Early-Life Sugar Consumption Affects the Rat Microbiome Independently of Obesity1–3
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Abstract

Background: The gut microbiome has been implicated in various metabolic and neurocognitive disorders and is heavily influenced by dietary factors, but there is a paucity of research on the effects of added sugars on the gut microbiome.

Objective: With the use of a rodent model, our goal was to determine how added-sugar consumption during the juvenile and adolescent phase of development affects the gut microbiome.

Methods: Forty-two juvenile male Sprague-Dawley rats [postnatal day (PND) 26; 50–70 g] were given access to 1 of 3 different 11%-carbohydrate solutions designed to model a range of monosaccharide ratios commonly consumed in sugar-sweetened beverages: 1) 35% fructose:65% glucose, 2) 50% fructose:50% glucose, 3) 65% fructose:35% glucose, and 4) control (no sugar). After ad libitum access to the respective solutions for the juvenile and adolescent period (PND 26–80), fecal samples were collected for next-generation 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing and multivariate microbial composition analyses.

Results: Body weight, adiposity index, and total caloric intake did not differ as a result of sugar consumption. However, sugar consumption altered the gut microbiome independently of anthropometric measures and caloric intake. At the genus level, Prevotella [linear discriminant analysis (LDA) score = −4.62; P < 0.001] and Lachnospiraceae incertae sedis (LDA score = −3.01; P = 0.03) were reduced, whereas Bacteroides (LDA score = 4.19; P < 0.001), Alistipes (LDA score = 3.88; P < 0.001), Lactobacillus (LDA score = 3.78; P < 0.001, Clostridium sensu stricto (LDA score = 3.77; P < 0.001), Bifidobacteriaceae (LDA score = 3.59; P = 0.001), and Parasutterella (LDA score = 3.79; P = 0.004) were elevated by sugar consumption. No overall pattern could be attributable to monosaccharide ratio.

Conclusions: Early-life sugar consumption affects the gut microbiome in rats independently of caloric intake, body weight, or adiposity index; these effects are robust across a range of fructose-to-glucose ratios. J Nutr doi: 10.3945/jn.116.238816.
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Introduction

Colonization of the gut microbiome, which consists of an estimated 100 trillion microorganisms (1), begins during birth and continues into early childhood (2). Early-life gut microbial populations play a critical role in the development of the nervous system and the immune response and have been shown to affect behaviors such as anxiety and motor control into adulthood (3–6). Current findings also identified a role for the gut microbiome in the development of gastrointestinal diseases, such as ulcerative colitis and irritable bowel syndrome (7, 8), in metabolic pathologies such as insulin resistance (9) and obesity (10, 11) and in neurological disorders such as autism (12), Parkinson disease (13), and Alzheimer disease (14). Due to evidence linking the gut microbiome with human health and disease, it has been suggested that nurturing the development of a healthy patient/microbial “superorganism” is a cornerstone in the future of medicine (15, 16). Thus, an understanding of how modifiable environmental factors affect the gut microbiome, particularly during early-life developmental periods in which there is rapid microorganism colonization of the gut, is of critical importance for human health and disease prevention.

Recent experimental rodent studies revealed that dietary factors robustly affect the gut microbiome (17). In particular, an
obesity. For example, an HFD reduces populations in the phylum Bacteroidetes and increases both Firmicutes and Proteobacteria relative to control diets that are 10–15% kilocalories from fat with complex carbohydrates (starch) as the primary carbohydrate source (18, 19). Bacteroides aid in promoting T cell–mediated immune responses in the host and prevent the overgrowth of more harmful pathogens (20–22), whereas Proteobacteria and Firmicutes are generally associated with gut dysbiosis (23) and obesity (24), respectively. However, given that rodent HFD models produce obesity and metabolic syndrome, it is unclear whether HFD-mediated gut microbiome alterations are based directly on dietary factors or, rather, are secondary to increased adiposity and associated metabolic derangements.

