Effects of 6-month at-home transcranial direct current stimulation on cognition and cerebral glucose metabolism in Alzheimer's disease
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A B S T R A C T

Background: Although single or multiple sessions of transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) on the prefrontal cortex over a few weeks improved cognition in patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD), effects of repeated tDCS over longer period and underlying neural correlates remain to be elucidated.

Objective: This study investigated changes in cognitive performances and regional cerebral metabolic rate for glucose (rCMRglc) after administration of prefrontal tDCS over 6 months in early AD patients.

Methods: Patients with early AD were randomized to receive either active (n = 11) or sham tDCS (n = 7) over the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) at home every day for 6 months (anode F3/cathode F4, 2 mA for 30 min). All patients underwent neuropsychological tests and brain 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) scans at baseline and 6-month follow-up. Changes in cognitive performances and rCMRglc were compared between the two groups.

Results: Compared to sham tDCS, active tDCS improved global cognition measured with Mini-Mental State Examination (p for interaction = 0.02) and language function assessed by Boston Naming Test (p for interaction = 0.04), but not delayed recall performance. In addition, active tDCS prevented decreases in executive function at a marginal level (p for interaction < 0.10). rCMRglc in the left middle/inferior temporal gyrus was preserved in the active group, but decreased in the sham group (p for interaction < 0.001).

Conclusions: Daily tDCS over the DLPFC for 6 months may improve or stabilize cognition and rCMRglc in AD patients, suggesting the therapeutic potential of repeated at-home tDCS.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most prevalent type of dementia, affecting 5.5 million individuals over age 65 in the US alone [1]. Although AD is primarily characterized by progressive loss of memory, other cognitive domains such as language, visuospatial skills, and executive functions are also frequently impaired [2]. Despite its increasing prevalence and debilitating impact of AD, current treatment strategies demonstrate limited efficacy in preventing, slowing, or stopping the progression of the disease. Therefore, the exploration and development of novel treatments for AD are of highest importance.
Transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) is a non-invasive neuromodulation technique that delivers a weak electrical current to the brain through scalp electrodes. Previous research has indicated that tDCS can modulate cerebral cortical function by inducing changes in cortical excitability [3]. Compared to other brain stimulation methods such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS), tDCS is relatively safe, simple, portable, and inexpensive to administer [4].

An increasing number of studies have reported cognitive enhancing effects of tDCS in both healthy individuals and patients with cognitive impairment. While some studies targeted the temporal lobe [5–7], several other studies targeted the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) since it has widespread connections to cortical and subcortical regions and is involved in various cognitive functions including executive control and memory [8]. In particular, the left DLPFC may play crucial roles in self-initiation of memory strategy use and consolidation of information for the formation of long-term memory trace [9,10]. In healthy individuals, tDCS over the left DLPFC have shown to improve long-term memory, working memory, verbal fluency, and planning ability [11–14]. In patients with AD, recognition memory was enhanced by a single session (2 mA for 30 min) of tDCS in the left DLPFC [15]. Multiple tDCS sessions of the left DLPFC were also tried in AD patients and global cognition was improved after 10 daily sessions (2 mA for 25 min) [16]. A case report suggested that global cognitive function of a mild AD patient remained stable over 3 months after 10 daily sessions of tDCS over the left DLPFC (2 mA for 20 min) combined with cognitive training [17]. However, effects of multiple tDCS sessions over longer periods are needed to be further investigated, although a case study reported that 8 months of daily tDCS in the temporal lobe (2 mA for 30 min) improved memory and stabilized cognitive decline in an early-onset AD patient [18]. Recently, it has been suggested that home-based tDCS may be effective for repeated administrations over long periods [19].

Neuroimaging studies in early-stage AD have indicated structural and functional deficits mainly in the temporal and parietal regions [20]. However, neural correlates underlying the effects of tDCS in AD remain to be investigated. Our previous 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) study in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) showed that 9 sessions of tDCS in the DLPFC over 3 weeks (2 mA for 30 min) improve subjective memory functioning and regional cerebral metabolic rate for glucose (rCMRglc) in multiple brain areas such as the prefrontal, anterior cingulate, insular, hippocampal, and parahippocampal regions [21]. These results suggest that effects of tDCS may not be limited to the target site, but spread to other brain areas.

