From:	Dave Pyburn
То:	Andrew Fisher; Harry Snelson; Liz Wagstrom; Paul Sundberg
Subject:	RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity
Date:	Thursday, May 7, 2020 5:51:00 PM

Show them this: <u>https://www.cnn.com/videos/us/2020/05/07/hog-farmer-faced-with-terrible-dilemma-supply-chain-issues-pork-plants-closing-tuchman-ac360-pkg.cnn</u>

Dr. Dave Pyburn Chief Veterinarian National Pork Board 1776 NW 114th St., Clive, IA 50325

Phone: 515-223-2634 Cell: (b) (6) Fax: 515-309-5715 Email: DPyburn@pork.org

-----Original Message-----From: Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:36 PM To: Harry Snelson <snelson@aasv.org>; Liz Wagstrom <WagstromL@nppc.org>; Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; Dave Pyburn <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

During our one on one meetings with leadership in both chambers we have outlined the reality of the circumstances our producers have been facing. We also set up calls with the Congressional delegations of our top states where producers told first hand stories of the decisions they are being forced to make.

We've been using these direct methods to deliver the blunt, hard reality our producers are facing but crafting pragmatic letters to foster a wider, bipartisan base of support.

-----Original Message-----From: snelson@aasv.org <snelson@aasv.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 5:29 PM To: Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org>; Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org>; 'Paul Sundberg' <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; 'Dave Pyburn' <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

Thanks Andrew. I appreciate the efforts but I would respectfully suggest we should be more blunt than that. I don't think Congress fully understands the ramifications of this situation. I would clarify it and make sure they know that we are talking about lost revenue not just costs associated with depop/disposal.

Just my two cents, Harry

Harry Snelson, DVM Executive Director American Association of Swine Veterinarians 830 26th St. Perry, IA 50220 Phone: (515) 465-5255 snelson@aasv.org -----Original Message-----From: Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:24 PM To: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org>; Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; harry snelson <snelson@aasv.org>; 'Dave Pyburn' <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

The letter pushes for indemnity to cover the cost of the animal and then assistance with depopulation and disposal.

I think this sentence towards the ends does a good job outlining the need for compensation to producers even though it still uses the term indemnity.

"Given these significant social and economic consequences, we must prioritize funding in the next coronavirus response package to include indemnifying producers who are depopulating herds due to processing plant closures."

-----Original Message-----From: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 5:08 PM To: Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; harry snelson <snelson@aasv.org>; 'Dave Pyburn' <dpyburn@pork.org>; Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

I have been told that it is indemnity to cover the cost of the animal and assistance with the actual depop and disposal.

Andrew - are we reading this correctly?

Liz Wagstrom, DVM, MS, DACVPM Chief Veterinarian National Pork Producers Council 10676 Justin Drive Urbandale, IA 50322 (o) 515-278-8012 (c) (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----From: Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 4:07 PM To: harry snelson <snelson@aasv.org>; Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org>; 'Dave Pyburn' <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

Good point. I got caught up in the "indemnity" language shiny object instead of connecting that to depop and disposal. They do appear to be connected so there is indemnity for depop and disposal. That'll help since the other funds are so limited but that's really not the issue. Right?

Paul Sundberg, DVM, PhD, DACVPM
Executive Director, Swine Health Information Center
809 Wheeler Street, Suite 110-366, Ames, IA 50010 psundberg@swinehealth.org Office (515) 598-4553 Cell
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----From: snelson@aasv.org <snelson@aasv.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 5:01 PM To: 'Liz Wagstrom' <wagstromL@nppc.org>; Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; 'Dave Pyburn' <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

Liz,

Thanks for sharing this. It's good to see that there is some interest within Congress to provide some relief. But, this letter targets assistance for humane euthanasia and disposal. I still question why no one's asking for indemnity for the lost value of the animals? That's where producers are really going to be hurt and why they will be reluctant to euthanize their animals in a timely manner. In my mind, Congress needs a farmer bailout here. If it was good enough for the crooks on Wall Street it ought to be good enough for farmers that did nothing wrong. The letter estimates COVID will contribute to \$5 billion in losses to pork producers this year. That's a drop in the bucket to the \$700+ billion they used to bailout the banks.

Is anybody on the Hill talking about big dollars for farmers? Harry

Harry Snelson, DVM Executive Director American Association of Swine Veterinarians 830 26th St. Perry, IA 50220 Phone: (515) 465-5255 snelson@aasv.org

-----Original Message-----From: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 11:42 AM To: 'Harry Snelson DVM (snelson@aasv.org)' <snelson@aasv.org>; Paul Sundberg (psundberg@swinehealth.org) <psundberg@swinehealth.org>; 'Dave Pyburn (dpyburn@pork.org)' <dpyburn@pork.org> Subject: FW: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

The letter can be shared. Also - the reason that it says indemnity instead of compensation is that the letter was drafted early last week before we were told to change the language and the Senators had already started sending it around and didn't want to edit.

Thanks!

Liz Wagstrom, DVM, MS, DACVPM Chief Veterinarian National Pork Producers Council 10676 Justin Drive Urbandale, IA 50322 (o) 515-278-8012 (c) (b) (6)

From: Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 11:14 AM To: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

Absolutely, and they extended the deadline until COB today.

From: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org<<u>mailto:wagstromL@nppc.org</u>>> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 11:33 AM To: Cody McKinley <mckinleyc@nppc.org<<u>mailto:mckinleyc@nppc.org</u>>>; Andrew Fisher <fishera@nppc.org<<u>mailto:fishera@nppc.org</u>>> Subject: FW: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity Quick question - can that draft letter (Smith, Grassley, Ernst) be shared?

Thanks!

Liz Wagstrom, DVM, MS, DACVPM Chief Veterinarian National Pork Producers Council 10676 Justin Drive Urbandale, IA 50322 (o) 515-278-8012 (c) (b) (6)

From: Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org<<u>mailto:psundberg@swinehealth.org</u>>> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 10:31 AM To: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org<<u>mailto:wagstromL@nppc.org</u>>>; harry snelson <snelson@aasv.org<<u>mailto:snelson@aasv.org</u>>>; 'Dave Pyburn (dpyburn@pork.org<<u>mailto:dpyburn@pork.org</u>>)' <dpyburn@pork.org<<u>mailto:dpyburn@pork.org</u>>> Subject: RE: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

Is this sharable?

Paul Sundberg, DVM, PhD, DACVPM Executive Director, Swine Health Information Center 809 Wheeler Street, Suite 110-366, Ames, IA 50010 psundberg@swinehealth.org<<u>mailto:psundberg@swinehealth.org</u>> Office (515) 598-4553 Cell (b) (6)

From: Liz Wagstrom <wagstromL@nppc.org<<u>mailto:wagstromL@nppc.org</u>>> Sent: Thursday, May 7, 2020 10:32 AM To: Paul Sundberg <psundberg@swinehealth.org<<u>mailto:psundberg@swinehealth.org</u>>>; harry snelson <snelson@aasv.org<<u>mailto:snelson@aasv.org</u>>>; 'Dave Pyburn (dpyburn@pork.org<<u>mailto:dpyburn@pork.org</u>>)' <dpyburn@pork.org<<u>mailto:dpyburn@pork.org</u>>> Subject: 05.05.20 Pork Indemnity

This is being circulated in the Senate by Smith (MN), Ernst and Grassley.

Last count it had another 12 or so Senators and I believe will close out on Friday. That along with what Peterson and the Adrian Scott effort in the House are more likely to be successful than anything King does since he doesn't have a committee to run it through.

However, it is all predicated on the House coming back and a COVID package moving forward. I don't think it will move independently.