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ABSTRACT
Objective: Determine the association between household food insecurity and habitual sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) consumption among Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)–enrolled families during the first 1,000 days.

Methods: Cross-sectional analysis of pregnant women and mothers of infants aged under 2 years in the WIC was performed. Families recruited sequentially at consecutive visits completed food insecurity and beverage intake questionnaires; estimated logistic regression models controlled for sociodemographic characteristics.

Results: Of 394 Hispanic/Latino mothers and 281 infants, 63% had household food insecurity. Food insecurity significantly increased odds of habitual maternal (unadjusted odds ratio (OR), 2.39; 95% CI, 1.27–4.47; \( P = .01 \)) and infant SSB consumption (OR, 2.05; 95% CI, 1.15–3.65; \( P = .02 \)), and the relationship was not attenuated by maternal age, education, or foreign-born status.

Conclusions and Implications: Food insecurity increased odds of habitual SSB consumption in WIC families. Interventions to curb SSB consumption among WIC-enrolled families in the first 1,000 days in the context of household food insecurity are needed.
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INTRODUCTION
Poor nutrition in the first 1,000 days of pregnancy through age 2 years influences the risk for childhood obesity and adverse health.1 Sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) consumption by pregnant women and young children have been implicated in child obesity, a precursor to cardiometabolic syndrome.2 Sugar-sweetened beverages, such as regular soda and sports drinks, are liquids sweetened with added sugars.3 Increased SSB intake has been associated with lower household income, racial/ethnic minority status, and poor diet quality.4 Young children exposed to SSBs may develop habitual intake, with negative effects on growth and development.5 Thus, it is important to understand modifiable drivers of SSB consumption during pregnancy and early childhood.

One potential driver of SSB consumption is food insecurity, defined by a lack of consistent access to sufficient nutritious food.6 Food insecurity affects roughly 12% of US households and 1 in 7 US children.6 Research has shown increased SSB consumption among adults with food insecurity.7–10 although 1 study of food-insecure pregnant women found no differences in SSB consumption.4 Previous work on the relationship between food insecurity and child SSB consumption was...
limited to children aged 2 years and older,11–15 and the effect of food insecurity on SSB consumption spanning the first 1,000 days remains poorly understood.

Quantifying the relationship between food insecurity and SSB intake in the first 1,000 days will inform future nutrition interventions to limit SSB consumption during a vulnerable time in child development. The objectives of this study were to examine the association between household food insecurity and habitual SSB consumption in mothers and infants during the first 1,000 days.

METHODS
Participants and Setting
This study was a cross-sectional analysis of low-income predominantly Hispanic/Latino families enrolled in a multisite Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) in northern Manhattan who participated in the New York City First 1,000 Days Study between March and June 2017.16 Written informed consent from mothers (pregnant women and women with infants aged less than 2 years) who were recruited sequentially at consecutive visits and could answer questions in English or Spanish was obtained. A total of 394 mothers (113 pregnant women, 9 pregnant women with infants, and 272 mothers of infants) and 281 infants aged less than 2 years participated. The study was approved by the Columbia University Irving Medical Center Institutional Review Board.

Measures
Maternal SSB consumption was measured from a validated infant beverage frequency questionnaire used in the Iowa Fluoride Study18 that captured intake in the past week of sugared juice drinks, sports drinks, reconstituted powders, and soda. Habitual infant SSB consumption was defined as any consumption as added sugars are not recommended for children aged under 2 years.19 and any infant SSB consumption is correlated with habitual SSB intake in child-hood.20 21 One hundred percent fruit juice was not counted as an SSB.

Household food insecurity was measured using the validated 2-item Hunger Vital Sign.22 Households were food-insecure if the response was sometimes true or always true to either of the following statements: “Within the past 12 months we worried whether our food would run out before we got money to buy more” and “Within the past 12 months the food we bought just didn’t last and we didn’t have money to get more.”