This study aimed to examine effects of home-based daily sessions of tDCS in the DLPFC over 6 months on cognitive function and rCMRglc in patients with early-stage AD, using neuropsychological tests and brain FDG-PET. We used bi-hemispheric stimulation (anode F3/cathode F4) based on our previous study in MCI [21] and following considerations. Bilateral prefrontal resources are often recruited for some cognitive processes such as working memory [22] and inhibitory effects of cathodal stimulation on cognition are weak or nonexistent [23]. In addition, bilateral stimulation may deliver current more deeply and broadly due to interhemispheric interactions and therefore may have wider effects on brain networks [24,25].

Methods

Participants

Patients between the ages of 60 and 85 years with early-stage probable AD were recruited at the Incheon St. Mary's Hospital (Incheon, South Korea) during the period from July to September 2017. The diagnosis of early-stage probable AD was made if the patient had a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0.5 or 1, and met the diagnostic criteria for probable Alzheimer’s disease based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV [26] and the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria [27]. Participants were excluded from the study if they had contraindications to tDCS (e.g., metallic implants in the head or history of seizure), history of head trauma, epilepsy, stroke, mixed or vascular dementia, or other neurological or psychiatric disorders. All patients had not received tDCS before enrollment and were being treated with donepezil at a dosage of 5 mg/day during the study period. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Incheon St. Mary's Hospital and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Since no prior study examined effects of tDCS over several months in AD, sample size calculation was based on a previous study, whereby MMSE was improved after 10 daily sessions of tDCS over the left DLPFC in patients with AD [16]. At 2-month follow-up, the estimated effect size was 1.33 (Cohen’s d). With an alpha of 0.05 and power of 0.8, the sample size was calculated as 10 patients for each group. Assuming both potentially larger effect sizes and follow-up loss over the longer stimulation period in this study, we aimed to recruit 10 patients per group.

Study protocol

This study used a randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled design consisting of active or sham tDCS treatment. Participants visited the hospital on at least seven different days including one baseline visit, three hospital-based tDCS sessions, two regular check-up visits (2-month post-stimulation & 4-month post-stimulation), and one 6-month post-stimulation follow-up visit. After enrollment, a research nurse who was not involved in the evaluation and analysis randomly assigned the patients into active or sham tDCS group using a computer program and set the tDCS devices to active or sham stimulation mode. The first three tDCS sessions were completed at the hospital under the supervision of the research nurse. At the first session, the research nurse provided the training and made sure a family caregiver could independently set up and use the tDCS device at home. Participants underwent cognitive assessment and brain FDG-PET imaging before the first stimulation (baseline) and after the end of the treatment period (6-month post-stimulation follow-up).

Transcranial direct current stimulation

Active or sham tDCS was applied via two surface electrodes with saline-soaked sponges (6 cm in diameter) every day for 6 months using the YDS-301 N device (YBrain Inc, South Korea). The anodal electrode was placed over the left DLPFC (F3; 10–20 EEG system) and the cathodal electrode over the right DLPFC (F4). For the active condition, the current was ramped up to 2.0 mA (current density, 0.07 mA/cm²) over 30 s, remained at 2.0 mA for 29 min, and ramped down to 0 mA over 30 s. For the sham condition, the current was ramped up to 2 mA over 30 s and ramped down over next 30 s. The devices were set to be used only once a day and the usage logs were automatically stored after each session. We checked the logs after the patients returned the devices at the follow-up visits.
Neuropsychological assessment

The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [28] and neuropsychological test battery were administered at baseline and 6-month follow-up. The latter consists of subtests that assess multiple cognitive domains including attention (Digit Span Test: forward and backward), language (Boston Naming Test [BNT]; repetition), visuospatial function (Rey Complex Figure Test [RCFT]; copy; Clock Drawing Test), memory (Seoul Verbal Learning Test [SVLT]; immediate recall, delayed recall, and recognition; RCT: immediate recall, delayed recall, and recognition), and executive function (Contrasting Program; Go-no go Test; Controlled Oral Word Association Test [COWAT]; animal, supermarket, and Korean letters; Stroop Test: word reading, and color reading) (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, we administered the CDR [29] and CDR-Sum of Boxes (CDR-SOB). Neuropsychological testing was conducted by a licensed neuropsychologist.

