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Overview
Section 1.0: Introduction

Section 1.1: Overview
The information in this document is reported annually by the members of the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force (Task Force) and Working Group. It consists of three sections. Section 1.0 provides an overview and includes summary tables for the federal and state budget requests.

Section 2.0 provides detailed information concerning the federal Everglades Ecosystem restoration projects and funding. Section 2.1 addresses the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) projects and funding and Section 2.2 addresses non-CERP projects and funding. The base program and operational funding requests for some federal agencies are not included in the document.

Section 3.0 provides the detailed information concerning state Everglades Ecosystem restoration projects and funding. Section 3.1 addresses CERP projects and funding, and Section 3.2 addresses non-CERP projects and funding. The Fiscal Year (FY) 2011/12 totals shown represent estimates for the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). When finalized the FY 2011/12 actual budget totals for SFWMD will be posted on the Task Force website: www.sfrestore.org.

Section 1.2: Federal and State of Florida Funding Summary Tables
The following tables provide a summary of the detailed funding information found in Sections 2.0 and 3.0 of this document. Table 1 includes budget information provided by federal members and Table 2 includes budget information provided by the State of Florida members.

The funding for the federal agencies and the SFWMD reflects a fiscal year that begins on October 1 and ends on September 30 of each year. The funding for other state agencies reflects a fiscal year that starts on July 1 and ends on June 30 of each year.
## TABLE 1: FEDERAL FUNDING SUMMARY (ACTUAL $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROGRAM (CERP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE- CERP (Part of Central and Southern Florida)</td>
<td>27,961,000</td>
<td>37,062,000</td>
<td>39,063,000</td>
<td>64,446,000</td>
<td>62,610,000</td>
<td>64,000,000</td>
<td>64,000,000</td>
<td>83,640,000</td>
<td>119,966,000</td>
<td>117,525,000</td>
<td>79,860,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE- CERP (American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td>86,796,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOD - NPS CERP</td>
<td>5,544,000</td>
<td>5,513,000</td>
<td>5,463,000</td>
<td>5,213,000</td>
<td>5,174,000</td>
<td>5,212,000</td>
<td>5,132,000</td>
<td>5,108,000</td>
<td>5,198,000</td>
<td>5,150,000</td>
<td>5,109,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOD - FWS CERP</td>
<td>3,351,000</td>
<td>3,329,000</td>
<td>3,309,000</td>
<td>3,304,000</td>
<td>3,269,000</td>
<td>3,269,000</td>
<td>3,251,000</td>
<td>3,251,000</td>
<td>3,251,000</td>
<td>3,251,000</td>
<td>3,251,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROGRAM (CERP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE - Central and Southern Florida (excluding CERP)</td>
<td>64,949,000</td>
<td>49,983,000</td>
<td>64,906,000</td>
<td>8,029,000</td>
<td>9,126,000</td>
<td>6,447,000</td>
<td>14,505,000</td>
<td>9,075,000</td>
<td>10,600,000</td>
<td>34,805,000</td>
<td>36,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE – Non-CERP American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,516,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE - Critical Projects</td>
<td>19,876,000</td>
<td>19,526,000</td>
<td>14,760,000</td>
<td>25,813,000</td>
<td>11,880,000</td>
<td>8,289,000</td>
<td>8,156,000</td>
<td>3,472,000</td>
<td>2,725,000</td>
<td>5,170,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE-Kissimmee River Restoration</td>
<td>25,846,000</td>
<td>23,727,000</td>
<td>17,616,000</td>
<td>17,871,000</td>
<td>13,042,000</td>
<td>50,264,000</td>
<td>30,968,000</td>
<td>28,361,000</td>
<td>44,673,000</td>
<td>6,986,000</td>
<td>45,614,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE - Biscayne Bay</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>239,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE- Modified Water Deliveries</td>
<td>34,650,000</td>
<td>35,000,000</td>
<td>9,840,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA - ARS</td>
<td>4,846,900</td>
<td>5,216,800</td>
<td>5,415,100</td>
<td>6,101,000</td>
<td>4,908,600</td>
<td>4,941,000</td>
<td>4,754,500</td>
<td>4,764,700</td>
<td>4,865,000</td>
<td>4,797,600</td>
<td>4,434,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA- NRCS</td>
<td>37,752,000</td>
<td>21,376,000</td>
<td>23,580,000</td>
<td>62,539,337</td>
<td>61,505,271</td>
<td>5,143,335</td>
<td>13,240,175</td>
<td>61,017,879</td>
<td>154,409,000</td>
<td>111,522,600</td>
<td>63,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Department of Commerce - NOAA</td>
<td>4,065,000</td>
<td>4,065,000</td>
<td>4,359,000</td>
<td>4,389,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>1,910,000</td>
<td>1,648,778</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### EVERGLADES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROGRAM (CERP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Park Management</td>
<td>23,635,000</td>
<td>23,874,000</td>
<td>23,991,000</td>
<td>25,266,000</td>
<td>25,832,000</td>
<td>26,377,000</td>
<td>28,481,000</td>
<td>29,852,000</td>
<td>31,400,000</td>
<td>30,441,000</td>
<td>31,415,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force</td>
<td>1,325,000</td>
<td>1,320,000</td>
<td>1,308,000</td>
<td>1,290,000</td>
<td>1,286,000</td>
<td>1,307,000</td>
<td>1,303,000</td>
<td>1,303,000</td>
<td>1,320,000</td>
<td>1,317,000</td>
<td>1,305,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Critical Ecosystem Studies Initiative</td>
<td>4,000,000</td>
<td>3,974,000</td>
<td>3,937,000</td>
<td>3,882,000</td>
<td>3,840,000</td>
<td>3,864,000</td>
<td>3,849,000</td>
<td>3,849,000</td>
<td>3,873,000</td>
<td>3,865,000</td>
<td>3,829,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Modified Water Deliveries</td>
<td>35,199,000 a</td>
<td>9,935,000</td>
<td>12,830,000</td>
<td>7,965,000</td>
<td>24,962,000 b</td>
<td>13,330,000</td>
<td>14,299,000</td>
<td>60,000,000</td>
<td>8,400,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI – NPS Big Cypress Sustainable Trail System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI – NPS American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,931,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Federal Land Acquisition Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Land Acquisition (management)</td>
<td>2,800,000</td>
<td>2,782,000</td>
<td>1,800,000</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>690,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>730,000</td>
<td>775,000</td>
<td>775,000</td>
<td>1,025,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - NPS Land Acquisition Grants to Florida</td>
<td>15,000,000</td>
<td>15,421,000</td>
<td>(5,000,000) b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Ecological Services</td>
<td>2,554,000</td>
<td>2,537,000</td>
<td>2,523,000</td>
<td>2,518,000</td>
<td>2,516,000</td>
<td>2,521,000</td>
<td>2,475,000</td>
<td>2,475,000</td>
<td>2,475,000</td>
<td>2,475,000</td>
<td>4,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Refuges and Wildlife</td>
<td>3,706,000</td>
<td>3,682,000</td>
<td>9,784,000</td>
<td>4,787,000</td>
<td>4,086,000</td>
<td>4,086,000</td>
<td>4,022,000</td>
<td>4,022,000</td>
<td>4,022,000</td>
<td>4,022,000</td>
<td>4,022,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Migratory Birds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Law Enforcement</td>
<td>636,000</td>
<td>632,000</td>
<td>628,000</td>
<td>627,000</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>609,000</td>
<td>609,000</td>
<td>609,000</td>
<td>609,000</td>
<td>609,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Fisheries</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>99,000</td>
<td>98,000</td>
<td>99,000</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,212,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - FWS Land Acquisition</td>
<td>8,500,000</td>
<td>2,484,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>740,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,028,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - USGS - Integrated Research, Planning and Interagency Coordination</td>
<td>8,636,000</td>
<td>7,847,000</td>
<td>7,847,000</td>
<td>7,738,000</td>
<td>7,771,000</td>
<td>7,042,062</td>
<td>6,800,000</td>
<td>6,907,000</td>
<td>6,907,000</td>
<td>6,893,000</td>
<td>6,907,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDOI - BIA</td>
<td>396,000</td>
<td>393,000</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td>536,000</td>
<td>382,000</td>
<td>382,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
<td>390,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US EPA</td>
<td>4,666,800</td>
<td>3,352,100</td>
<td>3,139,600</td>
<td>2,882,300</td>
<td>3,439,400</td>
<td>3,683,000</td>
<td>2,009,000</td>
<td>2,161,000</td>
<td>2,168,000</td>
<td>1,653,000</td>
<td>2,061,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CERP Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(USACE and USDOI)</td>
<td>36,856,000</td>
<td>45,904,000</td>
<td>47,835,000</td>
<td>72,963,000</td>
<td>71,053,000</td>
<td>72,481,000</td>
<td>72,383,000</td>
<td>93,099,000</td>
<td>215,211,000</td>
<td>125,926,000</td>
<td>88,220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-CERP Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(USACE and USDOI)</td>
<td>217,398,000</td>
<td>168,416,000</td>
<td>157,567,000</td>
<td>108,735,000</td>
<td>140,878,000</td>
<td>160,224,062</td>
<td>127,666,000</td>
<td>169,618,000</td>
<td>125,876,000</td>
<td>109,491,000</td>
<td>178,040,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-CERP Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Other Federal Agencies)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51,330,700</td>
<td>34,009,900</td>
<td>36,493,700</td>
<td>75,911,637</td>
<td>72,853,271</td>
<td>16,767,335</td>
<td>23,003,675</td>
<td>70,943,579</td>
<td>163,352,000</td>
<td>119,621,978</td>
<td>69,495,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-CERP Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(All Federal Agencies)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>268,728,700</td>
<td>202,425,900</td>
<td>194,060,700</td>
<td>184,646,637</td>
<td>213,731,271</td>
<td>176,991,397</td>
<td>150,669,675</td>
<td>240,561,579</td>
<td>289,228,000</td>
<td>229,112,978</td>
<td>247,535,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CERP AND NON-CERP (USACE AND USDOI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254,254,000</td>
<td>214,320,000</td>
<td>205,402,000</td>
<td>181,698,000</td>
<td>211,931,000</td>
<td>232,705,062</td>
<td>200,049,000</td>
<td>262,717,000</td>
<td>341,087,000</td>
<td>354,417,000</td>
<td>266,260,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CERP AND NON-CERP (ALL FEDERAL AGENCIES)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>305,584,700</td>
<td>248,329,900</td>
<td>241,895,700</td>
<td>257,609,637</td>
<td>284,784,271</td>
<td>249,472,397</td>
<td>223,052,675</td>
<td>333,660,579</td>
<td>504,439,000</td>
<td>355,038,978</td>
<td>335,755,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Base program and operational funding requests for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers are not included in the information provided within this Cross-Cut Budget Working Document.

