Cholinergic blockade frees fear extinction from its contextual dependency
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Abstract

**Background**—Fears that are maladaptive or inappropriate can be reduced through extinction training. However, extinction is highly context-sensitive, resulting in the renewal of fear following shifts in context, and limiting the clinical efficacy of extinction training. Lesion and inactivation studies have shown that the contextualization of extinction depends on the hippocampus. Parallel studies have found that intrahippocampal scopolamine blocks contextual fear conditioning. Importantly, this effect was replicated using a non-invasive technique in which a low dose of scopolamine was administered systemically. We aimed to transfer the effects of this non-invasive approach to block the contextualization of fear extinction.

**Methods**—Rats were tone fear conditioned and extinguished under various systemic doses of scopolamine or the saline vehicle. They were subsequently tested (off drug) for tone fear in a context that was the same (controls) or shifted (renewal group) with respect to the extinction context.

**Results**—The lowest dose of scopolamine produced a significant attenuation of fear renewal when renewal was tested either in the original training context or a novel context. The drug also slowed the rate of long-term extinction memory formation, which was readily overcome by extending extinction training. Scopolamine only gave this effect when it was administered during, but not after extinction training. Higher doses of scopolamine severely disrupted extinction learning.

**Conclusions**—We discovered that disrupting contextual processing during extinction with the cholinergic antagonist scopolamine blocked subsequent fear renewal. Low doses of scopolamine may be a clinically promising adjunct to exposure therapy by making extinction more relapse-resistant.
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Introduction

Fear orchestrates and organizes the way in which each species defends itself in a dangerous situation (1). However, when the mechanisms underlying fear go awry, the consequence is as detrimental as a functional fear system is beneficial. Fear can be maladaptive when elicited in non-threatening situations or in excess, and the persistence of such inappropriate fears contributes to the formation of anxiety disorders and phobias (2).

Fear extinction, wherein a fear-provoking stimulus is repeatedly presented in the absence of an aversive consequence, provides one of the most effective ways of reducing fear (3). However, extinction does not erase the original fear memory formed during acquisition (4). Instead, extinction produces a new inhibitory memory that can serve to suppress, or compete with the original fear (5). Importantly, extinction memories are more tenuous and sensitive to disruption in contrast to the resilient and enduring nature of fear memories. Indeed, following extinction, several factors cause fear to recover (6). In fear renewal, a rodent presented with an extinguished stimulus in a context that is different than the extinction context will show a renewal of the original fear response (7, 8), demonstrating the context-dependency of extinction. This effect has been extended to human studies as well (9–11). Fear renewal poses a serious problem for the treatment of anxiety disorders in the clinic, as patients are often extinguished in a context (e.g. therapist’s office) that is different then the context in which they are likely to re-encounter extinguished fear stimuli (3).

Interestingly, the majority of experimental research on fear extinction and the development of techniques for the treatment of phobias and anxiety disorders have focused on methods of enhancing extinction or “erasing” the original fear memory, rather then on reducing relapse or preventing fear renewal. Specifically, pharmacological studies tend to use methods to enhance learning during extinction (e.g. cognitive enhancers). One such example is the use of the partial NMDA agonist d-cycloserine (DCS) to enhance extinction learning (12). While some, but not all, investigations of this drug have shown it increases the rate of extinction (12–16), there is considerable evidence that it does not change the nature of extinction (15). That is, extinction under DCS remains context bound and renewal is not attenuated (15, 16). Thus, while such approaches may enhance the rate of extinction, they importantly do not attenuate relapse effects such as renewal, which are perhaps a much more clinically important consideration for the long-term effectiveness of exposure treatment.

Taking a different approach, other groups of researchers have attempted to erase the original fear formed, as opposed to enhancing extinction. While fear extinction is thought to be a form of new inhibitory learning rather then an unlearning of the original fear (4, 6), researchers have suggested that extinction immediately following a traumatic event (10 minutes) may serve to “erase” or cause the “unlearning” of fear because extinction occurs before the trauma memory has consolidated (17). However, the translatability of this research is limited because exposure therapy must be given very soon after the trauma, which would be difficult to achieve in many situations. For example, in individuals that seek treatment for an anxiety disorder the interval between symptom onset and treatment seeking ranges between 9 and 23 years (18).