Image acquisition and analysis

Brain FDG-PET scans were performed using a Discovery PET/CT scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) at baseline and 6-month follow-up (Active intravenous injection of 185–259 MBq of FDG, participants rested in a supine position with eyes closed in a quiet, dimly lit room for 40 min. Forty-seven transaxial images were acquired with a matrix of 128 × 128 and a slice thickness of 3.27 mm (pixel size = 1.95 × 1.95 mm²) using 3D acquisition mode. Sixteen slices of CT images were also obtained for attenuation correction. The total scan time was 15 min. Standard filtering and ordered subset expectation maximization algorithm were applied for the reconstruction of PET images.

PET images were analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping 12 (SPM; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, Institute of Neurology, London, UK). All images were spatially normalized to the SPM PET template (Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada), resliced with a voxel size of 2 × 2 × 2 mm³, and smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Then, the images were scaled to the mean uptake of the pons [30] using proportional scaling, followed by grand mean scaling to 50.

To compare changes in rCMRglc between the two groups, group-by-time interaction effects were tested on a voxel-by-voxel basis. The voxel-wise significance threshold was set at p < 0.005 with a minimum cluster size of 100 contiguous voxels.

Computational modelling

When applying tDCS, the current distribution may be partially influenced by stimulation parameters and anatomical characteristics of the brain. Thus, computational modelling was performed to verify that the current reaches the targeted brain regions. Finite element method (FEM) models of two older adults of Asian ethnicity (S12, S13) from the ADNI database (www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI) were created to predict cortical electric field generated during tDCS. High-resolution T1-weighted images were segmented into six tissue/material masks by adapting the ROAST pipeline [31] for older adult anatomy. Segmentation initiated with SPM 8 [32] and automatic touch-up on the segmentation results were performed. Residual errors were patched by manual segmentation (ScanIP, Synopsys Inc., Mountain View, USA). Previously validated compartment conductivities were assigned, virtual bi-lateral (F3, F4) electrodes positioned and energized (left electrode: 2 mA inward current; right electrode: ground) corresponding to the experimental dose, and volumetric anatomy converted to mesh for numerically solving the Laplace equation [33].

Statistical analysis

Mann-Whitney tests and Fisher’s exact tests were used to compare baseline demographic and clinical characteristics between the groups. Linear mixed models with robust standard errors were used to test for group-by-time interaction effects on cognitive test scores. To check the robustness of the models, the residuals were tested for normality by visual inspection and Shapiro-Wilk tests. In case of skewed residuals, Mann-Whitney tests were conducted to compare pre-post changes between two groups. A two-tailed p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Due to the exploratory character of the current study, no correction for multiple comparisons was made. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 13.1 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Participant characteristics

A total of 20 patients with early AD were recruited and randomized into either the active tDCS group (n = 12) or the sham tDCS group (n = 8). Two participants dropped out of the study due to refusal or time conflict of a care giver (one in the active group and one in the sham group). Thus, 11 participants in the active group and 7 participants in the sham group completed the study and were included in the analysis (Fig. 1). Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants who completed the study are presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the groups in baseline characteristics including age, sex, education, handedness, MMSE, CDR, and CDR-SOB.

Effects of tDCS on cognitive performance

Results from cognitive assessments at baseline and follow-up are presented in Table 2. There were no significant baseline differences in any individual tests between the groups.

