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Actuators utilizing snap-through instabilities are widely investigated for high-performance fast actuators and shape reconfigurable structures owing to their rapid response and limited reliance on continuous energy input. However, prevailing approaches typically involve a combination of multiple bistable actuator units and achieving multistability within a single actuator unit still remains an open challenge. Here, a soft actuator is presented that uses shape memory alloy (SMA) and mixed-mode elastic instabilities to achieve intrinsically multistable shape reconfiguration. The multistable actuator unit consists of six stable states, including two pure bending states and four bend-twist states. The actuator is composed of a pre-stretched elastic membrane placed between two elastomeric frames embedded with SMA coils. By controlling the sequence and duration of SMA activation, the actuator is capable of rapid transition between all six stable states within hundreds of milliseconds. Principles of energy minimization are used to identify actuation sequences for various types of stable state transitions. Bending and twisting angles corresponding to various prestretch ratios are recorded based on parameterizations of the actuator’s geometry. To demonstrate its application in practical conditions, the multistable actuator is used to perform visual inspection in a confined space, light source tracking during photovoltaic energy harvesting, and agile crawling.

1. Introduction

Snap-through instabilities have a central role in a wide range of bistable and multistable systems, from the venus flytrap[1] to shape reconfigurable metamaterials[2] and soft robot actuators.[3,4] For engineered systems, such structures can be designed to respond to a large variety of stimuli, including pneumatic/hydraulic pressure,[5–10] electric field,[11–14] magnetic field,[15–18] light,[19–21] solvents,[22–24] and humidity.[21,22] Bistable and multistable structures can be constructed from elastic beams,[17] plates and shells,[8,12,26–28] and thin-walled balloons,[5,29] as well as from spring-hinge structures[30,31] and stiffness-tunable materials.[32] They can also be patterned using origami,[25,33–39] kirigami,[18,40] and other metamaterial structures.[2,26,41] Progress in the development of bistable and multistable structures has also led to a variety of breakthrough applications in the emerging field of soft robotics. These include mobile soft robots capable of rapid and multimodal locomotion,[10,31,42–44] grasping and manipulation,[6,16] soft valves for controlling fluid flow,[7] and soft logic devices.[15,25,45,46] Compared to traditional monostable counterparts, bistable, and multistable actuators embrace the following two unique advantages. First, snap-through instability enables rapid response, as well as remarkable force output. After overcoming an initial energy barrier, the actuator automatically converges to a newly-established stable state and can release a large amount of stored elastic potential energy. This can result in high-performance actuators for fast locomotion[10,31] and jumping.[5,30] Second, once snapped, bistable and multistable actuators naturally maintain their configuration without the need for continuous energy input, something that is not viable in traditional monostable actuators. Consequently, it demonstrates a reliable solution for robot grasping,[6,16] dynamic changing in locomotion gait,[43] structure morphing,[12,37] gated logic devices,[25,45,46] and electronics-free-control[47] in a rapid and energy-efficient fashion.

To further exploit the potential of snap-through instability, researchers have endeavored to create multistable architectures that expand a system’s total number of stable configurations and modes of deformation. This is typically achieved by directly combining multiple bistable units. For instance, researchers have
proposed the creation of multistable origami arms capable of omnidirectional bending and twisting,[16] multistable origami logic circuits,[15] and multimodal deformation[19] by serially connecting multiple units of Kresling origami bistable modules. Furthermore, a multistable soft flapping-wing swimmer with enhanced maneuverability has been presented through the parallel combination of two pneumatic bistable actuators.[10] Additionally, arrays of bistable shell units have been integrated to develop multistable thin-walled domes[36] and multistable responsive surfaces.[24] Moreover, multistable metamaterials and structures typically require the spatial assembly of multiple unit cells in order to achieve more intricate shape changes.[22,41] Recently, researchers have combined kirigami with bistable dome structures, which leads to architectures with multiple stable states.[18,40] In addition, latching mechanisms and stiffness tuning have been adopted to develop multistable beams with latch configurations.[23] Although these precedents offer several feasible approaches for achieving multistable actuators, the direct realization of intrinsic multistability within a single actuator unit still remains a challenging task.