Footnotes:
1 USACE CERP activities are funded under the Central and Southern Florida Project (C&SF).
2 USACE FY 2006 Enacted reflects reductions for rescission and congressionally directed funding for the C&SF Upper St. Johns River project.
3 Enacted numbers for USACE reflect reductions for any rescissions, but do not account for reductions due to savings and slippage.
4 Beginning with the FY 2006 Budget Request these projects are now included as part of one Corps of Engineers line item referred to as the “South Florida Everglades Ecosystem Restoration” Program.
5 Enacted numbers for FY 2005 and FY 2006 reflect additional Emergency Watershed Protection Program funding due to hurricanes.
6 Reflects $19,199,000 for construction and $16,000,000 for land acquisition.
7 Includes the transfer of $17 million in unobligated balances from the NPS Federal Land Acquisition Account to NPS Construction to further the Modified Water Deliveries project.
8 Reflects the transfer of $5,000,000 in prior year balances from the USDOI - NPS Land Acquisition Account to the USDOI-FWS Resource Management Account.
9 NPS CERP funding includes GSA space rental costs in the following amounts: FY 2004 - $741,000; FY 2005 – $556,000; FY 2006 - $554,000; FY 2007 - $554,000; FY 2008 - $475,000; FY 2009 - $409,000; FY 2010 – $409,000; FY 2011 - $409,000. This report updates rental costs for 2008 and 2009, and provides estimates for 2010, 2011, and 2012.
10 All Wetlands Reserve Program funding amounts for FY12 are not available at this time. The table will be updated as appropriate when data is available.
## TABLE 3: STATE OF FLORIDA FUNDING SUMMARY TABLE (ACTUAL $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROGRAM (CERP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Environmental Protection</td>
<td>90,380,949</td>
<td>150,279,126</td>
<td>128,972,634</td>
<td>128,637,628</td>
<td>136,615,473</td>
<td>102,093,964</td>
<td>57,205,964</td>
<td>48,590,234</td>
<td>51,808,839</td>
<td>31,065,743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission</td>
<td>411,000</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>419,000</td>
<td>336,359</td>
<td>336,359</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,465,301</td>
<td>2,722,651</td>
<td>1,496,946</td>
<td>1,640,302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PROGRAM (CERP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Agriculture/Consumer Services</td>
<td>7,608,917</td>
<td>15,523,202</td>
<td>16,215,100</td>
<td>8,531,378</td>
<td>5,132,269</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Community Affairs</td>
<td>15,314,720</td>
<td>51,580,680</td>
<td>29,781,074</td>
<td>31,349,633</td>
<td>24,252,571</td>
<td>24,499,270</td>
<td>31,616,692</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Environmental Protection</td>
<td>72,654,344</td>
<td>109,393,692</td>
<td>92,364,834</td>
<td>102,222,540</td>
<td>176,467,770</td>
<td>203,236,072</td>
<td>78,118,780</td>
<td>47,179,935</td>
<td>21,058,307</td>
<td>17,803,512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission</td>
<td>19,681,000</td>
<td>21,306,000</td>
<td>25,729,000</td>
<td>27,579,153</td>
<td>27,579,153</td>
<td>28,682,319</td>
<td>4,714,329</td>
<td>54,582,358</td>
<td>43,409,812</td>
<td>55,075,189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>4,931,000</td>
<td>10,528,832</td>
<td>1,940,300</td>
<td>7,905,314</td>
<td>5,400,000</td>
<td>14,375,043</td>
<td>9,453,057</td>
<td>9,766,285</td>
<td>18,004,018</td>
<td>5,812,246</td>
<td>23,162,514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CERP SUBTOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>182,500,765</td>
<td>283,972,771</td>
<td>213,893,171</td>
<td>230,428,562</td>
<td>299,820,508</td>
<td>478,050,397</td>
<td>420,993,975</td>
<td>675,800,502</td>
<td>1,113,120,018</td>
<td>604,593,751</td>
<td>359,188,934</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NON-CERP SUBTOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>515,504,108</td>
<td>581,033,793</td>
<td>547,898,355</td>
<td>477,296,026</td>
<td>554,236,072</td>
<td>513,784,828</td>
<td>417,931,704</td>
<td>157,608,603</td>
<td>280,368,613</td>
<td>91,794,655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STATE OF FLORIDA FUNDING TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>698,004,873</td>
<td>865,006,564</td>
<td>761,791,526</td>
<td>707,724,588</td>
<td>936,921,525</td>
<td>1,206,649,521</td>
<td>978,948,292</td>
<td>1,393,494,932</td>
<td>958,242,729</td>
<td>552,024,802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes:
1. Reflects SFWMD adopted budget appropriations less any state and federal funds.
2. Since the publication date of each year’s Cross-Cut Budget precedes the budget cycle for the SFWMD and FDEP, the FY 2010-11 totals shown represent estimates. When FY 2010-11 budget totals are available, they will be posted on the website link to the Cross-Cut Budget 2011 Working Document at www.sfrestore.org. The same information will also be included in the FY 2012 Cross-Cut Budget document.
3. The number reflected does not include Forestry’s contribution for FY 2006-07, FY 2007-08, 2008-09, 2009-10 and 2010-11.
4. Senate Bill 2156 (Chapter 2011-142, Laws of Florida) downsized Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA). As a result, we will no longer be reporting DCA’s budget information. For more information see page 30.
Section 2.0

Federal Everglades Ecosystem Restoration Projects and Funding
Section 2.1: Federal Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) Projects and Funding ($88,220,000)

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ($79,860,000)

Congress authorized the CERP in the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2000. The objective of the program is to restore, protect, and preserve the South Florida Ecosystem, while providing for other water related needs of the region. The CERP includes numerous projects that work together to achieve the plan’s restoration goals. WRDA 2000 requires the completion of Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) for these projects. The PIRs provide further information on plan formulation and evaluation, engineering and design, estimated benefits and costs, and environmental effects of planned restoration activities. The PIRs serve to bridge the gap between the conceptual level of detail contained in the CERP and the detailed design plans and specifications required to proceed with construction. Additionally, Congress authorized three projects in WRDA 2007. Authorized projects included the Indian River Lagoon South, Picayune Strand Restoration, and the Site 1 Impoundment projects.

From a project perspective, the major focus of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) FY 2012 activities includes continuing construction on the Picayune Strand Project and the Site 1 Impoundment project; continuing construction on the Indian River Lagoon South project features at C-44 and completion of construction for the melaleuca eradication facility; continuation of detailed design on completed PIRs; continuation of detailed design on pilot projects, including the testing and monitoring of aquifer storage and recovery; completion of one PIR and one feasibility study; continuation of other ongoing PIRs; and continuation of project adaptive assessment and monitoring activities used to monitor the effects of projects as they are implemented.

From a program perspective, FY 2012 CERP activities include continuation of Restoration Coordination and Verification (RECOVER), an inter-agency scientific group charged with system-wide assessments of planned and completed projects as well as with programmatic level activities. RECOVER’s science-based activities include evaluation and assessment on the performance of the CERP, review of the effects that other restoration projects may have on CERP, and provision of a system-wide perspective throughout the restoration process. Other program level activities include continued reassessment of project sequencing to optimize delivery of benefits as contained in the Integrated Delivery Schedule.

U.S. Department of the Interior - National Park Service ($5,109,000)

The CERP is a framework and guide to restore, protect, and preserve the water resources of central and southern Florida. Projects affecting National Park Service (NPS) lands and waters occur in phases through the end of CERP implementation. The NPS works with the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) to support CERP projects through the development of restoration performance measures and quantitative evaluations of the environmental benefits of proposed actions.

CERP projects will have significant effects on Big Cypress National Preserve (BCNP), Biscayne National Park (BNP), and Everglades National Park (ENP). The NPS continues to concentrate
on projects that are essential to the restoration of federal lands in south Florida. The NPS actively participates in the project development teams for such projects including seepage management in the L-30/L-31N Canals, early features in the Water Conservation Area (WCA) 3 Decomartmentalization and Sheetflow Enhancement, the C-111 Spreader Canal project, and the Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands. The NPS also supports work on important, large-scale projects that are critical precursors to CERP.

To support these project-level activities, the NPS, in cooperation with other federal, state, and local partners, is implementing a Monitoring and Assessment Plan for CERP, which will provide the information to determine the ecological effects and overall restoration success of CERP projects. Additionally the NPS will continue to participate in RECOVER, the interagency group responsible for science input to the CERP.

Finally, the NPS will continue to participate in the U.S. Department of Interior’s (DOI) formal requirements on programmatic activities including: updates to the CERP Programmatic Regulations; guidance memoranda to formalize how CERP projects will be built, operated, and evaluated; interim goals that will be used to track restoration progress and provide five-year status reports to Congress; and the identification of the appropriate quantity, timing, and distribution of water that will be produced, and pursuant to federal and state law, dedicated and managed for the natural system.