Maternal age, race/ethnicity, education, foreign-born status, marital status, household size, infant sex, and infant age were self-reported characteristics that were clinically relevant, and a priori demonstrated a relationship with food insecurity risk factors. Mothers self-reported race/ethnicity from a categorized list: white/Caucasian, Asian Indian, Chinese, Filipino, black or African American, Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish, American Indian or Alaskan Native, or some other race. Maternal education was noted based on the highest level attained and was used as the socioeconomic status indicator because of the sensitivity of income data.

Data Analysis
Using descriptive statistics, distributions of all covariates were examined. Fisher exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous variables were performed to test for significant differences between covariates among those with and without household food insecurity. Covariates of interest were selected for regression models on the basis of (1) clinical significance (eg, infant age) and (2) statistical significance in bivariate testing. The association between household food insecurity and habitual maternal or infant SSB consumption was estimated in unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models. In adjusted models, each covariate of interest was individually added to the model. As the high proportion of families with household food insecurity led to small sample sizes for the comparison group, 1 covariate per model was included in each model. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Tests were 2-sided, and a P value of <.05 was selected for statistical significance.

RESULTS
Study participants were 94% Hispanic/Latina, 55% had completed some college or higher education level, and 53% were married or co-habitating (Table). The median age was 29 years, and 72% of mothers were foreign-born. Infants had a median age of 6 months, and just over 50% were male. Household food insecurity was experienced by 63% of all mothers and 29% of pregnant women. Mothers born outside of the US were more likely to experience food insecurity.

Of the 249 mothers with household food insecurity, 92% habitually consumed SSBs. Of the 183 infants with food insecurity, 34% habitually consumed SSBs (Table). Mothers with food insecurity were 2.4 times more likely to consume SSBs compared with mothers without food insecurity (unadjusted odds ratio (OR), 2.39; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.27–4.47, P = .01) (Figure). These findings persisted after adjusting for maternal age (adjusted OR [AOR], 2.46; 95% CI, 1.31–4.63, P = .01); education (AOR, 2.38; 95% CI, 1.26–4.47, P = .01); or foreign-born status (AOR, 2.43; 95% CI, 1.29–4.60, P = .01). Infants with food insecurity compared were 2 times more likely to consume SSBs compared with infants without food insecurity (OR, 2.05; 95% CI, 1.15–3.65; P = .02), even after adjusting for maternal education (AOR, 1.98; 95% CI, 1.11–3.55; P = .02) or foreign-born status (AOR, 1.88; 95% CI, 1.05–3.38; P = .03). Food insecurity was not significantly associated with infant SSB consumption.
consumption when adjusting for infant age (AOR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.40–2.77; \(P = .85\)). Per convention, odds ratios were presented on a logarithmic scale.23

**DISCUSSION**

The first 1,000 days represents a vulnerable time in child growth and development, and nutrition during this window can influence child obesity risk and future health trajectories. The current study showed that among WIC-enrolled participants, predominantly Hispanic/Latino pregnant

![图形](image-url)  
**Figure.** Associations of household food insecurity with habitual sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) consumption in the first 1,000 days. Data from 394 mothers and 281 infants in WIC-enrolled families. Odds ratios from multiple logistic regression models for maternal habitual SSB consumption (diamond) and infant habitual SSB consumption (square) on a logarithmic axis. SSB indicates sugar-sweetened beverage; WIC, *Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children.*

aMaternal SSB consumption adjusted for the age of the parent at the time of research visit (by 1-year increase); bInfant SSB consumption adjusted for infant age at the time of research visit (by 1-month increase); cSSB consumption adjusted for highest maternal education level; and dSSB consumption adjusted for foreign-born maternal status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Food Insecurity</th>
<th>Total Sample (n = 394)</th>
<th>Any (n = 249)</th>
<th>None (n = 145)</th>
<th>(P) value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal and Household Characteristics</th>
<th>Maternal and Infant SSB Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age, years, median (IQR)</td>
<td>Total Sample (n = 394)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant woman</td>
<td>Any (n = 249)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental education, some college or above (either parent)</td>
<td>None (n = 145)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal race/ethnicity, Hispanic/Latina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Born in the United States</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size, number, median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, boy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, months, median (IQR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal and Infant SSB Consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal habitual SSB consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant habitual SSB consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR indicates interquartile range; WIC, *Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children.*

*Fisher exact tests for categorical variables and Wilcoxon rank sum tests for continuous variables were performed to test for significant differences between covariates among those with household food insecurity compared with those without (\(P < .05\) selected for statistical significance); Sex data was missing for 1 infant.