Other approaches have used a brief extinction (“reminder”) session followed by either propranolol (19–22) or a longer extinction session (23, 24) to cause a “deconsolidation” of the original fear memory. These methods have proved sometimes successful and sometimes not (25). Another limitation to this approach is that the mechanisms mediating this type of deconsolidation still appear to be time-limited, requiring therapy to occur shortly after trauma (26–28).
Behavioral strategies for overcoming the contextualization of extinction have attempted to extinguish in multiple contexts. These studies have also met with mixed results in human samples, with one research group showing a significant reduction of context renewal (29) and another group failing to find such benefits (30). Importantly, Bouton has shown that extinction in 4 different contexts did not prevent renewal when rats were shifted either back to the original fear acquisition context or an entirely novel context (31). Another behavioral approach uses a cue as a reminder for extinction. While this approach appears successful in highly controlled laboratory settings (32, 33) it has produced only weak and non-replicated results in a human anxious sample (34).

Recent investigations into the neural underpinnings of fear renewal offer important insights into the brain regions that must be targeted to specifically eliminate renewal. These studies have implicated the hippocampus (HPC) in the contextual regulation of extinction (35–40). These data show that lesions or temporary and reversible inactivation of the HPC prior to the acquisition and/or expression of fear extinction are able to disrupt fear renewal. Such findings are in line with a more general role for the hippocampus in the encoding and processing of integrated contextual representations (41, 42). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that contextual processing during fear-related learning may be attenuated by manipulations that render the HPC dysfunctional.

While interfering with hippocampal function during or shortly after extinction prevents fear renewal, the invasiveness of these experimental procedures makes them clinically unfeasible for the treatment of anxiety disorders. Therefore, we sought a readily translatable pharmacological approach to impair the hippocampus during extinction. Ideally such a treatment would have 3 characteristics: 1) it would be systemically deliverable; 2) it would have a history of clinical use in humans; and 3) it would preferentially target contextual learning. For insight, we turned to studies in contextual fear conditioning that used the muscarinic cholinergic antagonist scopolamine (SCOP) to temporarily and selectively disrupt contextual fear conditioning in a dose-dependent, hippocampus-mediated manner (43, 44).

Our prior work testing rats with a wide range of doses (.01 to 100 mg/kg) showed that low doses of the drug had selective effects on contextual learning and closely paralleled the behavioral effects of intrahippocampal SCOP (45). Higher doses had a more general impact on learning and behavior. For example, the ED50 for hippocampus-independent tone fear conditioning was about 14 times higher than the ED50 for hippocampus-dependent context conditioning, even though this comparison is made off-drug and tone and context learning occurred simultaneously. Additionally, SCOP has been used in humans to treat a variety of conditions including seasickness (46), Parkinson’s disease (47) and depression (48–50). Thus, SCOP met the three characteristics we noted above, indicating it was a promising candidate for the decontextualization of extinction.

To examine the effects of scopolamine in reducing fear renewal after extinction, rats were tone fear conditioned, extinguished under scopolamine or the vehicle saline, and subsequently tested for fear renewal. We used a design for which we have previously demonstrated a role for the hippocampus in renewal (35). Control experiments included the application of higher doses of scopolamine, post-injection administration of scopolamine and variations in the experimental design.
Methods and Materials

Subjects
A total of 90 naïve, adult male Long-Evans rats, initially weighing 300 g, purchased from Harlan (Indianapolis, IN) were used. Housing, feeding and handling involved standard procedures described in Supplemental Methods.

Drugs
Scopolamine hydrobromide (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 0.9% saline (vehicle) to obtain a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml. Doses of 0.5, 1.35, and 2.7 were also tested (Supplemental Figure S1). Injections were made into the intraperitoneal (i.p.) cavity. See Supplemental Methods for additional information.

Behavioral Testing Apparatus, Fear Conditioning and Analyses
The behavioral testing apparatus consisted of three distinct physical “contexts” (counterbalanced) and modes of transport to these contexts (4 experimental chambers/context). A detailed description of fear conditioning procedures and automated analysis of freezing behavior are provided in the Supplemental Methods (also see Figure 1A).

Statistical Analyses
Data were statistically analyzed using analyses of variance (ANOVAs). Following significant findings, pairwise comparisons using a Bonferroni correction were performed. See Supplemental Methods for additional details.

Results
Scopolamine alters freezing during extinction
Tone fear-conditioned rats were extinguished under the influence of scopolamine to investigate the effects of scopolamine-mediated extinction on subsequent fear renewal. In our first experiment, rodents were fear conditioned and given two sessions of extinction under a low, systemic dose of scopolamine (i.p., 0.1 mg/kg) (design depicted in Figure 1A).