The CERP planned activities for FY 2012 include:

- For federal projects, the program will continue to represent the NPS on technical issues related to CERP programmatic regulations, interim goals, and guidance memoranda. For Florida state projects, the program will continue to represent the NPS on the establishment of initial reservations, minimum flows and levels, and water supply planning.
- The program will participate in the development of the Combined Operational Plan and Conveyance and Seepage Control Features (CSCF) of the Modified Water Deliveries (MWD) project, will track implementation of the one-mile bridge component, and will work on remaining issues regarding full implementation of the project. Staff will also engage in the implementation of a modified monitoring program to assess the effects of the constructed MWD project on NPS lands and resources.
- For Everglades water quality, the program will:
  - Continue to track the water quality improvements from completion of stormwater treatment areas that are part of the State’s Everglades Construction Project;
  - Continue to provide technical support to the Department of the Interior (DOI) and the Department of Justice processes that pertain to the quality of water entering the Everglades;
  - Participate in the technical processes to design and schedule the construction of new water quality treatment areas that result from the 2010 State of Florida acquisition of lands (the River of Grass Acquisition) and the Amended Water Quality determination issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 2010.
- For additional large scale projects that affect NPS resources and link with restoration projects (for example, the planned nuclear plant expansion and transmission corridor of
the Florida Power and Light Company), staff will participate in planning efforts, tracking the progress of the project and providing environmental analyses of impacts on NPS resources.

- For ecosystem restoration projects close to full implementation (C-111 South Dade project, water operations plans), the program will continue to track and begin to report on the effects of these changes on NPS natural resources.
- For ecosystem restoration projects currently being constructed (C-111 Spreader Canal project, Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands project), the program will track and analyze implementation, report on progress, and monitor changes in design or operations that develop during implementation.
- For CERP projects in the planning phase, staff will participate in interagency project design teams and on RECOVER teams for system-wide science input to the CERP, and will provide evaluation reports and other technical and scientific input for the projects that directly affect NPS managed lands.
- A selected plan will be available for the fundamental Tamiami Trail Next Steps project, and the program will continue to brief decision-makers from the local to the Washington level to support authorization and appropriation for this project. This project, like the MWD project, is a critical part of the foundation upon which the plan for the CERP is built. This foundation must be completed prior to implementation of key CERP projects that restore water flow southward through the Everglades.
- NPS staff will participate in weekly consultations with water operations staff at the implementing agencies and provide technical input on park resources for use in managing the short-term operations of the Central and Southern Florida (C&SF) system.

**U.S. Department of the Interior - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ($3,251,000)**

The FY 2012 request for CERP implementation will support approximately 30 full-time employees that actively serve on planning teams for all CERP and non-CERP restoration projects initiated by the Corps. This will enable the FWS to fulfill its Trust Resource responsibilities under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA), and other statutes as well as the CERP Programmatic Regulations as part of the restoration effort. The FWS is an integral planning partner in formulating alternatives, designing, assessing and monitoring, and adaptively managing CERP project components during its implementation. The FWS is responsible for providing environmental expertise to the Corps and the SFWMD. The FWS also is involved in guiding Everglades restoration at a system-wide scale through the following activities: biannual system status reports, participation in RECOVER activities, River of Grass Initiative, and System Operating Manual.

In FY 2012, the FWS will participate in the development and execution of the following projects: Water Conservation Area (WCA)-3 Decompartementalization and Sheetflow Enhancement, the Everglades Restoration Transition Plan, the MWD project and the Combined Operational Plan, Kissimmee River Restoration, Kissimmee Chain of Lakes, Lake Okeechobee Watershed, C-43 Reservoir, Indian River Lagoon, Water Preserve Areas, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, North Palm Beach County – Part 1, and other major restoration projects. These activities will include assistance in plan formulation and ecological benefit analysis, ESA Section 7 consultation, recovery plan implementation, monitoring and adaptive management, restoration and management activities on DOI lands, CERP project planning, preparation of Fish and
Wildlife Coordination Act Reports, system-wide water quality improvement, land acquisition, migratory bird and fisheries conservation, and a myriad of multi-agency planning, science, and outreach efforts. As a recognized leader in the science of ecosystem restoration, the FWS participates as the biological and ecological expert and is an integral planning and implementation partner in the CERP to ensure that ecosystem benefits are maximized consistent with long-term CERP project goals. The FWS will design features and project components that maximize natural resource benefits through active participation throughout the restoration planning process.

Section 2.2: Federal Non-CERP Everglades Ecosystem Restoration Projects and Funding ($247,535,500)

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ($82,864,000)

Central and Southern Florida Project ($36,250,000)

NOTE: The $36,250,000 indicated above does not reflect $79,860,000 in funding requested for CERP projects, which is reported in Section 2.1.

South Dade County, C-111 Project

This project consists of modifications to the C&SF Project to provide more natural hydrologic conditions in Taylor Slough and to minimize damaging flood releases to Barnes Sound/Manatee Bay, while maintaining flood protection for adjacent agricultural lands. The FY 2012 activities include initiating construction of the north detention area, continued engineering and design of remaining canal backfilling, water quality monitoring, and levee vegetative removal.

West Palm Beach Canal, Canal-51/Stormwater Treatment Area 1 East Project

This project consists of design and construction of the Canal 51/Stormwater Treatment Area 1 East (C-51/STA 1E) project to provide flood control for the western C-51 basin, provide water quality enhancement, and restore a portion of the historic Everglades flows. FY 2012 activities include continuation of the STA 1E culvert and trash rake system repair work.

Everglades and South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Critical Projects ($1,000,000)

This program involves the implementation of "critical restoration projects" authorized in Section 528 of WRDA 1996, as modified by WRDA 2007, Section 6006. FY 2012 activities include continuation of construction on the Seminole Big Cypress project.

Kissimmee River Restoration ($45,614,000)

This project involves restoring the historic habitat in much of the Kissimmee River floodplain and restoring water-level fluctuations and seasonal discharges from Lakes Kissimmee, Cypress, and Hatchineha in the upper basin. The FY 2012 activities include continued construction of the U-shaped weir and initiation of construction for the gated spillway at S-65EX1 and the backfill for Reach 3.
Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park ($ See note below)
NOTE: Funding for FY 2012 activities ($8,000,000) are budgeted under the NPS construction account and provided to the Corps.

The MWD project involves construction of modifications to the C&SF Project water management system and related operational changes to provide improved water deliveries to ENP. The project consists of structural features with the intended purpose of restoring conveyance between WCAs north of ENP and the Shark River Slough within the park. It will also provide flood mitigation to the 8.5 Square Mile Area, a residential area adjacent to the park expansion boundary in the East Everglades. The FY 2012 activities include completion of construction on the Tamiami Trail (Eastern Segment) to improve the historic flow of Shark River Slough to ENP.

U.S. Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service ($4,434,500)

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Agricultural Research Service (ARS) conducts an integrated research program that addresses the needs of agriculture and complements the CERP. The goal of the research is to develop and transfer improved scientific technologies and enhanced management strategies that control invasive exotic species and assure the continued economic integrity of agriculture. Three major areas of research support south Florida restoration and agriculture: hydrology and water quality, improved crop/animal production systems, and biological control of invasive species. Individual projects supporting these priority areas are as follows:

Hydrology and Water Quality
Algal-based Water Treatment Technologies for Sustainable Horticultural Crop Production ($830,700)
The Horticultural and Breeding Research Unit at Fort Pierce, Florida, conducts research to develop management practices and production systems that promote water conservation and protect water quality while sustaining or improving crop quality, production, and profitability. Research objectives of the project are to: 1) determine the capacity, utility, and sustainability of algal-based water treatment systems to remove nutrients and change chemistry of influent or effluent water resources under nursery production conditions and year-round horticultural production operations, and 2) determine the benefits and impacts of water reuse and algal-based products in horticultural production systems and related micronutrient requirements in select floriculture, nursery, citrus, and/or viticulture crops. The expected impact of the research is information to be used in the development of best management practices (BMPs) that will allow producers to maximize profits, and minimize the impact of production on water resources, and better define water fate and transport on nursery operations. Successfully accomplishing these goals will help preserve or improve Florida’s economy and the quality of life for the state’s citizens.

Soil Conservation for Sustainable Sugarcane Production ($396,000)
The Sugarcane Field Station in Canal Point, Florida, develops high-yielding, disease-resistant sugarcane cultivars. Current research efforts are targeted at improving yield and genotypes for sugarcane grown under high water tables, flooded conditions, and sandy soils. Improved knowledge of the physiologic, morphologic, and agronomic
responses of sugarcane genotypes to high water table and flood will help improve adaptation, yields, and selection for these conditions.

- **Conservation, Characterization, and Genetic Improvement of Subtropical and Tropical Ornamental Germplasm ($606,200)**
  One of the objectives of the Subtropical Horticultural Research Unit in Miami, Florida, is to evaluate containerized subtropical/tropical ornamental germplasm for its growth responses to different container media components. When feasible, the heritabilities and other patterns of genetic variation will be estimated with respect to adaptation/tolerance to the different container media components. The results of this research will contribute to enhancing containerized ornamental production efficiency, while mitigating nutrient leaching from the containers and reducing negative impacts on south Florida water quality.

