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Set up the system

The system aims:

- to strengthen Madagascar systems for conflict monitoring
- for prevention and transformation systems
- to inform and implement programmatic interventions
- to address and respond to early warning signs of social risk
Selection of conflict monitors

Who is a young leader in the community?

Who can be a trust leader with influence within the community?

Selection process with local authorities

Interview

Final selection
2 Training Session

Ethics and methods
- Conflict analysis
- Conflict sensitivity and do no harm

Data collection
- KII
- Observation
- Transcription

Analysis and reporting
- Conflicts (manifestation and causes)
- Information flow and reporting
3. Data Collection

**Observation**
- Public spaces
- Type of conflict

**KII**
- Actors of the conflict or witnesses
- Potential risk identification

**Transcription**
- Monitoring tools grid
- Monthly report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring tool grid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data analysis**

**Data validation**
- Database with the raw data:
  - Location
  - Causes of the conflict
  - Conflict manifestation
  - Actors of the conflict
  - Push and pull factors
  - Risks on social cohesion

**Data analysis**
- Cumulative analysis based on these questions:
  - Existing or new conflict?
  - If existing, does it manifest the same way? With the same actors? Are there new dynamics?
  - What has been done to solve this conflict?

**Identification of risk**
- Meeting within the DME team to:
  - identify the evolution of conflict
  - identify the profile of actor of conflict
  - identify the risk on social cohesion
  - identify a strategy proposition to mitigate these risks based on our implementation program

**Reporting**

**Factsheet of the findings with**:
- Dynamics of conflict
- Actors of the conflict
- Risks on social cohesion
- Programmatic decision to mitigate risk for sustainable impact
Dissemination and strategic meeting

Monthly analysis report
To the partner working in the area
To the program team

Value added
Open database to program team to have a systemic view of conflict dynamic
Identification of strategy to mitigate social risk
Identification of approach during the implementation activity

Quarterly meeting with partners
Monitoring and evaluation team
Monitors consultant
Partners

Value added
Implementation strategy to mitigate risks
Identification of outcomes contributed to the mitigation of risks
Strengths

Locally-led

Local buy-in

Quick

Flexible

Empowering

Findings are locally-focused

Findings are locally-focused

Rapid monitoring tools to assess the evolution of conflicts and potential risk on social cohesion

Valuable findings to determine the relevance of implementation approach

Empowering
Weaknesses

Information flow

Sampling and monitoring tools

Scope of work of the consultant monitors

Regular spot-checks to verify data collected

Ability of monitor to analyze conflict

Regular coaching of the monitors
Lessons learned

Selection process
- Call for selection to attend a training in peacebuilding
- Selection based on capacity as a peacebuilder and motivation to work for community

Understanding rôle
- Youth need to consider themselves as a peace ambassador before monitoring conflict
- Field Training session in additional of theoric session

Tools
- Participatory design of monitoring tools for more appropriation and highlight their contribution
- Regular Coaching adapted to the capacity and ability of local leader
Thank you for your attention!

More information:

Sedera Rajoelison: srajoelison@sfcg.org
website: https://www.sfcg.org/madagascar
Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/SFCGMadagascar/