Figure 1B shows the fear acquisition data. All rats acquired significant tone fear across acquisition trials ($R(3, 84) = 140.9, p < .0001$). Animals were equally split into groups (Same/Shifting and Saline/Scopolamine) based on their final levels of fear and rate of acquisition, ensuring that groups were balanced prior to extinction. Freezing during extinction session one is displayed in Figure 1C (left panel). There was an overall significant effect of drug condition ($R(1, 150) = 4.59, p < .05$), which interacted with the rate of behavior change during extinction, such that animals extinguished under scopolamine showed a slower loss of freezing across trials compared to saline controls ($R(5, 150) = 7.56, p < .0001$). This was partially driven by an initial reduction in freezing in scopolamine compared to saline-treated animals extinguished in a novel context (shifted groups: Bin 1: $p < .001$; Bin 2: $p < .05$), and disappeared as saline controls extinguished to the same low levels of freezing as scopolamine rats (Bin: 6: $p > .05$). Caution should be exercised in interpreting extinction data while rats are on drug, as scopolamine is known to affect behavioral performance (4). Drug, context, and interaction effects disappeared by the second session of extinction (Figure 1C) ($F's < 1$). Interestingly baseline context fear prior to each extinction session (collapsed across contexts) was also reduced by scopolamine (Ext. 1: $F(1, 28) = 8.50, p < .01$; Ext.2: $F(1, 28) = 6.33, p < .05$), further suggesting that scopolamine may interfere with the processing of contextual cues (“BL” shown in left panel of Figures 1B and C).
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**Extinction under scopolamine attenuates renewal**

Following extinction, rats were tested for tone fear renewal in the same context as extinction (“AAA” design) or shifted out of the extinction context (“ABA” renewal). Baseline freezing to the context prior to tone onset (Figure 2A) was similarly negligible between groups ($F$’s <1), ensuring that tone fear expression was not due to an interaction between tone and context fear (51). In the critical tone test after extinction (Figure 2B) the saline-treated shifted rats froze more than the saline-treated same context rats, demonstrating standard fear renewal in saline controls ($p < .01$). Scopolamine-treated rats froze similarly in the same and shifted conditions indicating that the drug abolished renewal ($p > .05$), providing evidence that intact cholinergic transmission during extinction is required for later fear renewal. This finding is consistent with those obtained when the hippocampus is inactivated during extinction (40) suggesting that cholinergic antagonism disrupts the ability for the HPC to function normally with respect to contextual encoding during extinction and subsequent contextual modulation of extinction memory retrieval. It should be noted that this effect was unique to this low dose of scopolamine, as extinction under higher of the drug had the effect of hindering extinction recall in a dose-dependent fashion (Supplemental Figure S1).

While scopolamine completely blocked renewal, the difference between the saline-shifted and scopolamine-shifted groups fell short of statistical significance ($p<.05$). This may have been caused by a modest attenuation of extinction in both scopolamine treated groups. We hypothesized that because animals might have learned extinction in a changed, hippocampus-independent-like manner, extinction memories may have taken longer to acquire. Thus, we asked whether additional sessions of extinction under scopolamine could produce a greater reduction in context fear for shifted rats.

**Extinction under scopolamine strengthens with additional sessions**

To try to enhance the degree of extinction under scopolamine we conducted a longer, four-session, extinction phase to strengthen extinction memory recall in both the same and shifted conditions. Rats were fear conditioned, extinguished and tested using a procedure identical to that used in the two-session extinction experiment, with the exception that they received four sessions of extinction and context exposure (see Figure 3A for design).

All rats showed significant fear acquisition ($R(3, 78) = 146.3, p < .0001$) (Figure 3B). Similar to the previous results, the data for extinction session 1 (Figure 3C) reveal an overall significant amount of extinction across tone bins ($F(5, 140) = 73.64, p < .0001$), with a significant effect of drug such that scopolamine-extinguished animals showed lower fear expression during extinction compared to saline controls ($R(1, 140) = 4.73, p < .05$). Baseline freezing to the context prior to each extinction session revealed an overall increase in freezing for rodents extinguished in the acquisition context (extinction 1: $R(1, 26)=4.27, p<.05$) that did not interact with drug condition ($F<1$). Freezing differences during baseline and extinction disappeared by extinction 2.

