to examine their beliefs in order to make thoughtful, informed decisions.
New Voice Strategies sponsored the Librarian WikiWisdom Forum. More than 300 librarians and library workers from across the country joined a unique online conversation in celebration of Danielle Allen, the 2020 Kluge Prize Winner.

During this unique, vibrant online conversation, participating librarians and library workers posted 136 ideas and 432 comments engaging with these questions:

- **In a world of disinformation, social media, and “alternative facts,” how do you identify and vet credible information sources?**

- **How do you share those credible sources with students and public library users?**

- **What is a librarian’s role in helping the greater community find common ground in shared facts?**

The 15 most engaged members of this peer collaboration were invited to join Phase 2 of our project as Librarian Thought Leaders. Building on the online conversation, they developed the 4 Recommendations and 23 Proposed Solutions included in this report. On March 11th this report was presented to Danielle Allen.

---

**FROM THE WIKI**

*It is dangerous to democracy when folks of varying political stripes live in information ecosystems that do not share the same grounding in basic facts. We must engage citizens in the skills necessary to assess information so that we can begin from a base point of factual information.*

—Marybeth G.

Because different types of librarians have different goals and face different obstacles, we included in this report separate sections addressing challenges to each. Public librarians need training in high-level communication skills and administrative support to effectively tackle these issues in their local communities. School librarians face budget challenges that require them to divide their time and efforts among multiple tasks, even multiple campuses. Academic librarians must be seen as critical collaborators with classroom instructors to design and provide Information Literacy instruction alongside established curricula. Special librarians need to be empowered to encourage their users to seek balanced opinions.
Each type of library and librarian plays a critical role in fighting mis/disinformation. The public library is a community anchor that can be leveraged to bring together people with diverse viewpoints for civil discourse. School librarians should be regarded as education professionals who can teach K-12 students to question the information they encounter and how to locate evidence-based facts. Academic librarians need administrative alignment and institutional commitment of resources and faculty allies across disciplines to effectively build meaningful programs that span a student’s college career. Special librarians must leverage their trusted positions within institutions to promote diverse information sources, providing balanced views in research materials while employing communication skills with their patrons to promote ethical information use.

This report lays out the support needed to help librarians do their part to create an informed and engaged citizenry, as characterized by a commitment to:

- information sharing as opposed to defending specific opinions;
- the continuous examination of beliefs;
- approaching subjects with a spirit of inquiry:
  - civil discourse;
  - curiosity and inclusion in information seeking;
  - evidence-based facts;
  - the protection of democracy; and
- systemic equity and social justice for all.

We acknowledge that mis/disinformation is endemic to the Information Age. Developing and refining strategies to mitigate the effects of mis/disinformation is a critical mission for libraries and must be ongoing. In great challenges lie great opportunities. There is no time to waste.

“Misinformation is most dangerous when it is able to exploit the fears and needs of communities. If librarians are able to bring their community together to solve problems before they become the target of a disinformation campaign, then this is a valuable contribution even if the library never directly addresses the topic of misinformation itself.” Journal of Librarianship and Information Science (Young et al., 2020)
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Recommendation 1
**Improve Outreach to Patrons and Community**

1. Advertise Librarians’ Expertise Throughout the Library
2. Educate the Community on the Topic of Mis/Disinformation
3. Approach Library Users in a Spirit of Collaborative Information Seeking
4. Engage Patrons with Creative Programming around Emotional Subjects
5. Use Current Digital Methods of Communication
6. Partner with Community Organizations
7. Foster Collaborations with Local Media Outlets

Recommendation 2
**Enlist the Aid of Foundations, State Libraries, and Professional Associations in Combating Mis/Disinformation**

8. Lobby Foundations to Make Combating Mis/Disinformation a Priority
9. Create New Grant Opportunities for Fighting Mis/Disinformation
10. Launch a National Public Education Campaign Promoting Libraries as the Go-To Source for Evidence-Based Facts
11. Develop Online Tools Local Libraries Can Use to Determine Community Needs Regarding Mis/Disinformation

Recommendation 3
**Arm Librarians with New Skills**

12. Develop a Collaborative Approach to Fighting Mis/Disinformation
13. Teach Librarians to Facilitate Community Conversations
14. Offer Professional Development that Elevates the Reference Interview
15. Help Librarians Stay Abreast of Primary Sources for Facts and Statistics
16. Ensure Efficacy of Materials Selection Policies
17. Provide Continuing Education Opportunities on the Mechanics of Mis/Disinformation
Recommendation 4
Adapt LIS Education to Critical New Issues

18. Update the LIS Curricula
19. Teach Community-Based Information Literacy
20. Teach Higher-Order Communication Skills
21. Add Media Literacy to the List of Mandatory Courses
22. Offer Elective Courses in Mis/Disinformation
23. Teach Social Media Skills
Recommendation 1

Improve Outreach to Patrons and Community

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

While libraries remain providers of books and internet access and appealing places for groups to gather, 21st century libraries are so much more. They are community anchors and vibrant centers for democracy, Information Literacy, and civic engagement, both in person and online. And they play an increasingly important role in addressing the dangers of mis/disinformation.

For years, libraries have served as repositories of knowledge, staffed by engaged experts in research, resource evaluation, the provision of diverse viewpoints, and the teaching of Information Literacy skills. It is imperative that librarians now launch a vigorous campaign for public awareness about the dangers of mis/disinformation, advocate for methods of counteracting mis/disinformation, and help library users navigate a complex information ecosystem that contains escalating amounts of misinformation and disinformation.

FROM THE WIKI

The librarians’ role is huge in helping the community find common ground in shared facts. Most people still trust libraries in a way that they don't trust the media. Librarians have worked hard to maintain their reputation as curators of information resources, so they should play a key role.

—Elena B.

PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

1. Advertise Librarians’ Expertise Throughout the Library

This can take many forms, including:

- Displaying posters and memes that demonstrate “How to Fact Check in Less Than 90 Seconds.”
- Adding signs in the nonfiction section telling users: “Have doubts about this book? Ask a Librarian!”
- An ongoing education campaign via the library newsletter, website, and social media accounts reminding people to confirm the accuracy of a social media post before sharing it as a method of deterring the spread of misinformation.
• Infographics to raise awareness of misinformation and disinformation (advertise NewsGuard and other tools).

• A data dashboard to gather in one place major fact checking sites on current hot topics with continuous updates.