**Biological Control of Invasive Species**

- **Development and Evaluation of Biological Control Agents for Invasive Species Threatening the Everglades and other Natural and Managed Systems ($2,601,600)**
  The ARS Invasive Plant Research Laboratory (IPRL) in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, and its satellite lab in Gainesville, Florida, conduct research to identify and collect natural enemies for control of melaleuca, Brazilian pepper, lygodium, Chinese tallow, and other invasive pest plants; evaluate biological control agents for release against invasive weed and insect species in a risk analysis context; obtain approval for release of host specific natural enemies; and develop biological based integrated weed management strategies that are efficient, economical, and environmentally sound. Many of the biological control agents that are developed by the IPRL were discovered by scientists at the ARS Australian Biological Control Laboratory in Brisbane. The integration of biological control with other management tactics has been included in a comprehensive management plan, called TAME Melaleuca (crafted by scientists and natural resource managers from the ARS, the NPS, the SFWMD, the Corps, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), and many south Florida county governments), for managing invasive species problems. Research will continue to develop management strategies and biological control agents that are sustainable, efficient, economical, and environmentally sound. Current funding related to Everglades restoration totals $2,557,300 in Florida and $284,500 in Australia and Southeast Asia.

**U.S. Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service ($63,000,000)**

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) provides technical assistance on a voluntary basis to private landowners and operators, Indian Tribes, and others for the planning of conservation practices and installation of needed conservation management systems with the goal of achieving natural resource sustainability. This includes the design, layout, and consultation services associated with the conservation practice application or management guidance provided. Technical assistance is targeted towards nutrient management, water quality, and water conservation concerns associated with animal feeding, livestock grazing operations, and fruit and crop production within the Everglades Ecosystem. Financial assistance is provided through a variety of USDA Farm Bill Programs.
The NRCS provides assistance to livestock and dairy producers to apply BMPs, including waste management systems, to reduce off farm nutrient discharges. A special effort in the Everglades Agricultural Area (EAA) and C-139 basin is in place to assist the land user to meet requirements outlined in the 1994 Everglades Forever Act (EFA) to reduce phosphorus loading into the Everglades Protection Area. Other areas of assistance are provided on private and tribal lands to restore wetlands, improve wildlife habitat, and control invasive exotic plant species. Financial assistance is provided through a variety of USDA Farm Bill Programs.

**Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008**

**Environmental Quality Incentives Program ($5,800,000)**
The Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) provides financial and technical assistance to farmers and ranchers who face threats to soil, water, air, and related natural resources on their land. Through EQIP, the NRCS develops contracts with agricultural producers to implement conservation practices to address environmental natural resource problems. Payments are made to producers once conservation practices are completed according to NRCS requirements on agricultural lands that will improve or maintain the health of natural resources in the area including water quality.

**Wetlands Reserve Program ($ TBD*)**
The Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP) is a voluntary program that provides technical and financial assistance to private landowners and tribes to restore, protect, and enhance wetlands in exchange for retiring eligible land from agriculture.

* All Wetlands Reserve Program funding amounts for FY12 are not available at this time. The table will be updated as appropriate when data is available.

**Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program ($122,600)**
The Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program (WHIP) is a voluntary program for developing or improving high quality habitat that supports fish and wildlife populations of national, state, tribal, and local significance. Through WHIP, the NRCS provides technical and financial assistance to private and tribal landowners for the development of upland, wetland, aquatic, and other types of wildlife habitat.

**Grassland Reserve Program ($3,200,000)**
The Grassland Reserve Program (GRP) is a voluntary program for landowners and operators to protect grazing uses and related conservation values by conserving grassland, including rangeland, pastureland, shrubland, and certain other lands. The program emphasizes support for working grazing operations; enhancement of plant and animal biodiversity; and protection of grassland and land containing shrubs and forbs under threat of conversion. Eligible land includes privately owned or tribal grasslands; land that contains forbs (including improved rangeland and pastureland or shrubland) for which grazing is the predominant use; or land that is located in an area that historically has been dominated by grassland, forbs, or shrubland that has the potential to serve as wildlife habitat of significant ecological value.

**Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program ($4,400,000)**
The Farm and Ranch Land Protection Program (FRPP) protects working agricultural lands from conversion to non-agricultural uses through the purchase of easements in partnership with local and state governments, tribes, and non-governmental organizations.
U.S. Department of Commerce - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ($ TBD)

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) provides science, monitoring, and modeling projects critical to implementing and assessing the CERP and other portions of the South Florida Ecosystem restoration effort. The NOAA supports the only portion of the ecosystem restoration effort exclusively devoted to monitoring, restoring, and managing the coastal portions of the South Florida Ecosystem. These projects will provide information critical to the design and implementation of inland restoration projects and to the evaluation of the downstream impacts of restoration activities on coastal resources. This information will allow project managers to efficiently monitor the results of restoration projects on downstream resources and make adjustments, if necessary, through the adaptive management process.

While many NOAA programs support an integrated effort among federal, tribal, state, and nongovernmental partners to halt the degradation of the South Florida Ecosystem, the following NOAA projects directly support CERP implementation.

South Florida Ecosystem Modeling / National Ocean Service

The National Ocean Service (NOS) and partners have funded over a decade of research to increase understanding of processes in and around Florida Bay and the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS). This research has matured to the stage that the aim is now to collate our understanding of the ecological and human system of south Florida in a manner useful for ecosystem management. In FY 2011, the NOS funded the third year of a competitive proposal for Marine and Estuarine Goal Setting in South Florida (MARES). The goal of the MARES project is to reach a science-based consensus about the defining characteristics and fundamental regulating processes of a south Florida coastal marine ecosystem that is both sustainable and capable of providing the diverse ecological services upon which our society depends. MARES will synthesize our scientific understanding of south Florida into a form that is easily and quickly understood to inform management decision-making.

Interdisciplinary Coastal Oceanographic Observations / Oceanic and Atmospheric Research

Almost all of the replumbing and inland restoration efforts will ultimately affect the flow of water, nutrients, and other elements to coastal bays and estuaries. Understanding the impacts of replumbing water flow from inland areas to coastal systems, as part of the restoration effort, is critical to determine overall success. FY 2012 funding will continue to support a suite of research and monitoring activities in south Florida coastal waters downstream of major restoration projects, such as the FKNMS, Florida Bay, and Biscayne Bay. Work is also conducted in the downstream coastal ecosystem of the FKNMS to conduct predictions of coral bleaching that may be affected by freshwater runoff from the Everglades and exchange with Florida Bay.

Restoration Science and Assessment / National Marine Fisheries Service

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) will continue research in FY 2012 that defines the impact of upstream restoration efforts and changing freshwater inflow on Florida Bay and other south Florida coastal systems. These funds will be used to assess the impacts of changing freshwater runoff patterns on inshore and coastal habitats and associated fishery resources. The
NOAA Fisheries Southeast Fisheries Science Center in collaboration with other agencies and entities conducts several monitoring and assessment projects to support the CERP.

Additionally, NOAA will participate in various management activities, including the Task Force, the Working Group, and the Science Coordination Group; the Water Resources Advisory Commission of the SFWMD; the Biscayne Bay Regional Restoration Coordination Team; and the Program Management Committee for the Florida Bay and Adjacent Marine Systems Science Program.

**U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service ($50,093,000)**

*Park Management ($31,415,000)*

_Park Management [($7,292,000)]_

Fiscally Year 2012 funding will support area management activities promoting public use and resource protection through the implementation and interpretation of an extensive back-country off-road vehicle (ORV) trail system. The NPS will continue to support mandated programs such as the protection, inventory, and monitoring of ten threatened and endangered species (Florida panther, Cape Sable sparrow, Florida manatee, etc.) and a large hydrology program that includes restoration of sheet flow to ENP and the Ten Thousand Islands. Additional mandated programs include special uses such as oil exploration/production, the largest recreational hunting wildlife management area in south Florida, implementation of the largest recreational ORV program in the 48 States, and 22 American Indian (Seminole, Miccosukee, and independent) sites on preserve lands. The preserve also supports the largest prescribed fire program in the NPS; visitor and resources protection of 728,000 acres of predominately backcountry areas; maintenance of 26 employee housing units, two major visitor support facilities, public utility systems, eight primitive campgrounds, three developed campgrounds, and 66 miles of roads; and management of approximately 460 known archeological sites.

The natural resources management program will continue to collect baseline data in formats that are compatible with interagency regional hydrologic and community/species-based models, control non-native plants, protect threatened and endangered species, mitigate visitor impacts, and manage funds to support direct inventory/monitoring of resources and a geographic information system (GIS).

_Biscayne National Park ($4,556,000)_

Fiscal Year 2012 funding will support BNP’s area management activities including: promoting public use and mitigation of public use; interpretation and education programs; protection of resources; and efforts to address impacts and threats associated with urban sprawl, increased urban freshwater use, four solid waste landfills, and a nuclear power facility. All of these threats are located along the park's western boundary and are "upstream" with respect to surface- and ground-water flow into the park.

The park performs other area management activities associated with the protection of the park’s natural, cultural, and historic resources as well as maintenance of park facilities. The park protects 173,000 acres of resources that include Biscayne Bay, the largest living coral reef system in the NPS, eight known terrestrial cultural sites, 67 known submerged cultural sites, approximately 20 historic structures, and two national historic districts within a boundary that
has unlimited access points. The park maintains three developed islands and two mainland sites that include six harbors/docking facilities, two campgrounds, six picnic areas, approximately ten miles of trails, six residences, an environmental education camp, and a major visitor center.

The park’s natural resources management will continue to protect Biscayne Bay estuarine resources, coral reefs, seagrass beds, and hard bottom communities; monitor water quality; document and mitigate impacts due to visitor and commercial uses; control exotic vegetation; and monitor 17 federally threatened and endangered species. Special efforts are applied to prevent and restore extensive damage to seagrass beds and coral reefs from boat groundings. Extensive efforts are made to work with local, state, and federal government agencies on development and impact issues.

**Dry Tortugas National Park ($1,764,000)**
Funding in FY 2012 will support operations of this 65,000-acre marine and historical national park located 70 miles west of Key West. Current funding will continue a preservation and maintenance program for Fort Jefferson. Efforts will continue to document and recommend management strategies for submerged cultural resources. These efforts are supported by park staff, with overall technical direction provided by the NPS Submerged Cultural Resources Unit.