Although many experimental rodent models showed that a diet that is high in both FAs and simple sugars (e.g., sucrose) affects the gut microbiome, there is a paucity of research on the contribution of added sugars independent of elevated dietary fat. In humans, over the past century, a large increase in the consumption of added sugars has occurred, particularly from sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) (25). For example, in children [the highest sugar consumers of any age group (26, 27)], 40% of added sugars come from SSBs (26), which is associated with increased risk of cardiovascular and metabolic disease (27–29) and weight gain (30). Moreover, changes in the food industry in the past decades have created a shift in the biochemical form in which sugars are frequently consumed (25, 31). For example, in the United States, the natural disaccharide sucrose (chemically bound fructose and glucose molecules, 50:50 ratio) has been largely replaced with sweeteners containing unbound fructose and glucose monosaccharide molecules, typically comprising an overall elevation in the fructose-to-glucose ratio compared with sucrose (e.g., high-fructose corn syrup) (32). A current study that used HPLC revealed that the percentage of fructose in high-fructose corn syrup in popular, commercially available SSBs ranged from 47% to 65%, with a mean fructose content of 59% (33). Thus, due to the excessive use of added sugars in the modern food environment, particularly in the form of SSBs, and the industrial development of sweeteners with monosaccharide ratios that diverge from those present in foods in their native form (typically with a higher fructose content than sugar), the current study elucidates the impact of SSBs that vary in the glucose-to-fructose ratio on gut microbial populations.

Evidence from human studies suggests that the gut microbiota that is present during early postnatal and adolescent periods likely plays a major role in subsequent health and disease (34, 35). Rodent studies in which the gut microbiota was manipulated during this critical period confirmed that developmental abnormalities present in germ-free mice are reversible when these mice were colonized with intestinal bacteria during early life but not during adulthood (36, 37). Because added sugars make up an increasing proportion of the diet during early-life periods of development in humans, and the gut microbiota during early life may have profound implications for health during the life span, our goal was to determine (by using a rodent model) how the consumption of added sugars (with varying fructose-to-glucose ratios, and in the form of SSBs) during the juvenile and adolescent period of development affects the gut microbiome, and whether these sugar-induced microbiome alterations are related to caloric intake and body weight gain.

Methods

Experimental design

Effect of different monosaccharide ratios of sugar on the fecal microbiome. Forty-two juvenile male Sprague-Dawley rats [Envigo; postnatal day (PND) 26; 50–70 g] were housed individually in standard conditions with a 12:12 light-dark cycle and were classified into 4 groups on the basis of solution feeding of the following: 1) 35% fructose and 65% glucose (n = 11), 2) 65% fructose and 35% glucose (n = 11), 3) 50% fructose and 50% glucose (n = 10), and 4) control (no sugar; n = 10). For each of the sugar groups, the concentration of total sugar in solution was 11% wt/vol (comparable to SSBs typically consumed by humans) in reverse osmosis–filtered water. In addition to sugar solutions (or an extra water bottle for the control group), rats were given access to Lab Diet 5001 (29.8% kilocalories from protein, 13.4% kilocalories from fat, 56.7% kilocalories from carbohydrate; PMI Nutrition International) and water ad libitum. Food intake, solution intake, and body weights were monitored 3 times/wk from PNDs 26 to 61, with additional recordings taken at PND 80 (focal collection) and a terminal recording at PND 92. The percentage of kilocalories consumed from each macronutrient was estimated by first multiplying the grams of feed pellets consumed by the gram percentage of each macronutrient in the feed pellets. The gram contribution of each macronutrient was converted to kilocalories by using the 4-, 4-, and 9-kcal/g conversion factors for carbohydrate, protein, and fat, respectively. This number was then divided by the total number of kilocalories consumed for a percentage contribution of each macronutrient. Feces were collected from the rats according to the following methods: each rat was placed in a sterile cage and gently restrained while lifting its tail until defection occurred. Feces were immediately placed into dry ice and stored at −80°C until time of processing for RNA sequencing. All of the experiments were performed in accordance with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Southern California.

A separate group of male Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 42; PND 26; 50–70 g) were housed individually in standard conditions with a 12:12 light-dark cycle and were classified into 4 groups in an identical design to cohort 1. After 6 wk in the same respective conditions, body weights and intakes of feed, sugar, and total kilocalories were similar to those in cohort 1 (Supplemental Figure 1). Body composition was assessed by using a Bruker NMR Minispec LF 90II (Bruker Daltronics, Inc.). The adiposity index was calculated as [fat mass (g)/lean mass (g)] × 100.