2. Educate the Community on the Topic of Mis/Disinformation

Consider hosting a series of community town halls to discuss mis/disinformation in nonfiction books. The goal is to help library users understand that librarians select materials for many different reasons — because they are best sellers, because they have received good reviews in established journals, or because there is community interest. But having a book in the nonfiction section does not guarantee it is “true.” For example, a book of essays is subject to interpretation, and one patron’s spiritual “truth” may be different from another’s. Furthermore, the “truth” can change, e.g., dietary recommendations that morph over time. There are also many meaningful truths to discover in the fiction section.

In addition, these town halls can engage the public in strategies to identify fake news and conspiracy theories in books and other media.

FROM THE WIKI

Even if the individual or organization possesses professional or personal credentials, this does not necessarily mean their facts or opinions are the best. After all, sometimes people seek second opinions for a medical diagnosis, so why not seek other opinions on information or facts.
—Martina K.

3. Approach Library Users in a Spirit of Collaborative Information Seeking

Librarians must tread lightly when attempting to counter mis/disinformation by showing respect for the user’s beliefs, engaging in a reference interview, steering the user to research tools and verified resources, and avoiding arguments as to “facts” by instead teaching Information Literacy skills when there is an opportunity to do so.

FROM THE WIKI

Using non-political and non-controversial examples that don’t appeal to emotions is a really great way to help people spot bad arguments. That helps to avoid getting focused on the wrong thing and lets the examples get through.
—Jessie S.
4. Engage Patrons with Creative Programming around Emotional Subjects

Encourage participation and build trust in the library as a space to discuss difficult topics by taking creative approaches to programming. The idea is to offer programming that is less politically charged but encourages the development of communication skills around emotion-laden subjects. Some ideas:

- A “conspiracy theory book club” that discusses books on the topic of conspiracy theories as well as fiction and/or nonfiction books containing conspiracy theories in order to examine whether these theories are credible according to a shared evaluation toolkit.
- Discussions around effective communication skills and more specifically how to have a productive conversation in person or online with someone whose viewpoints differ.
- A program centered on a difficult but common personal experience (such as death).

FROM THE WIKI

Could libraries provide a safe, civilized, and calm way to meet and greet people from both sides of the political aisles to have a conversation? We could provide a space and opportunity to let people get to know each other as real people and not the “radical right” or “liberal extremists.” We might be surprised to know that our neighbors do not hold the same beliefs that we do but are still good people with families, hopes, and dreams. We could provide coffee, snacks, and a chance for the community to find common ground.
—Jessie S.

5. Use Current Digital Methods of Communication

Wage the war on mis/disinformation on social media platforms from Facebook to Tik Tok as well as in digital newsletters and other forms of online marketing to effectively reach audiences where they get their news and information.

6. Partner with Community Organizations

Position the library as the backbone infrastructure for developing an informed and engaged citizenry by sending staff to community meetings to discuss the subject of mis/disinformation, hosting events at the library that bring together disparate groups for community-building exercises, and asking aligned community groups to promote library events focused on mis/disinformation.
7. Foster Collaborations with Local Media Outlets

Build trust in the journalistic process and professional journalists by inviting local reporters to speak at the library to discuss how they approach professional tasks such as conducting interviews; planning, editing, and writing stories to be broadcast or published; and verifying information provided by subjects and other authorities.

**WHY WE BELIEVE THIS WILL WORK**

Outreach is the key to spreading the word about mis/disinformation. Improved communications in the library, such as signs that encourage users to ask a librarian when they have questions about a book or information source, gives librarians the opportunity to teach patrons to use critical thinking skills as they read. Taking a librarian’s expertise into the community is critical if we are to spread the word that evidence-based facts matter.

**MEASURES OF SUCCESS**

- Increased reach of publicity in terms of diverse participants, especially new participants from underserved population groups, and constructive engagement.
- Increased attendance at programs and events and patron satisfaction with these programs.
- A growing number of community organizations partner with the library and host programs to encourage civil discourse and to help their members understand mis/disinformation and how to determine whether a fact is evidence-based.
- Statistics on the number of patrons who follow prompts from library signage (Ask a Librarian!) and ask staff questions about them.

---

**FROM THE WIKI**

_I have a hard time balancing awareness of news sources across the political spectrum with not validating conspiracy theories and really harmful beliefs and takes. How can librarians not validate such ideas, but also not condemn them so much that their believers turn away from us?_  
—Hannah R.
Recommendation 2

**Enlist the Aid of Foundations, State Libraries, and Professional Associations in Combating Mis/Disinformation**

**STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM**

Mis/disinformation is a problem of national import; its proliferation threatens our democracy. Local libraries are on the front line of the battle, but they cannot be expected to fight this war alone. It requires funding, resources, and training to ensure library efforts are coordinated, consistent, and effective.

**FROM THE WIKI**

I wonder if librarians’ role is to help our communities de-stigmatize the contexts surrounding news sources. Maybe it’s to engage people with information from across an appropriate information spectrum.
—Lauren Elizabeth P.

**PROPOSED SOLUTIONS**

8. **Lobby Foundations to Make Combating Mis/Disinformation a Priority**

Like library users, foundations need to see libraries in a new light, as the front line of defense against mis/disinformation. Funding this fight should become a principal program for any foundation whose mission is to promote civil discourse, to advocate for truth and facts, or to participate in any other effort to preserve our democracy. These lobbying efforts should be across the board and can be spearheaded by local library boards, friends groups, state library boards, national professional associations or anyone else who has an interest in supporting libraries’ role in preserving our democracy.

9. **Create New Grant Opportunities for Fighting Mis/Disinformation**

State libraries in all 50 states should create specific funding opportunities for local library outreach programs aimed at combating mis/disinformation in a community-sensitive manner. Local libraries can apply for the grants while the State Libraries oversee administrative tasks involved.
10. Launch a National Public Education Campaign Promoting Libraries as the Go-To Source for Evidence-Based Facts

Library-supporting entities, such as philanthropies, should support efforts to develop a powerful public education campaign that promotes professional librarians as the go-to source for reliable information.