Here, we introduce an intrinsically multistable actuator unit that can adopt mixed-mode snap-through instabilities to realize six stable configurations, including two pure-bend (B1 and B2) and four bend-twist (T1+, T1−, T2+, and T2−) stable states. The actuator consists of a prestretched elastic membrane sandwiched between two elastomeric frames that are each embedded with a pair of shape memory alloy (SMA) coils. By precisely controlling the actuation sequence and duration of the SMA coils individually, the actuator performs reversible transitions among its six stable states within a few hundred milliseconds. To examine this multistable response, we establish a comprehensive transition diagram and corresponding working principles for the three major types of stable state transitions. Additionally, we parameterize the actuator’s geometry and empirically examine the influence of the pre-stretch ratio on the actuator’s geometry and deformation. Finally, we present several demonstrations that highlight the ability to utilize this multistable actuator in various practical applications. The first demonstration is of an actuator mounted with a miniaturized camera for visual inspection. The second involves a heliotropism-inspired energy-harvesting that a photovoltaic cell for greater mixed-mode interplay between the elastic potential energy of the prestretched membrane and the SMA-embedded frames. Consequently, in addition to the previously established pure-bend stable states, the multistable actuator also develops complex stable geometries that couple bending and twisting, which we henceforth refer to as “bend-twist” states. The multistable actuator has six stable states in total, including two pure-bend (B1 and B2) configurations and four bend-twist (T1+, T1−, T2+ , and T2−) configurations. Each of these corresponds to a local minimum on the total elastic potential energy manifold, as schematically represented in Figure 1B. The nomenclature of these stable states is based on the direction of bending and twisting: B1 (the pure-bend stable state with a negative bending angle), T1− (the bend-twist stable state with a negative bending angle and a negative twisting angle), T1+ (the bend-twist stable state with a negative bending angle and a positive twisting angle), B2 (the pure-bend stable state with a positive bending angle), T2+ (the bend-twist stable state with a positive bending angle and a negative twisting angle), T2− (the bend-twist stable state with a positive bending angle and a positive twisting angle), respectively. The real images of the multistable actuator in all six stable states are presented in Figure 1C. The actuator demonstrates the ability to rapidly transition among all six states with ease while maintaining stability without requiring any additional energy input (Movie S1, Supporting Information).

2.2. Stable States Transition

The reversible and rapid transition between stable configurations is controlled by the activation of the embedded SMA coils. Figure S4 (Supporting Information) depicts a multistable actuator in stable state B2, with four SMA coils named counterclockwise as SMA1, SMA2, SMA3, and SMA4, respectively. Through the precise control of activation timing for each SMA coil using individual transistors, diverse combinations of SMA activations can be achieved to realize transitions among the six stable states. As illustrated in Figure 1D, a transition diagram encompassing all possible transition routes has been proposed, exhibiting several transition capabilities. First, the multistable actuator is capable of transitioning from one pure-bend state to another pure-bend state with an opposite bending angle, e.g., from stable state B1 to B2 and B2 to B1. In addition, the multistable actuator is capable of transitioning between two bend-twist states that share the same twisting direction with opposite bending directions, for example, between stable states T1− and T1+. Moreover, transitions are achievable between a pure-bend state and a bend-twist state with opposite bending directions, such as between stable state T2− and T2+ or between stable state T1− and T1+. It should be noted that direct transitions between bend-twist states with different twisting directions, such as between stable state T2− and T2+ or between stable state T1− and T1+, are not possible in one transition (step) but it is viable in two steps as seen in the transition diagram (Figure 1D). The above transition is accomplished via a pure-bend state (B1 or B2) which acts as a stable intermediate state or layover between two bend-twist states with opposite twisting directions. For T2− to T2+, first the actuator transitions to pure-bend state (B1) and subsequently transitions from B1 to state T2− and vice-versa. Besides, the actuator is only able to transition back from a bend-twist state to a pure-bend state with the same bending direction, whereas the reverse transition is not directly feasible, e.g., feasible to directly transition from stable state to T2−...
Figure 1. The multistable actuator. A) The overall structure of the multistable actuator including two injection-molded frames with embedded SMA coils and a prestretched membrane. B) The schematic representation of the total elastic potential energy profile with six local minima, corresponding to six stable states: B1, T1⁻, T1⁺, B2, T2⁻, T2⁺, respectively. C) Real images of the multistable actuator with the prestretch ratio \( \lambda_p = 2 \) in all six stable states, (scale bar: 20 mm). D) The transition diagram of feasible transitions among all six stable states.

To realize the reverse state transition from stable state B1 to T1⁻, triggering the actuator to T2⁻ and then further triggering it to T1⁻ is necessary (Movie S1, Supporting Information, from 12 to 20 s).