Taxonomic classification of 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. Fecal microbiome populations were identified by using next-generation high-throughput sequencing of the V3–V4 variable region of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA; Vaiomer SAS). Genomic DNA was isolated and collected from fecal samples, and DNA concentrations were determined by using UV spectroscopy (Nanodrop 2000; ThermoScientific). PCR amplification was performed by using 16S universal primers targeting the V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16S ribosomal gene (Vaiomer universal 16S primers), with a joint pair length encompassing 476-bp amplicons (MiSeq Reagent Kit V3, Illumina Inc.). The detection of sequencing fragments was performed by using MiSeq Illumina technology (Illumina Inc.). The 16S targeted sequences were then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) before taxonomic assignment and analyzed by using the bioinformatics pipeline as described previously (38, 39). The OTUs and taxonomy classifications were tabulated, and to account for differences in raw counts across the samples the tables were log normalized by using Equation 1:

\[
\log_{10}\left(\frac{\text{Raw Count}}{\# \text{ of sequences in sample}} \times \text{Average # of sequences per sample} + 1\right)
\]

Multidimensional scaling was performed on the tables by using the “capscale” function of the R statistical software package “vegan” (40) with Bray-Curtis dissimilarity.
Statistical analysis

Two-factor ANOVA (time \times group) with Holm-Sidak post hoc analyses were used to determine whether there were group differences in body weight and food, sugar, and total intakes. Data for fat mass, lean mass, and adiposity index were each statistically compared by using 1-factor ANOVA with an \( \alpha \) level of 0.05 (GraphPad Prism, version 6.0).

The following analyses were performed by using the statistical software R (41). Bacterial taxa that were differentially abundant in pairwise analysis of dietary groups were identified by using the Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test, followed by the Benjamini-Hochberg post hoc test with a false discovery rate of \( P < 0.10 \). The identified features were then subjected to the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) model with a threshold logarithmic LDA score set at 3.0 and ranked (42). Respective cladograms were generated with genus at the lowest level.

Differences in the abundances of bacteria classified at a given taxonomic level relative to the type of sugar consumed were determined by using the following model:

\[
\text{Abundance of bacteria}_{i} = \text{Fructose fraction} + e
\]

where “Abundance” represents log-normalized counts and the fructose fraction was a quantitative variable ranging from 35 to 65. Statistical models were only built for “nonrare taxa,” which were present in \( \geq 25\% \) of all samples. To determine whether the gut microbiota could explain differences in body weight (grams) or energy intake (kilocalories), a series of linear models were built, which we named intake variables, as follows:

\[
\text{Abundance of bacteria}_{i} = \text{Sugar vs. control} + \text{Intake variable} \\
+ \text{Intake variable} \times \text{Sugar vs. control} + e
\]

One model was built for each combination of the abundance of bacteria (the log-normalized counts at a phylogenetic level) and the intake variables [body weight (g), energy intake (kcal)]. Differences needed to survive the Benjamini-Hochberg post hoc test with a false discovery rate (FDR) of \( P < 0.10 \) were deemed to be significant.

Results

Effects of early-life sugar consumption on anthropometric measures. Consistent with our previous report (43), there was no effect of sugar or monosaccharide ratio on body weight gain when sugar was made available ad libitum throughout the entire juvenile and adolescent period (Figure 1A), and there were no differences in overall energy intake between the 4 groups (Figure 1B). Sugar consumption did not differ between groups fed the solutions with different monosaccharide ratios (Figure 1C). The lack of elevated weight gain in the sugar-fed groups is based, at least in part, by compensatory reductions in food intake in the sugar consumers (Figure 1D). When comparing 24-h food intake (Figure 1D), 2-factor ANOVA revealed a significant interaction (time \times sugar group; \( P < 0.0001 \)) with main effects of time (\( P < 0.0001 \)) and sugar group (\( P < 0.0001 \)). Post hoc analyses revealed that the sugar groups consumed significantly less food than did controls at each time point (\( P < 0.0001 \)). Thus, the lack of elevated body weight gain in the sugar-fed groups is based, at least in part, by compensatory reductions in food intake in the sugar consumers. All 3 sugar groups consumed a significantly lower percentage of energy from fat (\( P < 0.0001 \)) and protein (\( P < 0.0001 \)), likely due to compensatory reductions in food intake as a result of consuming sugar. There were no differences in body fat (Figure 2A), lean mass (Figure 2B), or overall adiposity index (Figure 2C) between the 4 groups.

