Determining program effectiveness for whom?
Sensing the room...

Let’s be interactive....

Everyone get out your phone or computer!
What does locally led MEL mean to you?
Myanmar

Communication for the Future: Building Confidence and Trust in the peace process in Myanmar
Research Process

- **Background**
  - Legacy of armed conflict/exclusion
  - Research overview

- **Selection/process**

- **Community-based Peace Indicators**
## Community-based indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator description</th>
<th>Linked Issue</th>
<th>Rational</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Points connected by new roads</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Military would build roads to connect military installations and economic projects, bypassing local communities</td>
<td>Locations connected by current road construction (Connecting villages instead of military/econ installations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials used to build homes</td>
<td>Land grabs and displacement, fighting between armed groups</td>
<td>People are cautious about what materials they use to build homes in rural areas</td>
<td>Home construction in rural areas are no longer using temporary materials (like bamboo, tarps, etc) and instead use concrete, brick, wood etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
South Sudan

Youth Network Building in South Sudan
Process and Challenges

- Initiative background
- Accompaniment
- Co-designed MEL
  - Social Network Analysis
  - Outcome Harvesting
  - Co-designed indicators
## Enacting Change Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Signs of Change</th>
<th>Seeing Signs of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tea Ceremonies    | Relationships| ● Exchanging contact info  
                                 ● New friendships  
                                 ● Protection of Civilian camp engagement with surrounding communities  
                                 ● People playing under the peace tree | ● Questionnaire  
                                 ● Community feedback sessions  
                                 ● Outcome Harvesting  
                                 ● Observation |
| Cultural Events   | Perceptions  | ● Engaging/interacting  
                                 ● Holding hands  
                                 ● laughing/talking | ● Observation  
                                 ● Activity Questionnaire |
|                   | Behaviors    | ● Gathering in public spaces to convene/discuss issues | ● Research  
                                 ● Observation  
                                 ● Survey |
Round 2 Questions!
What are the biggest challenges or concerns for locally led MEL?

- Institutional restrictions
- Donors allowing time and money to do this
- Time, resource constraints
- Security
- Donor priorities
- Inclusivity
- Capacity
- Capacity gaps
- Lack of understanding
What are the biggest challenges or concerns for locally led MEL?

- Authoritarianism
- Lack of access
- Capacity of data collectors
- UN equal dynamics at the local level
- Ensuring proper representation
- Developing trust with local communities as an external organization
- Veracity capacity protection from risk
- Time constraints
- Time constraints
What are the biggest challenges or concerns for locally led MEL?

- Mistrust of communities
- Tiene and availability of commit members
- Not replicable
- No donor buy-in
- accuracy time focus
- Creating safe space
- Budget for advisors for good training
- Accuracy
- Shrinking space
What are the biggest challenges or concerns for locally led MEL?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honesty</th>
<th>time</th>
<th>No trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time money</td>
<td>risk protection</td>
<td>Robustness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security access</td>
<td>Willingness</td>
<td>Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to put in the time commitment</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor/Funder buy-in</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust between communities and program staff</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial support</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These don't even scratch the surface</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks!

Any questions?