**Everglades National Park ($17,803,000)**
Funding for ENP in FY 2012 will support area management activities including operations, natural and cultural resource management, planning, maintenance, and ecosystem restoration. The park continues to attract significant national and international attention as a symbol of the effort to restore the Everglades and of the balance being sought in striving to secure south Florida's future. With over 1.5 million acres of fragile wilderness immediately adjacent to approximately six million people, and over one million visitors each year, ENP has special challenges. The park has extensive outreach programs to the local community and sustains a large backcountry/wilderness operation.

The park operates major visitor use areas at Flamingo, Shark Valley, Everglades City, and Chekika, and oversees three concessions operations. Infrastructure requires extensive short-term maintenance, as well as long-term upgrades. The park has 82 miles of surfaced roads, 160 miles of trails, two campgrounds, 48 backcountry campsites, and two fee collection stations. The park is unique in the sense that it has an unprecedented three international treaty designations. It is home to over 1,000 species of plants, 400 species of birds, and two rare orchids, and is a refuge for 14 threatened and endangered species.

The park remains one of the most ecologically complex parks in the nation. Florida Bay is continuing to experience dramatic changes, including striking alterations between hypo- and hyper-salinity, increased turbidity, seagrass die-offs, and persistent and increasing spreads of algae blooms. Exotic plants have and are continuing to replace native plant communities in ENP and adjacent natural areas.
South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force ($1,305,000)
Funding in FY 2012 will sustain the operations to the Task Force, which has the responsibility for coordinating and integrating the activities of the participating federal, state, local, and tribal agencies involved in the Everglades Ecosystem Restoration Program and for reporting to Congress on restoration programs and funding requirements. The WRDA of 1996 directs the Task Force to implement procedures to facilitate public participation in the advisory process; to maintain records and make the proceedings of meetings available for public inspection; and to submit biennial reports to Congress, summarizing the activities of the Task Force, the policies, strategies, projects, and priorities developed or implemented, and the progress made toward the restoration. In subsequent Congressional guidance, the Task Force was also directed to develop, implement, and maintain an outcome-oriented strategic plan; an improved process for resolving conflicts/disputes; and a comprehensive strategy for federal land acquisition projects.

In FY 2012, Task Force staff will maintain the coordination role and reporting activities in support of the Task Force’s, Working Group’s, and Science Coordination Group’s initiatives, projects, priorities, and programs. This will include coordinating, tracking, and monitoring of all aspects of CERP implementation; producing the biennial update of the strategic plan as required by the Congress; reporting progress and accomplishments on Goals 1, 2, and 3 of the strategic plan; maintaining a tracking system and compiling and preparing the annual updates of the land acquisition strategy; engaging, as necessary, in the established dispute resolution process; implementing activities associated with the Task Force plan to coordinate science; and compiling and preparing the annual updates of the Cross Cut Budget and the restoration project sheet information (Integrated Financial Plan) that includes a synopsis, start and end date, and cost estimate for each Everglades restoration project.

Everglades Research ($3,829,000)
Since its inception in 1997, the Critical Ecosystem Studies Initiative (CESI) has been the primary investment by DOI to provide scientific information to advise restoration decision-making and to guide its own land management responsibilities for South Florida Ecosystem restoration.

The CESI planned activities for FY 2012 include:
- Continued emphasis on critical long-term hydrologic and biological monitoring projects that support assessments of the effect of restoration projects on NPS resources. Ongoing projects on fish and macro-invertebrates, marsh water level and flow monitoring, threatened and endangered species, and vegetation communities most likely impacted by implementation of the ecosystem restoration projects will continue.
- Significant support to water quality science, including water quality monitoring and water quality analyses in ENP and Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge.
- Continued support to the Task Force and support to the Department’s oversight of the Everglades restoration initiative.
- Continued work on biological and hydrologic databases, including analysis of existing long-term hydrologic and biological data sets that will allow resource managers, decision-makers and the public to understand the trends in Everglades National Park resources as they relate to water management changes and climate variation.
- Scientific and technical analyses of the impact of the planned nuclear plant expansion and transmission corridor of the Florida Power and Light Company. The activities...
Cross-Cut Budget 2012 Working Document

planned by Florida Power and Light are expected to directly affect both Everglades and Biscayne national parks, as well as Everglades Restoration projects currently being implemented and those being planned (bridging of the Tamiami Trail; Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands).

- A major synthesis of long-term data on the Cape Sable Seaside Sparrow, for use in planning water operations associated with CERP projects that affect the marl prairies in Everglades National Park.
- Support to monitoring the effects of the C-111 Spreader Canal project on NPS resources.
- Additional science and monitoring projects, both ecological and hydrologic, that support the CERP Monitoring and Assessment Plan and System Status Report.
- Support to investigations of invasive species detection, management, and control.

Construction ($10,673,000)
Modified Water Deliveries Project (MWD) ($8,000,000)
The MWD project is authorized by Section 104 of the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989. This project involves construction of modifications to the C&SF Project water management system and related operational changes. Construction of the project features will allow the timing, distribution, and volumes of water delivered to the park to be more consistent with historic conditions. In FY 2012, the NPS has requested $8.0 million for the MWD Project.

The current status and plans for FY 2012 are described below:
- The 8.5 Square Mile Area component provides flood mitigation to an agricultural and urban area adjacent to ENP due to the expectation of higher water levels in that area resulting from the construction of the MWD’s restoration features. The component features include a perimeter levee, an internal canal and levee system, a pump station and storm water treatment area, and the acquisition of lands adjacent to ENP boundary and west of the perimeter levee. Construction was completed in FY 2008. The ENP and the Corps are now developing a plan for the transfer of the lands and the transfer of the project features to the local sponsor, the SFWMD.
- The Tamiami Trail Modifications (TTM) component will modify the existing highway in a manner consistent with the expected modified water flows and levels resulting from the conveyance components of the project. In addition, these modifications must be designed to be consistent with Florida Department of Transportation road safety requirements. Construction on the plan approved in the Corps’ 2008 Limited Reevaluation Report (LRR), which specified the construction of a one-mile bridge and the raising of the balance of the 10.7 mile corridor, was initiated in early 2010 and is expected to be completed in 2013. Included in the construction authorization, Congress also directed the NPS to evaluate the feasibility of additional bridge length for the Tamiami Trail beyond those being constructed under the MWD project. A Final Environmental Impact Statement, identifying a preferred alternative of 5.5 miles of bridging in addition to the one mile currently under construction, was released in December 2010.
- The Conveyance and Seepage Control (CSC) component will convey water through reservoirs upstream of ENP into the Shark Slough drainage basin of ENP more consistent with historic hydrologic conditions. In addition, these project features will
also return project-induced increased seepage from the project area to ENP in order to maintain flood protection to adjacent areas. Some of the features of this project component have been completed: the S-356 pump station, back-filling of the lower four miles of the L-67 extension canal, and construction of the S-355 structures in the L-29 levee. Revisions to the TTM component require complementary design refinements to the C&SC components. Revised planning documents for the conveyance features and the combined water control plan are currently in development. It is the intent of the Corps to have features associated with both completed coincident with the completion of the Tamiami Trail LRR features.

- Project Implementation Support provides funding for conducting environmental monitoring, developing improved operational plans, completing the needed modifications to the Osceola Camp flood mitigation features, as well as supporting the requisite ENP and Corps personnel. FY 2012 activities will include the continuation of personnel support and environmental monitoring and initiation of design for the Osceola Camp modifications.

The completion of the MWD project is required prior to the construction of certain components of the CERP.

**Stabilize and Construct Off-Road Vehicle Trails at Big Cypress National Preserve**
($2,673,000)

This project will provide a designated, stable, and sustainable trail system for ORV use within BCNP.

In 1995 the NPS was sued by the Florida Biodiversity Project regarding the use of ORVs in the preserve. The plaintiffs argued that the NPS had violated federal statutes in connection with use of ORVs in Big Cypress. Later in 1995 a settlement agreement was reached that stated that Big Cypress would develop an ORV Management Plan. This ORV management plan, completed in 2000, stated that the designated trail system will be in place by 2010.

The preserve met the 2010 deadline for designating the trails. The 2012 request provides funding to continue trail stabilization throughout the designated trail system and construct up to three of eight trailheads and approximately 12 miles of trail. Once completed, 22,000 miles of dispersed use will be reduced to approximately 400 miles of designated use. Six thousand acres of land impacted by ORVs will be restored and additional land will be allowed to revegetate naturally through removal of ORVs.

**Land Acquisition ($31,585,000)**

**Everglades National Park ($25,000,000)**

As noted above, the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989 authorized the MWD project. It also authorized the appropriation of funds necessary for land acquisition. Currently the one mile Tamiami Trail bridging component of MDW is under construction and is anticipated to be completed in 2013. The $25.0 million requested for land acquisition in FY 2012 will be used to acquire six tracts containing approximately 477 acres of land. These properties, if not acquired by the NPS, would be flooded by the increased water level enabled by the completion of the one mile bridging project. The Corps, overseeing the overall project, will not allow the water levels to increase until these properties are acquired.
Big Cypress National Preserve ($5,560,000)
Big Cypress National Park and Preserve borders the wet freshwater prairies of Everglades National Park to the south, and other state and Federally protected cypress country in the west. The Preserve is the most biologically diverse region of the terrestrial Everglades. The NPS and the State of Florida have worked as partners over many years in the acquisition of the lands located within the Preserve.