Note: Values are represented as number (percentage) unless noted otherwise.
women, mothers, and infants aged less than 2 years, household food insecurity was significantly associated with maternal and infant habitual SSB consumption within the first 1,000 days. The association between household food insecurity and habitual SSB consumption remained significant after adjusting for maternal age, maternal education, and foreign-born status in separate regression models. However, the association between household food insecurity and infant SSB consumption was not significant when adjusting for infant age.

These findings were similar to those seen in children by Cunningham et al in which toddlers aged 2 years with food-insecure mothers consumed soda more days of the week compared with toddlers of food-secure mothers. Lee et al also showed that children in middle childhood from food-insecure households consumed more SSBs compared with children from food-secure households. The current study advanced these findings by including descriptions of household food insecurity and SSB consumption both in infants aged under 2 years as well as mothers of young infants and pregnant women to span the first 1,000 days.

The current study suggested that routine screening for food insecurity in the first 1,000 days may augment health efforts to limit SSB consumption during pregnancy and prevent infant SSB introduction in a population with increased odds of SSB consumption, thereby reducing child obesity risk. Food insecurity may contribute to household trade-offs on food purchases in favor of lower-cost, more calorie-dense foods with poorer nutrient content, such as SSBs, that may drive parent and child dietary patterns. Andreyeva et al reported that SSBs accounted for 48% of WIC-household purchases. Infant SSB intake increases the likelihood of habitual SSB intake into later childhood, likely because of established patterns of SSB consumption in the household. Ha et al showed that maternal SSB consumption was significantly associated with the introduction of foods and drinks with added sugars to infants aged 6–9 months.

Food insecurity screening with The Hunger Vital Sign, as endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, has been recognized as an important component of pediatric primary care efforts to identify and address health-related social needs, particularly as children of immigrant mothers, are at increased risk of household food insecurity than children with US-born mothers. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists also recommends that women’s health visits include screening for social determinants of health, such as food insecurity, and referring to community resources as needed. Routine food insecurity screening of pregnant women and families at WIC and other health care settings can highlight those who are at risk for food insecurity and increased SSB intake and contribute to targeted nutrition education and health program efforts to reduce added sugar consumption and childhood obesity risk.

The strengths of this study included adding to the growing body of research that household food insecurity is correlated with SSB consumption in pregnant women, mothers, and infants aged less than 2 years. In addition, this study’s findings from New York City were consistent with increased SSB consumption noted among Hispanic/Latino toddlers and preschoolers in California.

This study was also subject to several limitations. Study results were correlational and not causal because of the cross-sectional design. The self-reported questionnaires posed a risk for recall bias and social desirability bias, with maternal underreporting of food insecurity and SSB intake. The Hunger Vital Sign did not differentiate between acute and chronic food insecurity in the last 12 months, and SSB intake patterns may have been different in households with short vs long-term food insecurity. This study did not include grocery store access, household food purchase locations, and other potentially confounding factors in the relationship between food insecurity and SSB consumption. The sample size did not support the inclusion of all covariates of interest, significant and nonsignificant, in a single regression model because of risk for model instability.

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

This study expanded on previous research and demonstrated that household food insecurity was associated with greater odds of SSB consumption in the first 1,000 days among predominantly Hispanic/Latino WIC-enrolled mothers and young infants. Food insecurity screening may be a feasible way to identify those pregnant women and mothers more likely to consume and/or introduce SSBs to infants, thereby increasing child obesity risk.

Future longitudinal studies across the first 1,000 days that track food insecurity and SSB consumption patterns will be crucial for the development of targeted nutrition and public health interventions to reduce SSB consumption during pregnancy and prevent SSB introduction to infants.
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