## **SB866**

## **Problems At A Glance**

Are minors equipped to make their own medical decisions under pressure from authority figures without parents consent or knowledge?



Pervasive measures that enable our children to seek medical care in secrecy undermines Parents' inalienable rights to Decision-Making. SB 866 will inadvertently allow authorities such as medical practitioners and school personnel to be able to entice, pressure, and coerce our children to take the shot. There will be no regard to parental concerns or seeking family medical history, contraindications, or prior reactions to vaccines that could cause injury.

## **Important Questions to Consider**

- If this passes, to what extent should parents have authority over other medical decisions for their minor children they are responsible for? SB866 sets a precedent for medical care among children and young adolescents. We have a social responsibility to protect our children as well as public health.
- Who will be responsible for monitoring the child post vaccination?
- Who will be responsible for the child if they suffer a severe or mild reaction that requires medical care?
- Why rush an EUA (Emergency Use Authorization) product on our youngest population?
- How many other products will children be forced to take that are still in clinical trials?
- How will a vaccine provider ensure that the choice is not made under coercion, duress, or undue influence?
- Who will be responsible for documenting any reaction the child may have into the federal VAERS database?
- Do minors have the mental maturity and sound judgment to give informed consent?

Pro-vaccine, Vaccine Hesitant, and Californians opposed to vaccines are all concerned about a fairly new vaccine for developing children. The rotavirus vaccine is an example of a vaccine that was taken off the market soon after it was introduced. Sufficient safety data is essential for all parents to have a choice in the medical decisions they make for their children.