Public Law 93-440, as amended by Public Law 100-301, provided that, if the State of Florida transfers to the Secretary lands within the Big Cypress National Preserve Addition, the Secretary shall reimburse the State of Florida 80 percent of the total costs for acquiring such lands. The State of Florida has acquired 43,000 acres and conveyed approximately 29,000 of these acres to the United States in December, 2010. The $5.56 million requested in FY 2012 will be obligated to cover the federal share of the cost incurred by the State in acquiring the total 43,000 acres of land. These acres consist of numerous discrete parcels that are scattered throughout the preserve and their acquisition will unify the ownership pattern, reduce costs, and simplify management.

Land Acquisition Management ($1,025,000)
Funding in FY 2012 will administer the federal land acquisition program in south Florida to enable completion of land acquisition and to meet the schedule established by the Department.

U.S. Department of the Interior: Fish and Wildlife Service ($9,072,000)
Resource Management - Ecological Services ($4,250,000)
Ecological Services - Everglades Base ($2,475,000)
These funds will allow the FWS to continue coordination, technical assistance, and partnering efforts with the NPS, the USGS, tribal governments, state agencies, and private organizations involved in the restoration of the South Florida Ecosystem. The funds for FY 2012 will also enable the FWS to continue implementing the Multi-Species Recovery Plan, which provides a blueprint for protecting, conserving, and managing the threatened and endangered fish and wildlife resources. The FWS is undertaking comprehensive habitat based strategies for restoration and recovery of species. Examples of this include the establishment of panther conservation banks and multi-species management plans.

The FWS will continue consulting with and providing technical assistance to the Corps, the NPS, and other federal agencies relative to those agency activities that potentially affect federally listed species. The FWS continues its historically active role in reviewing applications for impacts on wetlands under the Corps’ regulatory program. In addition to the analysis of direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts, the FWS ensures that private development proposals are compatible with the CERP. The planning and building of several CERP components requires careful review of applications by the local sponsor (mainly the SFWMD) through the Corps’ regulatory process. In FY 2012, the FWS will continue consultation with the Corps on the CERP, as well as other ongoing or new federal projects. Further, the FWS will evaluate the potential need to list additional species pursuant to the ESA, and develop cooperative agreements with landowners for the protection and conservation of listed species through Candidate Conservation Agreements, Safe Harbor Agreements, and Habitat Conservation Plans.
Also included in this program category, the South Florida Coastal Habitat Restoration Program actively forms partnerships with other federal and state agencies, local governments, non-governmental entities, and private property owners to implement on-the-ground restoration projects as well as to conduct research, monitoring, and public outreach activities. The Coastal Program complements the larger, more comprehensive South Florida Ecosystem restoration initiative by implementing immediate on-the-ground actions designed to protect, conserve, and restore coastal living resources. For the past several years, the importance of “on-the-ground” restorative actions has been reflected by the distribution of half of the Coastal Program’s budget toward actual habitat restoration.

In FY 2012, the FWS will address new Corps project starts and continue to be actively involved in threatened and endangered species consultation and recovery, private land partnerships, environmental contaminant reviews, coastal restoration projects, preparation of Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Reports, system-wide water quality improvement, and a myriad of multi-agency planning, science, and outreach efforts. The FWS will ensure that ecosystem benefits are maximized consistent with Everglades restoration goals. The role of the FWS will support and advance adaptive management and the principal goals of Everglades restoration.

**Ecological Services - Everglades Treasured Landscapes Initiative ($1,775,000)**

The FWS combines the strengths of multiple program expertise in working toward a unified landscape level goal to restore south Florida ecosystems. These funds will support the FWS role in the greater Everglades ecosystem through three mechanisms: (1) ESA consultation; (2) threatened and endangered species recovery; and (3) developing solutions to address contaminant concerns in the Everglades to advance restoration projects.

- **Endangered Species Act Consultation ($700,000)**
  
  The Section 7 and Section 10 consultation processes under the ESA are particularly important in the Everglades because of the high number of threatened and endangered species (67 in total) and the many threats they face such as habitat loss, invasive species, continued human development, climate change, and the deteriorating conditions in the ecosystem caused by the limitations of existing water management infrastructure.

  Specifically, these funds will build upon recent landscape-level partnerships to:
  
  - Develop conservation plans for 150,000 acres of Florida panther habitat;
  - Develop and implement interim plans to protect highly endangered birds during the transition to Everglades restoration;
  - Create a state-wide conservation strategy for sea turtles; and
  - Develop conservation strategies for highly imperiled species in the low lying Florida Keys, an area that is particularly vulnerable to climate change and sea level rise.

- **Threatened and Endangered Species Recovery ($900,000)**
  
  The FWS is charged with recovering 67 federally listed species, including some of the greatest species recovery challenges in the Nation such as the Florida panther, Cape Sable seaside sparrow, and Everglade snail kite. Until restoration is completed, however, species conservation and recovery in south Florida will be faced with significant
challenges. A portion of these funds will allow the FWS to work with many partners to conserve imperiled birds and other species during the transitional period between today and the completion of Everglades restoration and beyond.

The FWS is also responsible for the conservation of 19 federal candidates in south Florida. Some of the most imperiled include: the Miami blue butterfly, the Florida semaphore cactus, and the Florida bonneted bat. Although funding for candidates is very limited, efforts are underway to understand and reduce threats to the extent possible.

Specifically, the effort includes three central components: (1) maximize benefits for multiple species in the short term; (2) improve scientific understanding for management and emergency planning; and (3) monitor species health for adaptive management purposes.

- **Solutions for Contaminants of Concern in the Everglades ($175,000)**
  The FWS provides critical technical assistance in the effort to restore America’s Everglades. Everglades restoration will benefit wading birds and other wildlife by transforming thousands of acres of former agricultural lands into healthy wetlands; however, some of the contaminants historically used to maximize crop yield lie dormant and have the potential to harm bald eagles, wood storks, and other important wildlife when restoration occurs if remediation is not completed. This funding will allow the contaminants program to evaluate potential risks, create and apply the science needed to make sound management decisions, and ensure that the Everglades restoration effort maximizes its contribution to ecosystem-level conservation, improving conditions across thousands of acres of habitat.

**Resource Management - Refuges and Wildlife ($4,022,000)**
The FWS administers 16 national wildlife refuge units in south Florida. The Service manages all actions under the ESA, provides comments on comprehensive wetland programs (including permitting), carries out authorities of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and enforces federal wildlife laws. As a member of the Working Group, the FWS will continue to undertake important on-the-ground restoration activities.

**Resource Management – Migratory Birds ($99,000)**
While coordinating with the Service’s South Florida Ecological Services Field Office and the Arthur R. Marshall Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, the Division of Migratory Birds works cooperatively with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FWC) and the SFWMD to provide technical expertise relative to MBTA implications on the various CERP projects, especially for avian protection plans and management of invasive exotics species such as the purple swamp hen. Effective implementation of the CERP with the above partners, the Corps, the NPS, and others is critical to restoring water quantity, quality, timing, and distribution for the benefit of people, migratory birds, and other wildlife and their habitats.

**Resource Management - Law Enforcement ($609,000)**
Funding will be used to enhance law enforcement’s ability to handle the quickly escalating regional workload. There has been a marked increase in the illegal trafficking of exotic
protected species and the unlawful “taking” of endemic species protected by the ESA and the MBTA throughout south Florida. Southwest Florida is one of the most ecologically sensitive and rapidly growing areas of the state, requiring the highest priority for establishing an increased law enforcement presence. Funding will allow the purchase of vehicles, boats, and marine equipment needed by law enforcement personnel to conduct investigations in remote areas. Additional personnel will be detailed to “task force” enforcement operations within the ecosystem as needed. Increased efforts to educate the public regarding the law and illegal activities will be emphasized.

**Resource Management - Fisheries ($92,000)**

Efforts will be directed toward restoration of anadromous and coastal fish species in south Florida. Emphasis will be placed on ensuring that non-indigenous fish species are adequately evaluated for potential effects on restoration activities.

**U.S. Department of the Interior - U.S. Geological Survey**

**Everglades Restoration - Integrating Research, Planning, and Interagency Coordination ($6,907,000)**

Funding in FY 2012 will support Everglades research to provide planning, research, and interagency coordination efforts needed for Everglades restoration in accordance with the terms of the Memorandum of Understanding among the USGS, the FWS, and the NPS. This coordinated science effort allows the Interior bureaus to leverage resources, maximize the value of federal research funds, and ensure that the best available research products and monitoring and assessment tools are developed to meet the priority needs in the Everglades. The Department's Everglades science plan continues to serve as the template upon which to define and prioritize studies to address critical decision-related information needs. The Department’s Greater Everglades Science Team used the science plan coupled with near-term plans for the CERP, the MWD project, and other restoration activities as well as other emerging issues (e.g., sea level rise and climate change) to generate a priority list of research, monitoring, and modeling studies needed to address immediate and near-term decision-related information needs. The USGS, in partnership with the FWS, the NPS, and other restoration partners, is continuing to prioritize its research to support and conduct timely and relevant decision-critical science.

The USGS activities provide a fundamental understanding of ecosystem process, structure, and function. A significant part of USGS activities is to integrate the ecosystem science through continued development of decision support tools. This is accomplished through continued development and improvement of integrative models, including hydrologic models, ecological models, chemical models, and geographic and landscape models. These ecosystem models are being integrated into decision support tools to aid in restoration-related planning decisions by the FWS, the NPS, the Corps, the FDEP, the EPA, and the SFWMD to predict the consequences of varied management alternatives, set ecological goals by providing yardsticks to measure the success of the restoration, and manage the natural resources of the system. In support of the revised science plan and the updated list of critical/priority research, monitoring, and modeling needs, the USGS will continue high-priority work that includes long-term hydrologic monitoring, coastal salinity monitoring, continued development and enhancement of ecological models including models for adaptive assessment, and development of simulation-based
decision support tools. These tools will continue to be used in planning and implementing the CERP, the MWD, and other Everglades restoration projects. A continuing challenge is to make all ecological models an integral part of the decision support tools available to restoration practitioners. USGS scientists work with the Interagency Modeling Center (IMC) to incorporate USGS process-based hydrologic models into the IMC’s modeling ‘tool box’. This collaboration puts ecological models into the IMC ‘tool box’, and provides a mechanism for improving the models by providing feedback between model application, model research and development, and model improvement via integration with monitoring.