When animals were tested for tone fear renewal, we found again, minimal levels of baseline freezing (Figure 4A). Average freezing to the tone at test (Figure 4B) demonstrated fear renewal in saline controls ($p < .001$), which was blocked by scopolamine ($p > .05$). This replicated our previous finding, providing further support for the idea that intact cholinergic transmission during extinction is required for fear renewal. Moreover, we saw a significant attenuation of tone freezing in the shifted condition for scopolamine contrasted to saline rats ($p < .05$). Thus, while the formation of extinction memories under cholinergic antagonism may require more extinction training, what is learned seems to be more resilient to fear relapse effects such as renewal.
Scopolamine before, but not after, extinction prevents renewal in a novel context

In the first 3 experiments (Figures 1, 3 and S1) we examined the renewal that occurs when testing is in the original fear acquisition context (ABA renewal). Renewal also occurs when testing is in a novel context (ABC renewal (5)). ABC renewal may be more clinically relevant than ABA renewal as return to the same context as fear acquisition is unlikely. Therefore, to test the generality of our findings the final experiment used an ABC renewal design. The renewal condition used a different context for acquisition (A), extinction (B) and renewal (C). The controls had acquisition in one context (A) while both extinction and testing occurred in the same context (C).

Our interpretation of scopolamine’s influence on renewal is that extinction training becomes more general when context processing is disrupted during extinction. This interpretation predicts that scopolamine must be present during extinction to influence later renewal of fear. However, the prior experiments did not rule out the possibility that simply receiving scopolamine influenced later performance, as controls never received the drug. Therefore, rather than a vehicle-only control, the present experiment injected the drug 15 min after extinction in the control condition. In this way all animals were equated for drug exposure, the difference was whether or not the drug was given in conjunction with extinction.

While scopolamine made extinction more generalizable, it is possible that it diminished the robustness of the extinction. For example, extinction memory acquired under scopolamine might not last as long as drug-free extinction. Therefore, we tested renewal 3 days rather than one day after extinction.

Our ABA extinction design equated exposure to both the extinction and alternate contexts (see figures 1a, 3a, S1a). This procedure equates all groups for exposure to the various contexts. It is also a necessary feature of an ABA design as it reduces baseline context fear prior to testing, which is required for auditory fear conditioning data to be interpretable (51). By testing renewal in a novel context, the ABC design obviates the need for extinguishing fear of the test context. During exposure therapy clients are unlikely to have anything equivalent to our “alternate” context exposure, so the ABC design again makes this procedure more analogous to a typical exposure treatment.

Fear acquisition and extinction proceeded similar to our previous experiments (Figures 1 and 3). Seventy-two hours after the final extinction session, animals were tested for tone fear in either a novel context (“shifted” rats) or the context in which they were extinguished (“same” rats). Baseline freezing (Figure 5B) prior to presentation of the tone was low and similar across groups ($F < 1$).

Post-extinction injected animals displayed significant fear renewal; the shifted rats froze more than non-shifted rats (Figure 5C, $p < .05$). Pre-extinction injected rats did not show renewal; average freezing to the tone at test demonstrated no differences in freezing between shifted and same animals treated with pre-training scopolamine (Figure 5C, $p > .05$). This blockade replicated our previous findings, extending our attenuation of renewal effect to renewal in a novel context and to fear recovery after the passage of time. Importantly, pre-extinction scopolamine-extinguished rats showed a significant decrease in freezing in the shifted condition ($p < .05$) compared to shifted rats injected post-extinction. Thus scopolamine must be present during extinction to have its effects; simply receiving the drug does not impact later performance. In addition, the tone-fear extinction memory was still robust 3 days after extinction.
Discussion

In the data reported here, we examined the effects of administering scopolamine prior or subsequent to extinction training on fear renewal. We found that when extinction training occurred under scopolamine, a significant attenuation in fear renewal could be observed. Indeed, extinction memories formed under scopolamine were long lasting and more resilient to shifts in context. This reduced sensitivity to context shift made the rats less susceptible to relapse effects such as renewal. Furthermore, we showed that the effect on renewal is an interaction between extinction training and drug; the drug only works this way when given in conjunction with extinction. Collectively, these findings suggest that cholinergic blockade during extinction produces learning that is changed in nature: the safety memory is more slowly acquired and resilient to shifts in context. Importantly, the slowing of log-term memory formation is readily overcome by additional extinction training (compare Figures 2B and 4B).