After tDCS sessions, scores of MMSE (p for interaction = 0.02) and BNT (p for interaction = 0.04) were improved in the active tDCS group compared to the sham group. In addition, the active tDCS stabilized some measures of executive function including Contrasting Program (p for interaction = 0.07) and Stroop word reading test (p for interaction = 0.09) at a marginal level, while these scores were decreased in the sham group.

Effects of tDCS on rCMRglc

In comparison of changes in rCMRglc between the two groups, a significant group-by-time interaction effect was found in the left middle/inferior temporal gyrus (peak t = 4.70, peak p < 0.001, peak coordinates = −54, −24, −24, cluster size = 135 voxels; Fig. 2). The relative rCMRglc from this significant cluster remained stable in the active group (67.3 ± 8.3 to 67.7 ± 7.9), but decreased in the sham group (76.8 ± 9.4 to 72.7 ± 10.5).

High-resolution computational models

The induced electric field magnitude was calculated across the cortical surface using high-resolution subject-specific models (Fig. 3). The distribution of electric field across the frontal cortex and peak magnitudes (Subject S12: 0.57 V/m, Subject S13: 0.62 V/m) were within the range previously reported for adults [34,35].
Discussion

The current study investigated effects of home-based daily tDCS in the DLPFC over 6 months on cognition among patients with early-stage AD. In addition, we tried to examine underlying neural correlates of tDCS treatment using FDG-PET. Our findings indicate that the tDCS have significant beneficial effects on global cognition, language function, and rCMRglc in AD patients.

First, we found improved global cognitive performance assessed by the MMSE after active tDCS. Particularly, the mean MMSE score was increased by 1.1 points in the active group, whereas it was decreased by 1.5 points in the sham group over the 6-month treatment period. As reported previously, MMSE score is expected to decline 2 to 4 points per year in untreated patients with mild to moderate AD [36,37]. Considering the expected annual decline of MMSE score, our finding may suggest that repeated tDCS over 6 months not only prevents the decline of global cognitive functioning but also improves it in patients with early-stage AD. Our findings are in line with the previous study, whereby 10 daily sessions of tDCS enhanced MMSE scores in AD patients [16]. However, since the MMSE was originally developed as a brief screening instrument, our result should be cautiously interpreted with other cognitive and neuroimaging findings.

Scores of BNT was also increased in the active tDCS group compared to the sham group. Anomia is commonly found in the early stage of AD. A previous study in healthy adults reported that anodal tDCS of the left DLPFC enhanced naming performance and this effect was not due to a general increase in arousal [38]. In addition, high-frequency repetitive TMS of the left and right DLPFC improved accuracy in action naming in AD patients [39]. These results suggest that the DLPFC may be a part of the cerebral network involved in lexical retrieval and selection processing in naming and tDCS over this region can ameliorate language deficits in patients with AD.

At a trend-level significance, performances in executive function and attention (Contrasting Program and Stroop word reading test) remained stable in the active tDCS group in contrast to reductions in the sham group. Besides memory impairment, executive and

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Treatment groups</th>
<th>Test statisticb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active tDCS (n = 11)</td>
<td>Sham tDCS (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>71.9 ± 9.2</td>
<td>74.9 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female:male</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>5:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>5.4 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right handedness</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>20.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>22.1 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR 0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR-SOB</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CDR-SOB, Clinical Dementia Rating - Sum of Boxes; tDCS, transcranial direct current stimulation.

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%).

Mann-Whitney tests for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact tests for categorical variables.
logical convention. Color bar represents the voxel-level template rendered in (a) 3D and (b) axial slices. Images are displayed in neuro-rebral glucose metabolism are overlaid on the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) burden [40,43]. However, changes in delayed recall performance indicators of need for supervision and care among AD patients, are cognitive dysfunction in AD and these deities are usually one of the earliest cognitive domains to be affected in AD patients [40–42]. Moreover, deficits in executive functioning and attention, key indicators of need for supervision and care among AD patients, are closely linked to inability to carry out daily activities and caregiver burden [40,43]. However, changes in delayed recall performance was not significantly different between the two groups, although asynaptic deficits are thought to be integral components of the cognitive dysfunction in AD and these deficits are usually one of the earliest cognitive domains to be affected in AD patients [40–42]. Moreover, deficits in executive functioning and attention, key indicators of need for supervision and care among AD patients, are closely linked to inability to carry out daily activities and caregiver burden [40,43]. However, changes in delayed recall performance was not significantly different between the two groups, although