In addition to PR outreach to major media outlets, this campaign should include support for state and local libraries to do their own outreach to local media. This includes but is not limited to:

- Press release and social media templates that can be easily adapted with local information.
- Interactive posts such as “true or false” polls that can be posted on local libraries’ social channels.
- Graphics regarding mis/disinformation that individual libraries can display.
11. Develop Online Tools Local Libraries Can Use to Determine Community Needs Regarding Mis/Disinformation

Support local library efforts to combat mis/disinformation by giving them the tools needed to gather information from their community regarding what services and education would be helpful in managing the onslaught of information. These tools could be developed by State Libraries and professional library associations and must include funding and professional development around how to implement the tools, how to interpret the results, and how to develop programs to meet community needs.

WHY WE BELIEVE THIS WILL WORK

Local librarians know their community best, but many are cash-strapped and spread thin. They may lack the expertise to run an effective social media campaign or the training to engage library patrons around emotionally weighty issues such as mis/disinformation. Giving them the financial and programmatic support to do these things and promoting all librarians as the go-to experts on Information Literacy will significantly increase the likelihood of success.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS

- Increase in funding for mis/disinformation efforts.
- Increase in media mentions of library activities in combating mis/disinformation.
- Increase in the number of professional librarians quoted as expert sources in combating mis/disinformation.
- Increase in number of partners and community collaboration activities.
- Growth in libraries’ online and social media presence.
- Surveys conducted in person in the library, on the website, or over social media show there is interest in learning more about a particular aspect of mis/disinformation.
Recommendation 3

Arm Librarians with New Skills

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

We ask a lot from librarians. Yes, they manage the collection and point people toward the resources they need. But that is just the beginning of their duties. They are part teacher, part social worker, part IT support, part therapist and part enforcer of the rules. And now we ask that they guide users to factual information in what can be intensely uncomfortable conversations. Librarians are experts at helping library users find the resources they need, but they may feel less equipped at building trust and fighting disinformation in an ethically sound, emotionally sophisticated, and politically sensitive manner.

FROM THE WIKI

During a “discussion,” I try not to put a barrier between the other person and myself – no desk, etc. I think it is an equalizer.
—Linda C.

PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

12. Develop a Collaborative Approach to Fighting Mis/Disinformation

The traditional helping mindset assumes a “provider versus receiver” power structure in which the provider (librarian) is the helper and the receiver (library user) is the helpless. That must change. Whether they are library users or not, many community members have strengths and resources that can help librarians fight mis/disinformation. It is important that librarians take time to discover the strengths of community members, develop meaningful relationships with diverse population groups, and engage them as allies in the fight against mis/disinformation. Trust develops when people are given opportunities to contribute what they do best. This may require professional development for professional librarians and modifications to librarian education (addressed in the last section of this report).
13. Teach Librarians to Facilitate Community Conversations

Librarians need to learn communication skills to facilitate community conversations on many topics, including sensitive political ones. To do so, they must be able to listen without personal bias and without giving solutions in order to find common interests among the diverse perspectives. These are skills that can be taught in professional development programs developed by State Libraries and state-level library associations that take into account community sensibilities. The skills also should be taught in professional development programs, continuing education, and MLIS graduate programs.

FROM THE WIKI

I am sometimes amazed at what a patron might tell me or trust me with. It’s all about relationship building. Collection development that expresses our diversity can make the library a place of critical dialog that may create some opportunities for a change of perspective.
—Linda C.

14. Offer Professional Development that Elevates the Reference Interview

The reference interview can – and should! – be the first step in combating mis/disinformation. But broaching sensitive topics (have you considered other sides to that story?) can require a delicate approach. Librarians are more likely to take that step if they have training that makes them feel more comfortable having that conversation.

FROM THE WIKI

The soft skills (familiar to those who have been facilitators, coaches, etc.) of establishing or building trust, being mindfully present to the person and their emotions as well as your own, seeing each interaction with patrons as relational rather than transactional, and working to understand where the person is coming from rather than trying to change their mind are as important in librarians’ work to counter misinformation and help patrons find credible sources as the information skills we have. Undertaking this work as relationship and trust building also builds community.
—Deb. B.

FROM THE WIKI

Librarians need to incorporate information education into our reference interviews and transactions as much as possible. We need to describe the search process to our patrons, and illustrate that we are using multiple sources to answer a question (as much as possible).
—Rachel M.
15. Help Librarians Stay Abreast of Primary Sources for Facts and Statistics

Librarians need continual training regarding primary sources for facts and statistics in order to help patrons research claims made in the larger information ecosystem. This is an ongoing education role for national professional organizations.

FROM THE WIKI

I try to explain to people how certain platforms work. If someone indicates they get their news from Facebook, I try to explain that Facebook is a platform that is better for advertising than news because it groups users into targetable segments that advertisers can send messages directly to. I like to use anti Vax examples from Facebook to highlight how they can target specific groups like Jewish neighborhoods or people who have autistic children. I think it can be eye opening to some people how social media like Facebook really work.
—Jessie S.

16. Ensure Efficacy of Materials Selection Policies

Librarians need training in revising, explaining, and upholding a Materials Selection Policy in order to ensure effectiveness. This can take many forms, but should include a strongly worded policy in favor of evidence-based facts. Most importantly, it should be backed at an institutional level, such as by a Library Board, and by local political and community leaders.

FROM THE WIKI

Librarians, libraries, and library boards need to go into this with their eyes wide open. After some experience with a small, rural public library answering to a city and county with a century of one-party rule, you are opening your institution to blowback including threats of resource cutbacks if not outright being dismissed if the truth about facts does not fit with the conformity the community demands. I am not saying that this is not a fight we should engage in. We should. But for some libraries, there will be a price to be paid and all who care about their library should understand that.
—Randall S.
17. Provide Continuing Education Opportunities on the Mechanics of Mis/Disinformation

Librarians need training in how mis/disinformation operates from both a technical and a psychological standpoint in order to educate their communities on how to spot it and guard against it. These are also skills that can be taught in professional development programs developed by State Libraries that take into account community sensibilities. The skills also should be taught in librarian education programs.

FROM THE WIKI

I think librarianship in many ways is stuck in a situation set up for us by Melvil Dewey when he claimed that librarians don’t need to be experts, but rather should rely on other specialists to determine what is appropriate for our collections. I think librarianship should stretch ourselves as a profession and help come up with an ability to determine what is disinformation at least. Our code of ethics calls for us to resist labeling, but if we serve the public I think we need to at least be ready to label blatant misinformation.

—Andrew W.