Extinction memories formed under scopolamine are slower to acquire

The rate at which a fear behavior is reduced across repeated exposures to a feared stimulus is often taken as an indication of successful fear extinction. Importantly, facilitating or increasing the rate of extinction performance has been used as an indirect marker of enhanced extinction. The data presented here suggests that not only is extinction rate a poor indicator of whether a fear is actually being extinguished, but that slower extinction may in some cases be indicative of extinction memories that are resilient to relapse.

That is, while the presence of scopolamine during extinction makes freezing behavior during extinction or “within-session extinction” difficult to interpret, the requirement for additional sessions of extinction (four as opposed to two session) in order to reduce tone fear at test for scopolamine treated rats demonstrates that extinction learning under scopolamine requires more sessions to be learned. Indeed, when scopolamine is administered during or after a single session of extinction, animals fail to retain those extinction memories (52). In this study, Santini et al., reported that a systemic scopolamine dose 15 times greater than our 0.1 mg/kg dose reduced extinction when only a single extinction session was used. They attributed this effect to an action on infralimbic cortex as a direct cortical infusion had similar effects. Thus, it is possible that in our experiments the drug’s ability to slow the formation of the extinction memory was mediated by the infralimbic cortex, while the drug’s ability to enhance generalization of the extinction memory involved the hippocampus (see below). It is also possible that Santini et al. would have seen similar effects had they used a more robust extinction training procedure as was used here.

Thus, scopolamine may disrupt some of the processes underlying extinction such that additional sessions are required to retain extinction memories, however, the memories that are eventually formed posses qualities that contribute to its resiliency. This is consistent with the idea that the rate of within-session extinction is a poor indicator of whether an extinction memory will be retained at test (53–56).

De-contextualizing extinction

Another key characteristic of extinction is its sensitivity to the context in which it occurs (6). This contextualization of extinction lies at the heart of relapse, as extinction – no matter how strong or how weak – remains dependent on context specificity for expression. The experiments presented here directly address this issue in that they specifically target the contextual encoding that occurs during extinction utilizing a pharmacological agent previously shown to block contextual processing mediated by the dorsal hippocampus (43, 45).
The approach taken here is not the first to specifically target the context-dependency of renewal, however, the method implemented to do so is. Previous researchers have focused on attenuating renewal by making extinction learning more generalizable across contexts. Notably, experiments in both rodents and human subjects alike have attempted to make extinction more generalizable and less susceptible to renewal by extinguishing in multiple contexts or using reminder cues from the extinction session to “bridge the gap” between extinction and test contexts (9, 31, 34, 57). These studies have resulted in mixed findings with some obtaining benefits of such behavioral protocols and others not.

Our approach similarly aimed to reduce renewal, but instead aimed to remove the contextual encoding that occurs during extinction itself by using a low dose of scopolamine, a cholinergic antagonist which has been shown to interfere with contextual processing and exert its effect via the dorsal hippocampus (44). This approach took advantage of the known research regarding the role of the hippocampal formation in both context fear and fear renewal after extinction. Indeed, the hippocampus has been repeatedly implicated in the formation of contextual representations (41, 42) and the contextual encoding and/or retrieval required to drive renewal (35–40, 58, 59). By administering scopolamine prior to extinction, we were able to mimic the attenuation of renewal observed when the hippocampus is directly inactivated or lesioned during extinction. Thus, our data extend the notion that the hippocampus is normally involved in the context-bound nature of extinction, and reveals a non-invasive, temporary and translatable pharmacological manipulation that serves to “de-contextualize” extinction learning.

Cholinergic contributions to contextual and cued learning

Cholinergic transmission has been repeatedly implicated in fear conditioning paradigms thought to involve the hippocampus, such as contextual and trace fear conditioning (43–45, 60–62). A number of microinfusion studies further localize the role of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors to areas including the dorsal hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and perirhinal cortex (44, 63–65). The data presented here extend the role of cholinergic processing from these contextual fear effects to context-sensitive fear extinction. This suggests that the role of the dorsal hippocampus in contextual fear conditioning and fear extinction is similar (35) with both effects dependent on intact cholinergic transmission.

Systemic administration of scopolamine has been shown to also effect delay auditory fear conditioning, provided high doses are administered (45, 62). Interestingly, we found that at higher doses of scopolamine, animals failed to appropriately extinguish their tone fear at all, consistent with the blockade of processing auditory associations. Moreover, it has been suggested that high doses of scopolamine (8 mg/kg), administered prior to testing for fear after extinction might serve to reverse extinction that has already accrued to the CS (66). Collectively, these findings are inline with the idea that low doses of scopolamine effect hippocampal, but not amygdala processing, while higher doses may additionally disrupt functioning within the amygdala.