Taxonomic classification of 16S rRNA sequence reads.

The Ribosomal Database Project classifier was used to assign taxonomy to the 16S rRNA sequence reads and QIIME was used to cluster the sequence reads into OTUs (Table 1).
Sugar solution consumption resulted in microbial separation. Results from our multidimensional scaling analysis on the taxonomic classification tables at all phylogenetic levels represent a summary of gut microbial composition (Figure 3). Rats fed the sugar solution (black symbols) compared with water (gray symbols) had distinct clustering patterns (Figure 3A–F). There was no clear separation based on the monosaccharide ratio of the sugar solutions administered (Figure 3G–L).

The distribution of P values derived from t tests performed separately for each family showed that approximately one-quarter of nonrare bacteria at the family level were significantly different between samples from rats fed a sugar solution and control samples at a 10% FDR (Table 2). These findings are also represented in the distribution of P values derived from statistical tests at the OTU level, which, unlike the case for sugar compared with no sugar (Figure 4A), are approximately uniform (Figure 4B) when evaluating the linear models in which the fructose-to-glucose ratio is compared with the relative abundance of each OTU. Likewise, none of the family-level bacteria had a difference in abundance with respect to sugar group at a FDR threshold of 10% (Supplemental Table 1).

Relation of fecal microbiota to body weight and energy intake. To determine how members of the microbial community are associated with body weight and calorie intake, we executed a series of linear regression models comparing these intake variables with log-normalized adjusted counts. All of these models included a categorical variable for sugar compared with nonsugar. By using Equation 3 as described in Methods at a 10% FDR threshold, there were no significant associations with body weight or food intake to any member of the microbial community and there was no association with any of the interaction terms at any phylogenetic level (Supplemental Figures 2–5). Likewise, the distribution of P values for body weight or calorie intake generated by Equation 3 produced near-uniform P values, suggesting little association (Figure 4C, D).

Effects of added sugar on abundance of fecal microbiota at different taxonomic levels. To further explore differences in the microbial community associated with sugar group, pairwise comparisons were made comparing sugar with the control at each phylogenetic level (from phylum to genus; Figure 5). At the phylum level, Proteobacteria (t-statistic = 3.44, P = 0.005) and Actinobacteria (t-statistic = 4.70, P = 0.002) were elevated in all sugar groups compared with controls. At the class level, Actinobacteria (t-statistic = 4.71, P = 0.002) and Bacilli (t-statistic = 4.48, P < 0.001) (of the phyla Actinobacteria and Firmicutes, respectively) were significantly elevated by sugar, as were Alpha- (t-statistic = 2.01, P = 0.04), Beta- (t-statistic = 2.61, P = 0.02), and Gamma- (t-statistic = 8.17, P < 0.001) Proteobacteria (of the phylum Proteobacteria). Bacteria of the orders Lactobacillales (t-statistic = 4.45, P < 0.001), Actinomycetales (t-statistic = 3.45, P < 0.001), Burkholderiales (t-statistic = 2.59, P = 0.02), and Enterobacteriales (t-statistic = 7.07, P < 0.001) were significantly elevated by added sugar. According to our LDA effective size analysis, many taxa were significantly different between sugar and control at the family level: for example, Clostridiales 1 (LDA score = 3.97, P < 0.001), Lactobacillales (LDA score = 3.78, P < 0.001), Rikenellales (LDA score = 3.93, P < 0.001), Porphyromonadaceae (LDA score = 3.36, P = 0.03), Bacteroidaceae (LDA score = 4.19, P < 0.001), Bifidobacteriales (LDA score = 3.59, P = 0.001), Sutterellaceae (LDA score = 3.73, P = 0.02), and Enterobacteriaceae (LDA score = 3.09, P < 0.001) were elevated by sugar, whereas Prevotellaceae (LDA score = −4.61, P = 0.002), Ruminococcaceae (LDA score = −4.24, P = 0.02), and Lachnospiraceae (LDA score = −4.37, P = 0.04) were reduced due to sugar consumption. At the genus level, Prevotella (LDA score = −4.62, P < 0.001) and Lachnospiraceae incertae sedis (LDA score = −3.01, P = 0.03) were reduced by sugar consumption, whereas Bacteroides (LDA score = 4.19, P < 0.001), Alastipes (LDA score = 3.88, P < 0.001), Lactobacillus (LDA score = 3.78, P < 0.001), Clostridium sensis stricto (LDA score = 3.77, P < 0.001), Bifidobacteriaceae (LDA score = 3.59, P = 0.001), and Parasutterella (LDA score = 3.79, P = 0.004) were all significantly elevated by sugar consumption.