WHY WE BELIEVE THIS WILL WORK

Librarians are on the front line of fighting mis/disinformation. Like the infantry on the front lines of a war, they need to be fully armed, trained, and supported to be most successful. Librarians who want to take on this fight need updated skills to stay ahead of the mis/disinformation tsunami. Due to the inherent sensitivities of this topic in the current moment, it is important for librarians to have the unequivocal and public support of their administrators, institutional leaders, and other community leadership.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS

This is most easily measured through surveys and polls.

- Ask librarians and library workers if they have the training and skills they need.

- After professional development, ask librarians and library workers if the training was useful and whether they feel more comfortable teaching users about mis/disinformation and directing them to evidence-based sources.

- Survey library users and community members about their opinion of library efforts to combat mis/disinformation.
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Today's librarians, regardless of the type of library, are faced with the immense challenge of countering mis/disinformation. New librarians enrolled in LIS graduate programs need educational opportunities that will fully prepare them to confront this challenge and take on leadership roles to address it. To do that effectively requires higher-level interpersonal and communication skills as well as strong community outreach. Education for Information Literacy should be integrated into the core curriculum of all LIS programs, including knowledge and skills in information organization and retrieval, evaluation of information sources and services, and communication of credible information to users. In addition, LIS programs should develop pedagogies in Information Literacy as a requirement for addressing mis/disinformation.

Credibility of information is integrally connected to the trust perceived in human connections associated with that information. To meet that challenge, LIS students must learn higher-order communication and community-building skills. As professionals, they will be called upon to use those skills to help members of their community build human relationships and expand the sources they trust as part of librarians’ work in promoting Information Literacy.

FROM THE WIKI

Our communities need to be able to rely on libraries and librarians to be “credibility anchors” and “thought leaders” with regard to credible information sources and shared facts.

But how can we accomplish this?

Libraries and library professionals should model and deploy disinformation-busting strategies like:

- **Engagement with and countering of "post truth" ideas by publicly and transparently fact checking harmful narratives using credible information sources.**
- **Design of multimodal guides and messaging (on sites like library websites, newspapers, Twitter, Reddit, Facebook, LinkedIn, YouTube, etc.), authored by dedicated library & information science thought leaders, for deployment to our libraries and librarians who don’t have time to develop resources themselves.**
- **Work together as professionals to raise awareness of common challenges, test strategies, and reimagine solutions.**

—Kristen R.
PROPOSED SOLUTIONS

18. Update the LIS Curricula

Educational components of Information Literacy should be further infused throughout the core LIS curricula, including:

- knowledge and skills of information organization and retrieval;
- evaluation of information sources and services;
- methods of communicating credible information to users and institutions; and
- development of pedagogical and curriculum design skills for Information Literacy.

FROM THE WIKI

There is no single course that can cover all student learning objectives in Information Literacy. The principle of curriculum design is to develop multiple courses to achieve a wide range of student learning objectives, providing a cohesive core curriculum for a holistic library education program.

School libraries are competing with Google. To be useful, digital library collections need to consist of sources that are credible and support schools’ curriculums. It is also important for school librarians to share digital library collections in a way that makes it easy to search and browse for credible sources.

—Amy B.

19. Teach Community-Based Information Literacy

In addition to inviting people into libraries to attend activities, librarians have to feel comfortable taking their Information Literacy message into the community. Practicing community-based Information Literacy requires breaking down silos and being inclusive of all in the community by considering information from diverse sources and intentionally showcasing new human stories. It also requires demonstrating genuine interest in what people are doing and saying, so that they feel heard and not judged when they have an information question.

In curricular design for community-based Information Literacy, we propose this instruction be covered in multiple courses so that, upon the completion of professional education, students are able to:

1. Apply the concepts of information organization and retrieval in library programming and service design.
2. Evaluate credibility of information to meet user and community needs.
3. Identify diverse information sources to broaden user perspectives.

4. Facilitate community outreach to non-users in partnership with other community entities.

5. Conduct community conversations to achieve constructive and equitable information exchange among different perspectives.

6. Integrate library programming and resources in existing and new community initiatives to sustain the efforts for long term community building.

7. Assess the outcomes of programs and services for continuous improvement.

**FROM THE WIKI**

*How do we reach the people who have created a false reality bubble and who may never enter a library because “everything is on Google?” How can we educate people about the harmful effects of misinformation if they think, “this could never happen to me?”*  
—Jessie S.

**20. Teach Higher-Order Communication Skills**

Librarians of all types need to know how to build alliances with groups in their respective communities, and they need to learn and practice higher-order communication and interpersonal skills that allow them to have constructive conversations with skeptical users.

Public librarians must take it a step further. They need to know how to build alliances with community groups. They need to learn high-level interpersonal skills that allow them to approach skeptical users as peers. And they need facilitation skills they can use to conduct difficult community conversations among disparate groups.

**FROM THE WIKI**

*It’s relatively simple to teach people skills like lateral reading, tracing back to an original source, etc.—but people who are determined to believe only what they want to believe have no motivation to use these skills. Unfortunately, there’s no shortcut to teaching others (and ourselves!) how to interrogate their/our own ideologies. We need to embed critical literacy practices across everything we do.*  
—Maggie B.
21. Add Media Literacy to the List of Mandatory Courses

A course focused on developing LIS students’ media literacy competencies should be a foundational LIS course. By ensuring that professional librarians have a shared and deep understanding of the concepts of media literacy, graduates will be in a stronger position to both stay abreast of the constantly changing media landscape and teach media literacy concepts and skills to their patrons and students.

---

FROM THE WIKI

Judging by behaviors over the last decade, people aren’t going to stop and fact check every article they scroll by, even when sources like Snopes and Politifact are widely known. My conclusion is that change hinges on treating the sharing of misinformation as dangerous; not “I’ll share this in case it’s true” but “I can’t share this in case it isn’t.”

—Katy M.

---

22. Offer Elective Courses in Mis/Disinformation

Given the seriousness of the problem of mis/disinformation, it benefits our society to have more professional librarians with deep knowledge of these issues. Stand-alone, elective LIS courses on mis/disinformation can help more librarians develop their expertise and understanding of many different aspects of mis/disinformation such as how it is created, disseminated, and spread; why it is created; the psychology of mis/disinformation; and media messages, social media, conspiracy theories, propaganda, and the like.