Since paleoecological data also include a record of sea-level fluctuations, the USGS will continue to evaluating sea-level rise data within the context of projected future freshwater flows and accelerated sea-level rise. This information will better refine the target(s) for freshwater flows to coastal systems, and better understand the dynamics of the interaction of restoration with coastal change. Also, an ongoing USGS study on the paleoecology of freshwater marshes, specifically marl prairie marshes, is providing the FWS with information useful in their reevaluation of the current distribution of species within the context of both the historical and the projected future Everglades having more water than today’s current Everglades.

**U.S. Department of the Interior - Bureau of Indian Affairs ($390,000)**

In FY 2012, funds will be used for continuing efforts to restore the South Florida Ecosystem for the Seminole and Miccosukee Tribes. This funding ($195,000 each) is included within each Tribe’s base funding and is provided to support research, studies, and planning on water quality and distribution systems, ecosystem development and management, and planning for compliance with the ESA in storm water areas on the Seminole and Big Cypress reservations.

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ($2,061,000)**

The EPA priorities for restoring and protecting the South Florida Ecosystem in FY 2012 include continuing to work with the Corps and the State of Florida to implement the CERP via the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Clean Water Act (CWA) program areas; work with the State of Florida and federal agencies to implement appropriate phosphorus control programs that will attain water quality standards within the Everglades Ecosystem; supporting development of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for the Lake Okeechobee watershed; assisting the State of Florida and the SFWMD in evaluating the appropriateness of aquifer storage and recovery technology as a key element of the restoration strategy for south Florida; updating and implementing the South Florida Wetlands Conservation Strategy to include protecting and restoring critical wetland habitats in the face of tremendous growth and development pressures; continuing to implement the comprehensive monitoring program (water quality, coral reef, and seagrass), special studies, data management, and public education components of the FKNMS Water Quality Protection Program as required by the National Marine Sanctuaries Program Amendments Act of 1992; and protecting coral reef ecosystems of southeast Florida by reducing land-based sources of pollution on a watershed scale, including controlling discharges from point sources.
Section 3.0

State of Florida Everglades Ecosystem Restoration Projects and Funding
Section 3.1: State of Florida Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) Projects and Funding ($91,794,653)

Florida Department of Environmental Protection ($31,065,743)
The implementation of the CERP, in partnership with the SFWMD, tribes, other state, federal, and local agencies, and environmental groups, is a high priority for the FDEP.

The FDEP administers the Save Our Everglades Trust Fund. The State has bonding authority, if needed, to fund Florida’s commitment to Everglades restoration.

Restoring America’s Everglades: $31,065,743 – The Florida Legislature appropriated $29,455,500 in FY 2011/12 to continue the state-federal partnership, established in 2000, to restore America’s Everglades and establish a more natural flow of water across the 2.4 million-acre marsh, and to implement the Northern Everglades and Estuaries Program (NEEPP). These funds are provided for the design, engineering, construction, and implementation of project components identified in the CERP, the Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan, the Caloosahatchee Watershed Protection Plan, and the St. Lucie River Watershed Protection Plan, and the acquisition of lands for projects included in the plans.

The FDEP’s Tallahassee Office of Ecosystem Projects (Office of the Secretary) and the Restoration Planning and Permitting Section (Division of Water Resource Management) estimate costs of $243,836 and $808,907, respectively, to oversee the EFA and CERP implementation in FY 2011/12. The FDEP’s Southeast Florida District office in West Palm Beach and South Florida District in Fort Myers estimate expenditures of approximately $545,000 and $13,000, respectively, in support of CERP projects and other south Florida related restoration activities.

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission ($1,640,302)
The FWC contributes to CERP projects by providing technical assistance to the sponsoring agencies in order to ensure that CERP activities address the needs of fish and wildlife and their associated habitats. In FY 2011/12, the agency organized an inter-divisional team to prioritize and coordinate the agency’s contributions to all inter-agency ecosystem restoration activities in south Florida including the CERP. The Office of Planning and Policy Coordination facilitates official consultations for the CERP through various processes including inter-agency planning teams, the Coastal Zone Management Program, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act.

South Florida Water Management District ($59,088,608)
The SFWMD is the local sponsor for the majority of the over 50 projects included in the CERP. Planning, design, and construction is currently underway on many of these projects. The focus of the SFWMD’s efforts during FY 2011/12 will be on continued work in partnership with the Corps on planning and design efforts associated with completion of PIRs. The SFWMD will also continue construction on several expedited projects (including non-CERP as described in Section 3.2 below) during this period.
The SFWMD is also engaged in the acquisition of lands needed for the CERP and other Everglades restoration projects. Current efforts are focused on acquisition of lands needed for construction within the next five years based on the implementation schedules for the CERP and the NEEPP.

In addition to these project efforts, the SFWMD is partnering with the Corps on several programmatic efforts that are necessary for implementation of the CERP, such as RECOVER.

Section 3.2: State of Florida Non-CERP Everglades Ecosystem Restoration Projects and Funding ($460,230,149)

Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services ($5,000,000)
The Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS), through its Office of Agriculture Water Policy, addresses water issues relating to agriculture and ecosystem restoration. The FDACS is responsible for addressing agriculture non-point source water pollution and for implementing TMDLs in water bodies and segments statewide. Lake Okeechobee is the first recipient of a TMDL in Florida and the FDACS has implemented a program in the Lake’s basin to deal with agriculture non-point sources. The FDACS also plays an important role in the management of public lands through its Division of Forestry. The Division is the lead managing agency on the Picayune State Forest (Southern Golden Gate Estates and Belle Meade) and is the state agency responsible for wildfire suppression and prevention and forest protection in south Florida.

Florida Department of Community Affairs ($ 0)
Senate Bill 2156 (Chapter 2011-142, Laws of Florida) creates the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) by transferring the duties and trust funds of the Agency for Workforce Innovation, Governor’s Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development, and downsized Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA), to the new agency effective October 1, 2011. The DEO is designed to work hand-in-hand with Enterprise Florida, Inc. and Workforce Florida, Inc. to accomplish the goals of job creation and improving Florida's business climate. The 2011 Florida Legislature also passed the Community Planning Act (House Bill 7207/Chapter 2011-139, Laws of Florida). The Act empowers local governments to take more control over their future growth while refocusing the DCA’s (soon to be DEO’s) planning responsibilities on the protection of important state resources and facilities. As a result, we will no longer be reporting DCA’s budget information.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection ($17,803,512)
The FDEP’s non-CERP South Florida Ecosystem restoration priorities include implementation of the EFA, the Lake Okeechobee Protection Program, the Lake Okeechobee and Estuary Recovery Program (in cooperation with the SFWMD), land acquisition for conservation purposes, and providing funds in support of the Indian River Lagoon Initiative and Issue Team and the St. Lucie River Issues Team.
The Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan is a comprehensive plan to accelerate the restoration and recovery of Lake Okeechobee, which started in 2000 and was expanded by the Florida Legislature in FY 2007/08 to include the protection and restoration of the Northern Everglades including the Lake Okeechobee watershed and the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie estuaries. The Florida Legislature appropriated $94 million in FY 2010/11 to:

- Implement projects identified in Phase I of the Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan identified in section 373.4595 (3)(b), F.S.; the development of the Phase II Technical Plan identified on section 373.4595 (3)(b), F.S.; and the acquisition of lands needed for restoration;
- Implement project components which benefit the hydrology, water quality, and aquatic habitats of the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie watersheds, including project components in the Lake Okeechobee watershed for the planning, design, and engineering of a stormwater treatment area in association with the C-43 reservoir, including work necessary to complete the Phase II PIR; and for the acquisition of lands needed for restoration;
- Implement pilot projects that are cost-effective biologically based, hybrid wetland/chemical, and other innovative nutrient control technologies pursuant to section 373.4595 (3) (b), F.S; and
- Implement projects within the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie watersheds identified for the purposes of improving the hydrology, water quality, and aquatic habitats.

Saving Lake Okeechobee – Recognizing the importance of the heart of America’s Everglades, the Florida Legislature continued its commitment to Lake Okeechobee by recommending funding for the implementation of projects identified in the Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan and projects identified in the NEEPP. Funds for these projects will come from the $29,455,500 appropriated for overall Everglades restoration. These efforts will enhance the ecological health of the lake, rivers, and downstream coastal estuaries.

In addition, the FDEP supports water quality improvement programs for CWA Section 303(d) listed water bodies; ecosystem restoration project management; regulatory, watershed planning, and coordination activities; and research and monitoring. The FDEP’s budget for FY 2011/12 has projected funding of approximately $17,803,512 for the following activities in south Florida:

- State park operations and management ($15,568,000)
- Mercury research and monitoring ($19,000)
- Coastal and aquatic managed areas ($2,141,512)
- Grant to Collier County for the North Golden Gates Estates Flow Way restoration ($75,000)

Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission ($55,075,189)

The FWC embodies the state’s executive responsibility for managing Florida’s freshwater, marine, and terrestrial fish and wildlife. In order to meet its mission, the agency contributes to South Florida Ecosystem restoration and conservation both operationally and through partnerships.
Operations: Four of the agency’s divisions manage fish and wildlife resources (Divisions of Freshwater Fisheries Management, Habitat and Species Conservation, Hunting and Game Management, and Marine Fisheries Management), while the Division of Law Enforcement ensures that laws protecting fish, wildlife, and their habitats are enforced. The Fish and Wildlife Research Institute administers the research and monitoring programs that support the agency’s mission. A significant contribution in this regard are the GIS-based species habitat models that are used to identify those lands that need to be conserved in support of imperiled species management plans. FWC programs support non-native species research and management, invasive plant management, panther restoration research, and alligator management throughout the Everglades Ecosystem.