Discussion

Herein we report, with the use of a rat model, that the gut microbiome is affected by added-sugar consumption during the
juvenile and adolescent stage of development and that these differences are independent of obesity status and caloric intake. Moreover, the monosaccharide ratio of fructose to glucose did not significantly contribute to the overall effects of sugar consumption on microbial populations. Given that we used added sugars designed to model those commonly consumed in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTUs, n</th>
<th>Sequence reads, n</th>
<th>Reads, n/sample</th>
<th>Minimum reads, n/sample</th>
<th>Maximum reads, n/sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RDP classified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,237,456</td>
<td>29,463.24 ± 411.07</td>
<td>21,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,198,210</td>
<td>29,185.60 ± 394.82</td>
<td>21,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1,187,343</td>
<td>29,006.20 ± 393.58</td>
<td>21,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1,086,649</td>
<td>28,720.07 ± 392.22</td>
<td>19,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>738,112</td>
<td>27,574.10 ± 327.48</td>
<td>12,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIIME OTUs2</td>
<td>4703</td>
<td>918,964</td>
<td>21,880.10 ± 394.77</td>
<td>15,833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Values are means ± SEMs unless otherwise indicated; n = 42 samples total. The treatment groups refer to the 3 groups of rats fed sugar solutions (35F:65G, n = 11; 50F:50G, n = 10; 65F:35G, n = 11) and the control group had no access to sugar but received a second water bottle instead. OTU, operational taxonomic unit; RDP, Ribosomal Database Project; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; 35F:65G, 35% fructose:65% glucose; 50F:50G, 50% fructose:50% glucose; 65F:35G, 65% fructose:35% glucose.

2 More than 25% of samples.

FIGURE 3 Summary of clustering patterns of fecal microbiota from rats fed 11% sugar solutions containing varying fructose-to-glucose ratios or controls by using multidimensional scaling. A distinct clustering pattern of fecal microbiota was observed between rats fed a sugar solution (n = 32) and the control group that received water instead of sugar (n = 10) (A–F). No distinct clustering pattern of fecal microbiota was observed at any phylogenetic level as a result of fructose-to-glucose ratio (n = 10 or 11) (G–L; based on a significance level of P < 0.05). MDS, multidimensional scaling; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; 35F:65G, 35% fructose:65% glucose; 50F:50G, 50% fructose:50% glucose; 65F:35G, 65% fructose:35% glucose.
beverages in human populations, both in terms of caloric content and monosaccharide ratio, the present findings may have implications with regard to the relation between added sugars and the gut microbiome in humans, although the translational potential of the present results requires further epidemiologic and experimental studies in humans.