23. Teach Social Media Skills

An understanding of how social media platforms work, how information is created and shared, and how libraries of all types can use these platforms for effective community outreach and communication with patrons are all now essential competencies for librarians.
WHY WE BELIEVE THIS WILL WORK

Mis/disinformation is now firmly part of the information ecosystem and will continue to evolve over time. Librarians must have the support, training, and skills to adequately respond to these threats and stay up-to-date on how they change. Intentional efforts to incorporate this education into LIS programs will ensure that librarians are appropriately equipped and can maintain these vital skills. The perception of the credibility of information is often about trust. With trust as an important factor in human information-seeking behaviors, LIS students must become skilled at the larger context of community-building as part of Information Literacy services. Librarians are in a prime position to help community members build relationships and expand their range of trusted information sources as part of our work in Information Literacy.

MEASURES OF SUCCESS

- LIS students are better able to identify local resources and build relationships with their community to facilitate collaborative initiatives in combating mis/disinformation.

- LIS programs produce more graduates with thorough knowledge of mis/disinformation and constantly changing media landscapes, equipped with skills to better face and keep current with this complex issue.
A number of factors have contributed to our current crisis in sense-making. The World Wide Web, while creating a rich environment for public engagement and beneficial opportunities for participation in the public sphere, unleashed an information tsunami, further amplified by social media, that has led to “information overload” as well as misinformation. Digital algorithms simultaneously encourage confirmation bias. The web has also had deleterious effects on traditional media, with local newspapers folding, trust in the mainstream media falling, and budgets for in-depth reporting diminishing. Some surviving media outlets have experienced greater consolidation and pressure from corporate owners to deploy ever more sensational and partisan tactics in the competition for “eyeballs.” Attempts by big tech to label or censor misinformation risks pushing people further into information silos. The public can easily feel that they have no solid source to rely on while facing a flood of misinformation that has left our democratic society adrift in a sea of “alternative facts” and seemingly irreconcilable narratives.

In this atmosphere marked by political tension and distrust of institutions that has been described by some as “post-truth,” public libraries are uniquely situated to act as a bulwark against the deluge of false and misleading information that exacerbates the divisions in our society. By building and upholding trust within the communities they serve, public libraries can leverage that trust to restore faith in public institutions, heal divisions, and provide their communities with the tools they need to navigate information overload and a complex media and information landscape.

This is not an easy charge, and many public librarians lack the time, training, and administrative support to effectively tackle these issues. But librarians are information experts, and when we don’t have what we need, we certainly know how to find it. Recent research has shone a light on some of the methods and strategies public libraries can utilize to combat misinformation as well as the partnerships that are needed. Below, we have listed some of the actions public libraries can take along with the support that is required for implementation.

**HOW PUBLIC LIBRARIANS COMBAT MIS/DISINFORMATION**

- Provide tools for analyzing the accuracy of information and guide users to those resources.
- Bolster democratic values by facilitating healthy civic discourse, promoting materials that represent diverse viewpoints, and teaching effective communication skills.
- Provide education on information literacy and misinformation through programming and displays.
- Collect materials representing a diversity of viewpoints.
- Use the reference interview as an opportunity for instruction, to help users analyze information sources and narratives through a spirit of collaboration.
- Maintain and cultivate partnerships with community organizations, especially those positioned to authoritatively and proactively counter disinformation.
• Actively counter misinformation through the promotion of information that is based on available evidence.

SUPPORTS NEEDED

In order to perform the job of combating mis/disinformation, a public librarian needs the following supports:

• Graduate level or in-house training on facilitation, pedagogy, psychology of communication, social media, and identifying community needs.

• Backing from library boards and support groups, community leadership, and elected officials regarding professional ethics in library services and programming.

• Assistance from academic partners and professional organizations in designing effective programming and tools for librarians tackling misinformation.
School librarians are especially well positioned in the battle against mis/disinformation. If instruction begins in elementary school, the focus can be on learning good habits rather than unlearning bad ones. A generation raised with an expertly designed K-12 Information Literacy curriculum offers hope for a future populated by citizens who are not only resistant to being manipulated by cleverly crafted falsehoods, but who are empathetic, open-minded, and culturally aware.

However, there are multiple barriers to overcome if school librarians are to take up this lofty charge. Many states do not require schools to employ a librarian, and those that do often do not enforce such mandates. This means that when there is a budget shortfall (which is a widespread occurrence due to decreased government spending on education and inequitable school funding policies), school librarians’ positions are among the first to be cut. Additionally, some states have weak certification requirements, resulting in the hiring of “school librarians” who do not have library degrees or may have no training in librarianship or Information Literacy instruction whatsoever.

Of the highly qualified school librarians who exist, most are required to spread themselves thin. School librarians wear an astonishing number of hats, and the focus and scope of their work varies drastically from district to district. Some school librarians spend the majority of their time as educational technology consultants. Others are assigned administrative responsibilities. Still others may be the sole librarian in an enormous district, serving multiple schools and an overwhelming number of students. Many have no paraprofessional or aide to perform the time consuming, necessary, day-to-day operations of a library, such as checking materials in and out, keeping records, and shelving.

A related issue is the confusion regarding the school librarian’s role in a digital age. Despite advocacy efforts from the American Library Association and nonprofits such as EveryLibrary, antiquated stereotypes of school librarians as the shushing guardians of musty tomes have been remarkably persistent, even among K-12 administrators. Efforts to increase understanding, respect, and, ultimately, funding, for the vital work school librarians do has led to a proliferation of creative alternate job titles, from Teacher Librarian to Digital Learning Instructor to Technology Integrator to—yes, this one’s real—Library Interventionist. School libraries, too, are often renamed as media centers, learning commons, resource rooms, and more. These inconsistent identifiers for school librarians and libraries have complicated efforts to collect accurate data about Information Literacy instruction and initiatives in the K-12 arena.

Additionally, although collaboration between and among librarians, teachers, and administrators is vital to the success of any Information Literacy curriculum, school librarians are often isolated. Most are the sole librarian in their school, and library departments often have a tenuous position in district hierarchies, like a lone planet revolving around a different sun in the same universe. This results in their (often unintentionally) being left out of planning, discussions, and decision-making around areas in which they are the district experts—including Information Literacy.

School librarians struggle with many of the same issues as other educators, especially those working in content areas whose objectives are not well represented in the Common Core and/or on mandated state tests. It is a truism that while we should assess what we value, what frequently happens instead is that we value what we assess. Too often, Information Literacy instruction in K-12 schools is spotty,
inconsistent, and catch-as-catch can. Until effective and widespread measures of K-12 Information Literacy are established and utilized, Information Literacy is likely to continue to be perceived as a frill in a system forced to operate on a no-frills basis.