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Active tDCS (n = 11)</th>
<th>Sham tDCS (n = 7)</th>
<th>p (baseline)</th>
<th>p (interaction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>20.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>21.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DST: forward</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>28.3 ± 12.7</td>
<td>32.0 ± 13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNT</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptition</td>
<td>26.4 ± 10.8</td>
<td>26.6 ± 9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial Function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCFT: copy</td>
<td>26.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>21.8 ± 12.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clock Drawing Test</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT: immediate recall</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>13.1 ± 5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT: delayed recall</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVLT: recognition</td>
<td>15.1 ± 5.9</td>
<td>15.6 ± 4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT: immediate recall</td>
<td>2.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFT: delayed recall</td>
<td>3.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>3.3 ± 4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrasting Program</td>
<td>15.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td>15.5 ± 6.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go-no go Test</td>
<td>15.7 ± 5.9</td>
<td>15.3 ± 7.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT: animal</td>
<td>8.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>8.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT: supermarket</td>
<td>10.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>11.4 ± 5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COWAT: phonemic</td>
<td>12.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td>12.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test: word reading</td>
<td>91.4 ± 32.8</td>
<td>85.2 ± 36.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Test: color reading</td>
<td>41.7 ± 28.6</td>
<td>39.5 ± 33.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Results of neuropsychological tests before and after 6-month transcranial direct current stimulation. *a Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. b p for comparisons of baseline scores using Mann-Whitney test. c p for group-by-time interaction from linear mixed model. d Mann-Whitney test for changes between groups due to skewed residuals of linear mixed model. e n = 6.

Fig. 2. Brain areas with significant group-by-time interaction effects of regional cerebral glucose metabolism are overlaid on the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) 152 template rendered in (a) 3D and (b) axial slices. Images are displayed in neurological convention. Color bar represents the voxel-level t-values.

an impairment in this function is one of the core characteristics of early AD. In addition, group-by-time interaction effects of RCFT copy and SVLT immediate recall also showed a marginal significance in favor of the sham group. These results may be due to the small sample size and relatively lower baseline scores in the sham group although the baseline differences were not significant.

Compared to the sham tDCS, the active treatment prevented decreases of rCMRglc in the left middle/inferior temporal gyrus. These areas have important interconnections with medial temporal cortex and are affected in early pathological stages of AD [44]. Furthermore, atrophy in the lateral temporal lobe was found in AD patients and correlated with the severity of memory and language deficits [45]. A previous FDG-PET study in early AD patients demonstrated associations between verbal semantic memory and rCMRglc in the left inferior temporal gyrus [46]. Effects of tDCS have been known to spread beyond the target site [47]. In this study, tDCS may have beneficial effects on glucose metabolism in the middle/inferior temporal regions and, in turn, cognitive functions. Potential mechanisms of tDCS on neural activity include NMDA receptors and dopaminergic and serotonergic systems [48]. In addition, a previous study using 31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy suggested that anodal tDCS increases cellular consumption of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and synthesis of ATP and phosphocreatine by mitochondria [49].

Our study has some limitations. First, the small sample size and the unequal number of patients in each group might decrease the statistical power. Second, all patients were taking medication for AD during the study period. Although the medication status was not different between the groups, possible medication effects cannot be ruled out. Third, we did not check at the end of the study whether the patients or caregivers noticed the stimulation type.
from evoked sensations. Lastly, multiple comparison corrections were not applied for the analysis. Thus, further studies should use more stringent statistical thresholds.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that home-based daily tDCS over 6 months may be beneficial for global cognitive performance, language function, and rCMRglc in patients with early-stage AD, suggesting the therapeutic potential of repeated-at-home tDCS. Our findings are preliminary and need to be replicated in larger samples with longer follow-up periods.
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