To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of how added sugars affect the gut microbiome during the juvenile and adolescent period of development, during which the brain is particularly vulnerable to the effects of sugar and other dietary factors (44). However, the effects of added dietary sugars on gut microbial populations have been investigated in adult rodents. For example, high-sucrose diets (containing 70% of kcal from carbohydrate, mainly in the form of sucrose) have previously been shown to elevate Clostridiales (a class of Firmicutes) and reduce Bacteroidales (an order of the phylum Bacteroidetes) in adult rodents (45). In the present study we did not observe changes in either one of these populations due to sugar consumption. The age of the rats (adult compared with juvenile and adolescent), the percentage of total kilocalories from sugar (70% compared with ~40%), and the chemical composition of the sugar (disaccharide sucrose compared with free monosaccharides) may contribute to these differences. Another study found that a diet enriched in the monosaccharide fructose increases the population of the genus *Coprococcus* and *Ruminococcus* (both in the phylum Firmicutes) in adult rodents, and that either antibiotic treatment or a fecal microbiome transfer from rodents fed a healthy control diet reduces both the populations of these species as well the fructose-induced metabolic disease (46). We did not observe differences in *Coprococcus* or *Ruminococcus* in rats fed the highest dose of fructose; however, our study differed in that fructose was consumed by free choice in liquid form compared with 20.4% fructose in the feed pellets in this previous study. We did not see an effect of added sugars during the juvenile and adolescent period on body weight gain in our rodent model, nor did we observe an association between fecal microbiota and body weight.

**FIGURE 4** Differences in bacterial abundance between rats fed 11% sugar solutions and control rats (no sugar). The $P$ distribution was obtained from $t$ tests with the null hypothesis that there is no difference in OTU-level bacterial abundance in sugar ($n = 32$) and control ($n = 10$) samples (A) and a regression model with the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference in bacterial abundance between rats fed 1 of 3 sugar solutions differing in fructose-to-glucose ratio (B). Also presented is the $P$ distribution obtained from dependent variables of a simple linear regression with OTU-level bacteria abundance as an independent variable and either body weight (C) or energy intake (D) as the dependent variable. OTU, operational taxonomic unit.

**TABLE 2** Comparison of fecal bacteria at the family level between rats fed sugar solution and control rats (no sugar)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria family</th>
<th>Mean log-normalized sequence count in sugar samples ± SE</th>
<th>Mean log-normalized sequence count in control samples ± SE</th>
<th>$t$-Statistic $^2$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Sugar vs. control</th>
<th>BH-corrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>1.524 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.477 ± 0.035</td>
<td>7.082</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnobacteriaceae</td>
<td>0.900 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.184 ± 0.025</td>
<td>7.248</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynebacteriaceae</td>
<td>0.265 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.000 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4.790</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacteriaceae</td>
<td>1.749 ± 0.030</td>
<td>0.609 ± 0.059</td>
<td>4.519</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rikenellaceae</td>
<td>2.970 ± 0.006</td>
<td>2.425 ± 0.029</td>
<td>5.511</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridiaceae.1</td>
<td>2.611 ± 0.019</td>
<td>1.580 ± 0.057</td>
<td>4.918</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryomorphaceae</td>
<td>0.318 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.057 ± 0.012</td>
<td>4.151</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillaceae</td>
<td>2.684 ± 0.009</td>
<td>2.266 ± 0.025</td>
<td>4.467</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraxellaceae</td>
<td>0.421 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.086 ± 0.014</td>
<td>3.801</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrococcaceae</td>
<td>0.499 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.099 ± 0.023</td>
<td>3.866</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidaceae</td>
<td>3.371 ± 0.005</td>
<td>3.151 ± 0.014</td>
<td>4.102</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevotellaceae</td>
<td>3.583 ± 0.007</td>
<td>3.804 ± 0.016</td>
<td>3.521</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coriobacteriaceae</td>
<td>1.842 ± 0.008</td>
<td>1.636 ± 0.016</td>
<td>3.075</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonadaceae</td>
<td>0.428 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.191 ± 0.017</td>
<td>2.629</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Values are presented from bacteria classified at the family level whose abundances are significantly different with a BH-corrected $P < 0.10$. The sugar group refers to the 3 groups of rats fed sugar solutions combined (35F:65G, $n = 11$; 50F:50G, $n = 10$; 65F:35G, $n = 11$; $n = 32$ total) and the control group had no access to sugar but received a second water bottle instead ($n = 10$). BH, Benjamini-Hochberg; 35F:65G, 35% fructose:65% glucose; 50F:50G, 50% fructose:50% glucose; 65F:35G, 65% fructose:35% glucose.