Finally, a well-designed Information Literacy curriculum must, by necessity, address “hot” topics such as bias, stereotypes, racism, and identity that some stakeholders view as controversial and better left for families to address. Ultimately, however, the ability to interrogate why we believe certain things to be true is a core competency for Information Literacy. The objective of Information Literacy instruction is not to teach students what to believe, but rather to empower students to make thoughtful, informed decisions about what they believe and how they’ve come to that belief.

HOW SCHOOL LIBRARIANS COMBAT MIS/DISINFORMATION

- Develop goals and objectives for students related to Information Literacy. These may include, but are not limited to:
  - accessing diverse sources of information;
  - using evidence-based practices to evaluate information;
  - creating and sharing information effectively and ethically;
  - understanding the psychological, emotional, social, cultural, and cognitive forces that impact the perception of facts, reality, and truth.
- Design, deliver, and assess the effectiveness of cross-curricular Information Literacy instruction from K-12 in collaboration with other teachers.
- Employ authentic and connected teaching methods such as inquiry and student-directed learning, so that students value Information Literacy and apply its precepts in their everyday lives.
- Provide reference assistance to students and staff, especially concerning source selection and information evaluation.
- Provide ongoing Information Literacy professional development to staff.
- Provide extra-curricular programming and community outreach related to Information Literacy topics.
- Partner with outside specialists and institutions, such as journalists and public libraries.
- Collect, curate, maintain, and ensure equitable access to diverse, relevant, and high-quality resources.
- Model and advocate for high standards of Information Literacy.
SUPPORTS NEEDED

- A mandate (ideally, at the federal level; alternatively, in every state) requiring adequate staffing of public schools with highly qualified certified librarians. Such mandate(s) should be enforced, and accompanied by adequate and equitable funding provisions.

- MLS and/or school library certification training that includes coursework not only in Information Literacy, but in communication, marketing/advocacy, pedagogy, and child/adolescent development.

- Accurate means for collecting and accessing data regarding school librarians and the impact and efficacy of Information Literacy instruction.

- Clear, reasonable, and practicable job descriptions for school librarians, with Information Literacy instruction identified as a priority.

- Paraprofessional support, with boundaries established between the duties of the library aide and the certified school librarian. Paraprofessionals should never be employed in lieu of a certified school librarian (just as a paraprofessional would never be employed in lieu of any other certified teacher).

- Strong support and follow-through from building and district administrators, school boards, and state and national education departments for well designed K-12 cross-curricular Information Literacy programs.

- Opportunities and ample time for collaboration with teachers and administrators.

- Access to and funding for professional development and conferences relating to Information Literacy.
Academic librarians have been on the front lines of combating misinformation and disinformation online since at least the 1990s. Once the Internet and social media became ubiquitous on college and university campuses, librarians began partnering with faculty to combat the misinformation and plagiarism that proliferated in student work. The work of academic librarians and their collaborations with faculty have been built on Information Literacy instruction, a set of abilities that have seen a renewed focus in the college and university classroom and in re-accreditation standards.

Academic librarians brought Information Literacy into the higher education conversation in 2000 with the publication of “Information Literacy Competency Standards for Higher Education” by the Association of College & Research Libraries (ACRL). This document was revised in 2016 and became “Framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education.” An additional document of note by ACRL is “Characteristics of Programs of Information Literacy that Illustrate Best Practices: A Guideline,” published in 2019, which highlights curriculum planning and pedagogy as hallmarks of effective Information Literacy programs.

While many colleges and universities have skilled librarians ready to teach Information Literacy concepts, those same institutions lack programmatic and curricular structures necessary to fulfill those missions. Information Literacy in practice is often reduced to a few in-person class sessions; the idealized vision of an Information Literate student can never be accomplished in one class session presented on an ad-hoc basis. This is too often the case on college campuses, where individual faculty interest, course content, and time allotment all compete to determine if a student receives Information Literacy instruction at all during their college career. An information literate community will not happen without intervention and design.

Higher administrators, faculty, library leadership, and librarians all hope that our students leave college as critically-minded, thoughtful, and empathetic individuals with strong Information Literacy skills. To achieve this, students deserve a college program that teaches, challenges, and evolves right alongside the world around them.

HOW ACADEMIC LIBRARIANS COMBAT MIS/DISINFORMATION

As the “Age of Mis/Disinformation” dawned on campuses, the role for librarians became more critical, but it has not created more resources in order for librarians to meet these new expectations. We urge academic library leadership to advocate for strong programs and the proper labor to achieve this goal. These efforts of intervention require concentrated and unimpeded staff time, and it requires that librarians on staff are connecting directly with faculty to build buy-in across campus. It is therefore the responsibility of library leadership to create these avenues for librarians to reach faculty and for information literacy efforts to reach higher administration. Questions academic librarians face now include how to integrate Information Literacy concepts into a curriculum to reach the widest audience possible, and how to evaluate effectiveness to improve instruction in order to be accountable to the academic community.

Recommendations for recognizing, reinforcing and elevating academic librarians’ role in combating mis/disinformation:
• Academic librarians become the front-line instructor of Information Literacy and evaluation concepts.

• Academic librarians partner with campus stakeholders to embed Information Literacy and evaluation across the curriculum in strategic ways, designed in collaboration with teaching faculty.

• Academic libraries teach the ethical use of information as an outgrowth of their responsibility to help faculty create a culture of academic honesty.

After three decades of work, the role of academic librarians still is in dispute. For us to be the most effective in teaching Information Literacy to college and university students and combating mis/disinformation, the following is critical:

1. Recognize academic librarians as scholars who possess the necessary pedagogical skills to teach Information Literacy to higher education students.

2. Embed instruction by academic librarians into existing classes while sequencing Information Literacy sessions to build on each other into a cohesive program.

3. Partner with faculty on campus committees to integrate into the curriculum so that students recognize Information Literacy as a necessary skill set across disciplines.

4. Ensure librarian students receive adequate pedagogical and curriculum development training in graduate school.

5. Teach students how to recognize authority, recency, and the inherent bias in most information as well as the importance of seeking out and listening to multiple voices for understanding.

6. Assess the effectiveness of the Information Literacy program. Has there been growth in students’ abilities and how is it demonstrated?

SUPPORTS NEEDED

• Better pedagogical and curriculum training for librarians in graduate school.

• Institutional buy-in on the importance of Information Literacy training and evaluation to improve student success and to graduate better citizens.