The agency is either sole manager or a partnering manager on over one million acres of public lands throughout the region. Further, the FWC contributes to state land acquisition programs through its Inholdings and Additions program, targeting lands within or contiguous to areas currently managed by the FWC. Lastly, the agency administers an on-going lake enhancement and restoration program and in FY 2007/08, the FWC conducted restoration activities in Lakes Okeechobee, Tohopekaliga, and Trafford.

Partnerships and Outreach: The FWC took lead responsibility for developing the state’s Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy in 2005. The strategy, which relies heavily on partnering to achieve its objectives, identifies 18 priority habitats for conservation and many of these areas occur in south Florida. In FY 2007/08, the agency began working on the strategy’s land conservation element. Known as the Conservation Blueprint, this effort has been dove-tailed into the Century Commission’s Critical Lands and Waters Identification Plan. In addition, multiple programs of the FWC support outreach and education in the region, including the Everglades Youth Camp, Urban Fishing Programs, Wildlife Curriculum support, and general fish and wildlife outreach. Also in FY 2007/08, the agency began developing a strategy and work plan for providing technical assistance to local governments during growth management planning activities. Finally, the agency partners with the FWS, the NRCS, and the FDACS to provide both technical assistance and grant support to those private landowners wishing to sustain fish and wildlife habitat on their properties.

The FWC’s planned funding for South Florida Ecosystem restoration during FY 2011/12 includes:

- Law Enforcement ($16,000,000)
- Division of Freshwater Fisheries ($668,000)
- Florida Wildlife Research Institute ($23,258,775)
- Division of Habitat and Species Conservation ($15,816,434)

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) ($23,162,514)

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is a leader among transportation agencies in the nation for protecting wildlife and redesigning roadways to restore natural water flow to over-drained areas. The FDOT is also a leader in providing funding and technical assistance to plan and implement greenways and trails. Many of these programs have been implemented in south Florida, particularly the Big Cypress Swamp (Interstate 75/Alligator Alley), Tamiami Trail, and U.S. 1 to the Florida Keys. The FDOT also funds wildlife and habitat mitigation.
efforts ranging from seagrass restoration in the Indian River Lagoon and sea turtle lighting along the southeast coast to the purchase of panther habitat in southwest Florida.

The FDOT’s expenditures for South Florida Ecosystem restoration during FY 2010/11 was $5,812,246 and includes:

- Exotic and endangered/threatened plant survey ($3,850)
- Research to determine the effectiveness of wildlife crossings ($75,000)
- Mitigation maintenance and monitoring ($130,650)
- Removal of exotic vegetation ($2,132,550)
- Wildlife and wetland mitigation ($3,290,196)
- Seagrass and mangrove mitigation ($180,000)

The FDOT’s planned funding for South Florida Ecosystem restoration during FY 2011/12 is $23,162,514 and includes:

- Exotic and endangered/threatened plant survey ($10,000)
- Research to determine the effectiveness of wildlife crossings ($75,000)
- Mitigation maintenance and monitoring ($145,336)
- Removal of exotic vegetation ($2,234,178)
- Wildlife and wetland mitigation ($15,928,000)
- Seagrass and mangrove mitigation ($4,770,000)

**South Florida Water Management District ($359,188,934)**

The SFWMD is implementing the Long-Term Plan for Achieving Water Quality Goals in the Everglades Protection Area (Long-Term Plan) including the structural and vegetation enhancements to the Everglades Construction Project (ECP) as required by the 2003 amendments to the EFA. Critical initiatives underway include construction of over 11,000 acres of additional stormwater treatment areas (the build-out phase of the 18,000 acre total ECP expansion) as part of an expedited project initiative for removing phosphorus from inflows to the Everglades. The Compartments B and C STA expansions were deemed flow-capable in December 2010. The pump stations will be fully commissioned for operation by June 2012. Additionally, the SFWMD works closely with the FDEP and other state, federal, and tribal governments on other non-CERP programs to restore and protect the South Florida Ecosystem.

Underscoring the state’s commitment to greater Everglades ecosystem restoration, the Florida Legislature in 2007 expanded the Lake Okeechobee Protection Act to include protection and restoration of the interconnected Kissimmee, Lake Okeechobee, Caloosahatchee, and St. Lucie watersheds. This interagency initiative, known as the Northern Everglades and Estuaries Protection Program (NEEPP) (Section 373.4595, F.S., 2007), is focusing on the water storage and water treatment needed to help improve and restore the northern Everglades and coastal estuaries. As part of the Northern Everglades Initiative, the SFWMD and the State will expand water storage areas, construct treatment marshes, and expedite environmental management initiatives to enhance the ecological health of the lake and downstream coastal estuaries. The NEEPP specifically called for the development of the Lake Okeechobee Watershed Construction Project Phase II Technical Plan (LOWCP P2TP- completed in 2008), along with separate river watershed protection plans for both the Caloosahatchee and St. Lucie (completed in 2009) developed by the coordinating agencies (SFWMD, FDEP, and FDACS) in cooperation with local
governments. Recently, the coordinating agencies updated the Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan incorporating LOWCP P2TP elements and additional program components designed to benefit the lake ecosystem (SFWMD et al., 2011). While Northern Everglades projects have been conceptually identified in these plans, specific projects and activities will be included in an annual work plan for each fiscal year and published in the South Florida Environmental Report. The Northern Everglades Annual Work Plan for FY 2010/11 contains the next steps for the restoration of the Northern Everglades region including restoration efforts for the Lake Okeechobee, Caloosahatchee River, and St. Lucie River watersheds.

The SFWMD’s priority non-CERP South Florida Ecosystem restoration and protection projects for FY 2011/12 include:

- Restoring the Kissimmee River and floodplain (in cooperation with the Corps) through land acquisition, construction, backfilling 22 miles of canal, excavation of 10 miles of new river channel, reestablishment of approximately 40 miles of contiguous river channel, and a comprehensive ecological evaluation program.
- Development of the 2012 St. Lucie and Caloosahatchee River Watershed Protection Plans; updates as required by the NEEPP.
- Continuing implementation of the NEEPP and associated protection plans for the three northern watersheds (Lake Okeechobee, St. Lucie, and Caloosahatchee).
- Complete construction of Phase 1 of the Lakeside Ranch STA.
- Implementation of the Dispersed Water Management Program.
- Complete construction of Compartments B and C Buildouts.
- Continuing implementation of provisions in the EFA and Long-Term Plan including STA operation and optimization, control of exotic plants, research and monitoring, and regulation.
- Construction of the Rotenberger Pump Station.
- Restoring the southern Everglades and Florida Bay, in cooperation with the Corps and ENP, through the C-111 South Dade and MWD projects, land acquisition, and operational changes to restore natural water flows to ENP and Florida Bay.
- Updating and implementing regional water supply plans.
- Acquiring, managing, and mitigating lands needed for ongoing and future non-CERP restoration projects and for conservation and protection of critical habitat.
- Implementing Critical Restoration Projects in cooperation with the Corps.
- Restoring wetlands and associated upland buffer habitat in the Kissimmee Chain of Lakes, Indian River Lagoon, and Loxahatchee River basins and Florida East Coast (in cooperation with the USDA – NRCS Wetland Reserve Program).
- Operating and maintaining the flood control system that includes over 500 primary water control structures, 64 pump stations, approximately 2,669 miles of canals and levees, and 2,000 secondary structures which control inflows from secondary sources into the SFWMD’s primary system.

The Florida Legislature also requires the SFWMD to: manage water and related land resources; promote conservation, development, and use of surface and groundwater for reasonable beneficial uses; manage dams, impoundments, and other "Works of the District" to provide water storage; prevent flood and soil erosion damage; maintain navigable rivers and harbors; and promote outdoor recreation on publicly owned lands.
In addition to ecosystem restoration projects, the SFWMD expends a significant amount of staff time and contract dollars toward implementation of restoration program support activities such as land management, control of invasive exotic plants and animals, research and monitoring, environmental resource permitting, and intergovernmental coordination.
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Agency Contacts
The following individuals are designated as points of contacts concerning their agency information as provided in the Cross Cut Budget 2012 Working Document.

Federal Agencies:

Nancy Diersing 305/852-7717 Nancy.Diersing@noaa.gov
U.S. Department of Commerce - NOAA

Kevin Burger 305/348-1665 Kevin_M_Burger@ios.doi.gov
South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force

Cindy Ryberg 202/208-4967 Cynthia_Ryberg@ios.doi.gov
U.S. Department of the Interior

Eric Hughes 904/232-2464 Eric.H.Hughes@usace.army.mil
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Marsha Bansee Lee 305/348-6746 mbansee@srestore.org
South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force

Michael Magley 404/562-5206 Michael.E.Magley@usace.army.mil
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Mark R. Walbridge 301/504-4731 mark.walbridge@ars.usda.gov
U.S. Department of Agriculture - ARS

Jeff Schmidt 561/792-2811 Jeff.Schmidt@fl.usda.gov
U.S. Department of Agriculture - NRCS

State of Florida Agencies:

Sheri Coven 850/922-1600 Sheri.Coven@dca.state.fl.us
Florida Department of Community Affairs

Fred Noble 850/414-5269 Fred.Noble@dot.state.fl.us
Florida Department of Transportation

John Outland 850/245-2089 John.outland@dep.state.fl.us
Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Ray Scott 850/410-6714 scottra@doacs.state.fl.us
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services

Chuck Collins 561/625-5122 Chuck.Collins@MyFWC.com
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission

Joni Warner 561/718-2802 jwarner@sfwmd.gov
South Florida Water Management District