Clinical Implications

These findings support the idea that disrupting hippocampal functioning during extinction produces a changed, “context-free” extinction. These results have implications for the treatment of phobias and anxiety disorders in the clinic. Currently championed pharmacological adjuncts to exposure therapy have focused on cognitive enhancers (12, 14), which while speeding up extinction do not change its fundamental, context-dependent nature. In contrast, we used a compound that is known to impair cognition and while this manipulation slowed the rate of extinction memory formation (requiring more training sessions) it made extinction more robust. Moreover, scopolamine is a drug that is easily
The clinical translatability of scopolamine, combined with the finding that extinction memories formed under this compound, while slower to acquire, demonstrate a changed nature – resilient against shifts in the environment – suggests that clinical-based treatments may benefit by incorporating scopolamine into exposure therapy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Effects of scopolamine on fear extinction (two sessions). (A) Experimental design. Tone: 80 dB, 30 sec. Shock: .9 mA, 2 sec. Inter-trial Interval (ITI): 60 sec. (B) Fear acquisition. Mean (±sem) percent baseline (BL) freezing and tone freezing during each tone-footshock trial. (C) Extinction. Mean (±sem) percent freezing during baseline and each bin of 5 tone-alone presentations for extinction (ext.) sessions 1–2. Rats were extinguished in the same context as acquisition/test (Same) or in a novel context (Shifted), under scopolamine (Scop) or the vehicle saline (Sal) (n=8). Freezing during the first and second bins in the novel context was reduced by scopolamine compared to saline (***p <.001; *p <.05). Pre-extinction baseline fear was reduced for scopolamine-treated animals ((##p <.01; #p <.05).
Figure 2.
Fear renewal following 2 extinction sessions. (A) Mean (±sem) percent freezing during 180 s baseline period preceding tone onset. (B) Mean (±sem) percent freezing to four, 30 sec tone presentations (ITI: 60 sec) in either the Same or Shifted context during test. Saline controls showed significant renewal (Same vs Shifted: **p<.01), which was blocked in animals that had been extinguished under the influence of scopolamine (n.s., p > .05).
Figure 3.
Effects of scopolamine on four sessions of fear extinction. (A) Experimental design. Tone: 80 dB, 30 sec. Shock: .9 mA, 2 sec. Inter-trial Interval (ITI): 60 sec. (B) Fear acquisition. Mean (±sem) percent baseline (BL) freezing and tone freezing during each tone-footshock trial. (C) Extinction. Mean (±sem) percent freezing during baseline and each bin of 5 tone-alone presentations for extinction (ext.) sessions 1–4. Rats were extinguished in the same context as acquisition/test (Same) or in a novel context (Shifted), under scopolamine (Scop) or the vehicle saline (Sal) (n=7–8). Freezing during extinction 1 was reduced by scopolamine (*p < .05). Pre-extinction baseline fear was reduced for shifted animals (#p < .05).
Figure 4.
Fear renewal following four sessions of extinction. (A) Mean (±sem) percent freezing during 180 s baseline period preceding tone onset. (B) Mean (±sem) percent freezing to four, 30 sec tone presentations (ITI: 60 sec) in either the Same or Shifted context at test. Saline controls showed significant renewal (**p<.001), which was blocked by pre-extinction scopolamine (n.s., p>.05). Scopolamine-extinguished rats showed a significant decrease in freezing in the shifted condition (#p<.05).
Figure 5.
Effects of pre or post extinction scopolamine on fear renewal in a novel context and recovery following the passage of time. (A) Experimental design. Tone: 80 dB, 30 sec. Shock: .9 mA, 2 sec. Inter-trial Interval (ITI): 60 sec. Note that the tone test occurred in a third, novel context. (B) Mean (±sem) percent freezing during 180 s baseline period preceding tone onset. (C) Mean (±sem) percent freezing to four, 30 sec tone presentations (ITI: 60 sec) in either the Same or Shifted context at test. Pre-extinction scopolamine injected animals showed no fear renewal, as freezing levels between the same and shifted groups were not significantly different (n.s., p > .05). Conversely, animals injected with scopolamine subsequent to extinction sessions showed significant renewal (*p<.05). Pre-extinction scopolamine-extinguished rats showed a significant decrease in freezing in the shifted condition (#p<.05) compared to shifted rats injected post-extinction (n=7–8).