• Faculty buy-in on librarians as teaching partners in the classroom.

• Mandatory Information Literacy education across all disciplines.

• Better evaluation tools to demonstrate program effectiveness.

• Dedicated librarian instructors to handle class load.

• Periodical professional development training pertaining to disinformation.
Like other library types, special libraries are responsible for accommodating the information needs of their community. The primary distinctions between special libraries and school/public/academic libraries are accessibility to the public and purpose. Due to their narrow focus on supporting organizational objectives and the professionals working for them, special libraries do not encounter disinformation or misinformation in the same magnitude as other libraries. Conspiracy theories and politics are rarely discussed in these spaces unless there is an organizational/professional need to study them.

However, there are situations where patrons ask for research assistance to find information that confirms their own biases on a subject as opposed to considering multiple viewpoints. These types of questions can be problematic to data and information-driven organizations because fulfilling one’s own agenda can steer them in the wrong direction when it comes to decision making. It’s also problematic for professional libraries because the research services they provide could be used to build on knowledge in that profession. That knowledge could be in the form of a narrowly-scoped research paper that cites nothing but sources to strengthen a biased argument.

**HOW SPECIAL LIBRARIANS COMBAT MIS/DISINFORMATION**

- Deeming social media posts by themselves as unreliable information sources - There’s been a lot of discussion on how misinformation is distributed via social media platforms. Many special libraries are fortunate in this regard because they do not entertain social media posts as valid sources of information, nor do their patrons expect them to do so. Special libraries typically refer users to items in their collections, eJournal databases, reputable think tanks, policy centers, etc. It’s always possible that a patron asks a librarian for help finding information about a topic based on something they might have seen on social media. Therefore, a special librarian’s role will be to see if there is any scholarly information addressing that social media post, so long as the post was relevant to their research as a professional.

- Advocating for the licensing and use of credible information resources - e.g., Database collections, peer-reviewed material, research-based journal articles, and government publications. In summaries or abstracts of information prepared for “customers” or patrons, special librarians provide context and typically cite the sources they’ve found while preparing such summaries.

- Unpacking the question(s) or request for information during the reference interview - Even if the reference librarian has the highest confidence in understanding what the patron is looking for, they have an obligation to ensure that the patron is aware of multiple perspectives addressing their question. Special librarians are in a beneficial position when it comes to reference interviews because patrons understand and trust that they are the “information experts;” thus, any probing by special librarians would not present as combative. Examples of reference interview questions are: “This is my interpretation of your request. Is this correct?” “Have you done any preliminary research? If so, where?” “Would you be willing to share some of the results of this preliminary research?” “Have you considered looking in ___ database?”
• Taking a bold/tough stance as information professionals when addressing mis/disinformation and bias - Because special librarians are able to work more closely with their patrons, a sense of trust and respect for the profession becomes fostered. This empowers special librarians to respectfully question or call out the biases that the research question presents.

SUPPORTS NEEDED

• Competency in digital literacy, through MLIS programs or professional development training.
• Competency in data literacy, through MLIS programs or professional development training. (e.g. Ted Talk - 3 Ways to Spot a Bad Statistic)
• Similar to recommending school library training, special librarians would also benefit from training in pedagogy, communication, marketing, and advocacy. Though special librarians may find it easier to foster conversations with patrons than other types, constant development of these three practices strengthen the impact of services.
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INTRODUCTION

Librarians have a growing list of tools and resources to assist the public with navigating misinformation. This resource list provides practical tools to help people evaluate information as well as articles providing a deeper understanding of the current information ecosystem.

Resources are grouped into sections including fact-checking and media bias resources, Information Literacy skills, and educational resources. This list also includes several ideas for programming and engagement as well as resources that facilitate the identification of common ground. We also offer a list of organizations that libraries can partner with for support and assistance in combating disinformation. Finally, the selected articles and books provide a solid foundational understanding of disinformation, which is essential in order to effectively counter it.

As librarians, we believe that the teaching of information literacy skills is crucial for all ages and will help individuals recognize and resist mis/disinformation. It is our hope that these resources will lead to a more informed, more discerning and more knowledgeable citizenry.

RESOURCES FOR PATRONS AND LIBRARY STAFF

This chart contains many valuable tools and websites devoted to fact checking, examining media bias, and reverse image searching, in addition to organizations that provide support, education, and additional tools for media literacy. Also included are courses and curricula—for all ages—designed to improve individuals’ abilities to identify and think critically about misinformation. Because no single source can thoroughly cover today’s information landscape, we recommend that library staff and the public become familiar with multiple resources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fact-Checking Sites</th>
<th>Media Bias Rating Sites</th>
<th>Information/Media Literacy Organizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associated Press Fact Check</td>
<td>Ad Fontes Media</td>
<td>Center for an Informed Public. University of Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dispatch Fact Check</td>
<td>AllSides</td>
<td>National Association for Media Literacy Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FactCheck</td>
<td>Media Bias Fact Check</td>
<td>News Literacy Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Stories</td>
<td>Ground.news</td>
<td>MediaWise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Politifact</td>
<td>Reverse Image Search Engines and other Fact-checking Tools</td>
<td>PEN America. Knowing the News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuters Fact Check</td>
<td>First Draft Fact-Checking Toolkit</td>
<td>Media Literacy Now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snopes</td>
<td>Google Images</td>
<td>Courses and Curricula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.snopes.com/">https://www.snopes.com/</a></td>
<td>(Searchable in Chrome by right-clicking on images)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truth or Fiction</td>
<td>TinEye</td>
<td>Checkology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA Today Fact</td>
<td>Media Literacy Tools</td>
<td>Civic Online Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Post Factchecker</td>
<td>Tools That Fight Disinformation</td>
<td>Crash Course Media Literacy Series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GENERAL RESOURCES FOR INFORMATION LITERACY

The following resources provide general information about information and media literacy. Educational resources, handbooks, guides, and infographics are included in this list, in addition to library associations’ statements and resolutions on the mis/disinformation issue.

  “Whereas inaccurate information, distortions of truth, deliberate deceptions, excessive limitations on access and the removal or destruction of information in the public domain are anathema to the ethics of librarianship and to the functioning of a healthy democracy...” ALA, The American Library Association’s “Core Values of Librarianship.”