$^2$ The $t$ statistic is positive when the mean abundance of the bacteria is higher in sugar samples and negative if higher in control samples.
Thus, we were able to investigate the impact of added sugars on the gut microbiome during early life independently of obesity. This is an important benefit to our design, because obesity is associated with an altered composition of the gut microbiome (10, 47–49). Added sugars have been shown to contribute to obesity and metabolic disease (27–30); therefore, an understanding of how sugars affect the gut microbiome during early-life periods and independent of obesity may help identify putative causal factors for the obesogenic effects of added sugar. Related to this, we observed that early-life sugar consumption significantly elevated Proteobacteria, and more specifically within this phylum, microbes from Enterobacteriaceae were increased by added-sugar consumption. Enterobacteriaceae is an abundant family of gram-negative bacteria that are also elevated in type 2 diabetes (50) and has recently been linked with artificial sweetener consumption (9). SSB consumption is associated with type 2 diabetes (51), and thus further investigations on the impact of Enterobacteriaceae on host glucose metabolism may be a promising avenue for future research.

At the genus level, several species were elevated in all 3 sugar groups, some of which were previously associated with various health and disease processes. Parabacteroides, a genus in the phylum Bacteroidae, were significantly elevated by sugar. Parabacteroides were previously shown to be elevated due to the consumption of soluble corn fiber, and Parabacteroides counts were negatively correlated with calcium absorption in adolescents (52). Clostridium sensu stricto was also elevated by sugar and has been correlated with the development of food allergy or food sensitization during early life (53, 54) and atopic dermatitis during childhood (55). Lactobacillus, a strain of bacteria associated with promoting regulatory T helper cells (56) and preserving tight junctions in the epithelial cells of the intestinal tract (57), was increased by sugar consumption, whereas previous studies showed that this strain is reduced in mice fed an HFD (58–61). Alistipes (genus in the family Rikenellaceae) and Bacteroides (genus in the family Bacteroidaceae) were also significantly elevated in all sugar groups compared with controls. A recent study identified both Alistipes and Bacteroides as being rapidly elevated in people who consumed an animal-based diet (consisting of meats, eggs, and cheese) compared with a plant-based diet (containing grains, legumes, fruit, and vegetables) (17). Bacteroides are highly equipped to utilize polysaccharides and contain many enzymes for hydrolyzing glycans, suggesting that they might thrive on a more polysaccharide-rich diet (62, 63). However, in our current study, rats that were fed sugar solutions consumed a greater proportion of calories from monosaccharides and a reduced contribution of calories from the polysaccharide-rich feed pellets (Lab Diet 5001). Thus, the increased amount of Bacteroides observed in the sugar groups is unexpected. Koropatkin et al. (63) postulated that elevated proportions of bacteria with glycolytic activity (e.g., Bacteroides) observed after the consumption of a high-fat and low-fiber diet could be consequent to the capacity for Bacteroides to metabolize host mucosal glycans. Thus, it is possible that the elevated consumption of simple sugars, which can be readily absorbed from the proximal intestine, promotes a competitive advantage for microbes in the distal gastrointestinal tract that are capable of finding an alternative food source (e.g., the host mucosal glycans).

Sugar consumption reduced counts of Prevotellaceae, a member of the Bacteroidetes class. This effect was primarily driven by reductions in the genus Prevotella, a gram-negative bacterium that aids in the breakdown of protein and carbohydrates (64). In humans, Prevotella amounts also correlate with regular fiber intake and are reduced with a high-protein diet.
In summary, early-life sugar consumption significantly alters the gut microbiome independently of obesity and total caloric intake in a rodent model. Sugar promoted multiple differences in the microbiota at all taxonomic levels; however, there was no apparent effect of glucose-to-fructose ratio between the groups. These seemingly novel findings lay the groundwork for future studies to focus on the functional implications of these sugar-induced alternations in the gut microbiota on metabolic and cognitive disorders associated with elevated sugar consumption during the juvenile and adolescent period of development.
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