- First Draft. https://firstdraftnews.org/research/


• Media Education Lab, University of Rhode Island. https://mediaeducationlab.com/

• Meriam Library, California State University, Chico. (2010). *Evaluating information—Applying the CRAAP test.* https://library.csuchico.edu/help/source-or-information-good


• The Propwatch Project. https://www.propwatch.org


K-12 SCHOOL LIBRARY RESOURCES
Specific to K-12 school libraries, these resources include research, lesson plans, handouts, videos, blogs, tips, and training for all grade levels.


- Baker, F. (2019). Real Media Literacy: Spotting a Fake News Story. MiddleWeb. https://www.middleweb.com/40839/real-media-literacy-spotting-a-fake-story/?fbclid=IwAR0wTzJ1kG59kHN8aJTcoSwfOZzZ8HaGGUGrOZM2pKgDWZ-dIJDL-9MWhSw

- BBC Learning and Microsoft Education. My World - Cultivating media literacy skills. https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/education/myworld

- Center for Media Literacy. http://www.medialit.org/about-cml


- Project Look Sharp. https://www.projectlooksharp.org/


**AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION RESOURCES**

The following are selected information and media literacy resources from the American Library Association, including books, e-courses, posters, and guides. Please note: Some resources are available to the general public; others may be available only to ALA members.

**Free Resources**

- Media Literacy @ Your Library. http://www.ala.org/tools/programming/media-literacy-your-library

**Paid Resources**

- Information Literacy Poster. https://www.alastore.ala.org/content/information-literacy-poster
- Engaging your Community Through Active Strategic Marketing by Terry Kendrick. https://www.alastore.ala.org/content/engaging-your-community-through-active-strategic-marketing
- Fighting Fake News With Information Literacy eCourse by Melissa Mallon & Megan Mallon. https://www.alastore.ala.org/content/fighting-fake-news-information-literacy-ecourse
PROGRAMMING & ENGAGEMENT OPPORTUNITIES

The following articles describe programs, events, and opportunities for engagement that libraries could adapt for their own communities. These possible program ideas include finding common ground among diverse beliefs, educational programs, the use of games in education, possible funding opportunities, and social media engagement.

  
  The article provides examples of an event where people with differing political viewpoints come together at a dinner and talk about things people from the opposing side would be surprised to know about them. Participants bring an item to share that tells their story. The event is steered by unbiased moderators and is meant to help bring back civil discourse.

  
  Google Money: If part of your response to the “How do you...” question in this forum is that your library requires more funding to combat misinformation, you might find this initiative very interesting. What is the librarian's role in securing and utilizing funds to find common ground in shared facts?

- Mackintosh, E. (2019). Finland is winning the war on fake news. What it’s learned may be crucial to Western democracy. CNN. https://edition.cnn.com/interactive/2019/05/europe/finland-fake-news-intl/
  
  This CNN Special Report details the initiatives taken by Finland to educate citizens on the threat of disinformation and how to effectively counter it. Libraries could employ many of these initiatives.

  
  This article is not about misinformation but it is about engagement through social media campaigns and how important they are in library strategies. These social media campaigns could be used to target misinformation.
  
  A great example of a great social media campaign is what the Steak-Umm Twitter account has been up to this past year. Every time I see the account tweet a thoughtful analysis I think, “Maybe we should be the ones doing this...” https://twitter.com/steak_umm/status/1336348473713680385

   — This study shows that teaching people how to create and disseminate mis/disinformation in a game scenario helped them recognize those same tactics in the real world, suggesting that the use of this game and teaching general propaganda techniques early would be helpful.


   — This article suggests that school teachers for all subjects should partner with librarians to create plans for Information Literacy evaluation skills that allow students to practice these important skills.


   — Best practices for using memes on social media for engagement as part of your library’s social media strategy.

RESOURCES FOR DEVELOPING AND STRENGTHENING PARTNERSHIPS
Partnerships can provide crucial support and additional resources for libraries in the fight against disinformation. Your library may consider exploring a partnership with these organizations.

• Africa Library & Information Association & Institutions — https://web.aflia.net/about-aflia/
• Braver Angels — https://braverangels.org/
• Civic Health Project — https://www.civichealthproject.org/
• Living Room Conversations — https://livingroomconversations.org/
• The National Digital Inclusion Alliance — https://www.digitalinclusion.org/
• News Guard — https://www.newsguardtech.com/news-literacy/
• OpenMind — https://openmindplatform.org/
• Poynter Institute — https://www.poynter.org/
RESOURCES FOR FINDING COMMON GROUND

The first step for finding a shared truth within a community is to establish common ground. These resources provide a framework that can facilitate healthy civic discourse between polarized groups.

- The Hidden Tribes of America. (2018). https://hiddentribes.us/?fbclid=IwAR0T1mTiCAKzzEcpjeFuinAIWgY9vCOr-JwygbACWlfLBFOXJIs8wyn4A
- Turk, V. (2020). *Kill reply all: A modern guide to online etiquette, from social media to work to love.* Plume.

CONSPIRACY THEORIES

Conspiracy theories are becoming more popular and mainstream as social media helps them step out from the shadows and dark corners of the Internet. These resources help librarians to better understand conspiratorial thinking and respectfully address disinformation with patrons who hold unsubstantiated beliefs.


ADDITIONAL REFERENCES FOR FURTHER READING
The articles and books below address a range of disinformation topics allowing for a more comprehensive understanding of the issue.

Articles


  http://www.u.arizona.edu/~fallis/LIB%2063.3%2005.%20fallis%20401_426.pdf


  https://knightfoundation.org/reports/american-views-trust-media-and-democracy/

• LaPierre, S. (2020). New research explores how public libraries can best combat misinformation. 


  http://purl.stanford.edu/fv751yt5934

Books


How do leaders or decision makers easily capture the valuable insights, ideas, observations and wisdom from the hands-on experience of frontline peer groups that reside at the bottom of the power pyramid?

How do they learn from the frontline about issues that are plaguing the system? How can you make it comfortable for them to come forward and share what they know in a way that could be used to inform policy making and priority setting?

**WIKIWISDOM IS THE ANSWER.**

A WikiWisdom Forum harnesses the power of technology, peer collaboration and networks to unearth frontline wisdom and connect it to the people with the power to make changes.

WikiWisdom uses the internet to offer people a chance to do more than gripe about their situation. It gives them the opportunity to use their knowledge to tell the powers that be how to do things better.

It’s a rare gift to people at both ends of the power structure.
The power of technology, peer collaboration and networks.