Next, three major types of transitions are selected for detailed examination. First, as depicted in Figure 2A, the actuator can transition from one pure-bend stable state B1 to another pure-bend stable state B2. As the activation sequence of SMA coils demonstrated on the left, the transition is initiated by simultaneously activating SMA2 and SMA3 for a duration of 550 ms under a voltage of 15 V. This simultaneous activation ensures a symmetrical triggering of the actuator, which helps restrict potential torsional deformation and enables convergence to the desired pure-bend stable state. The schematic energy profile on the right illustrates the idealized trajectory of the actuator’s elastic potential energy during this transition. It is important to note that, due to the inherent fabrication errors in the manual manufacturing process, the actuator may not always exhibit perfect symmetry. This lack of strict symmetry could result in a slight deviation in the trajectory, represented by the translucent region surrounding the idealized trajectory.

Second, as a case of the transition from one pure-bend stable state to another bend-twist state with opposite bending directions, a transition from B2 to T1⁺ is presented in Figure 2B. The activation sequence shown on the left involves an initial activation of SMA2 for 60 ms, which temporarily stiffens the actuator asymmetrically and introduces a bias. Subsequently, SMA4 is activated for 180 ms, dragging the actuator in an asymmetric way. Above mentioned two asymmetric activations encourage torsional deformation, therefore the actuator flips to an opposite bending direction with its end twisted as well. Finally, SMA2 is activated for 60 ms again, preventing the actuator from overshooting to pure-bend states B1, and ultimately converging to target bend-twist state T1⁺. The idealized representation of the trajectory of the actuator’s elastic potential energy during this transition is illustrated on the right. In all of these cases, SMA activation is achieved by applying 15 V of voltage.

Lastly, as an example of transitions between bend-twist states with the same twisting directions, Figure 2C demonstrates the transition from the stable state T2⁻ to T1⁻. In this case, SMA1 is activated for 110 ms under a 15 V voltage, triggering the actuator to transition while maintaining the twisted configuration.
Figure 2. State transition of the multistable actuator. A) The activation sequence of SMA coils to transition from stable state B1 to B2 and corresponding transition route on schematic energy profile. B) The activation sequence for transitioning from stable state B2 to T1°. C) The activation sequence from stable state T2− to T1−. D) Video snapshots showing the actuator (prestretch ratio $\lambda_p = 2$) snapping rapidly at a frequency of 0.5 Hz (Scale bar: 20 mm).

The idealized representation of the actuator’s trajectory of elastic potential energy during this transition is depicted on the right.

In addition to the sequence and duration of SMA activation for various state transitions, we also examine the actuator cooldown time $t_c$ between transitions. It should be noted that the state transition between two pure-bend states B1 and B2 requires the longest activation time, therefore represents the most extreme case for heat dissipation within the three major types of transition. Therefore, we tested the maximum feasible frequency of state transition between B1 and B2. As shown in Figure 2D, the actuator can perform repeated cycles of actuation at a frequency of 0.5 Hz when transitioning between states B1 and B2, which corresponds to an activation time $t_a = 550$ ms and cooling time $t_c = 1450$ ms. Such a frequency is repeated for five cycles of transition as shown in Supporting Information, Movie S2 (Supporting Information). The corresponding plot of the sequence and duration for SMA activation and cooling at 0.5 Hz can be found in Figure S5 (Supporting Information). It should be noted that $t_a$ is primarily for heat-dissipation and therefore related to $\lambda_p$. With smaller $\lambda_p$, the energy barrier for snap-through is smaller, resulting in a shorter $t_a$. In this case, $t_c$ could also be shortened to achieve higher frequency.

2.3. Characterization of Stable States

To further investigate the actuator’s geometry, we parameterized the actuator’s configuration with two parameters: bending angle $\theta$ and twisting angle $\phi$. As illustrated in Figure 3A, the actuator is depicted as first bending along axis $Y_1$ with a bending angle $\theta$ and subsequently twisting along axis $Z_2$ with a twisting angle $\phi$. Since the length of the centerline of the frame is assumed to be a constant $L_f$, the bending radius $r$ can be estimated as $r = L_f/\theta$. Since the two ends of the frame are significantly stiffer than the bending portion, the width of the two ends of the frame can also be assumed as a constant $W_f$. Therefore, the relationship between the global position of the four edges of the frame and
two configuration parameters ($\theta, \phi$) is established. Detailed calculations could be found in Supporting Information.

Next, a motion capture system is employed to capture and record the actuator’s shape both in its stable configurations and during transitions. A detailed description of the motion capture system and marker distributions could be found in Figure S7 (Supporting Information). Actuators with prestretch ratios $\lambda_p$ varying from 1 to 2 are tested, with a step size of 0.25. For each specific prestretch ratio, three different actuators are examined and repeated three times on each stable state. As the overall result shown in Figure 3B, when $\lambda_p$ is relatively small (e.g., $\lambda_p \leq 1.25$), the elastic potential energy stored within the prestretched membrane is insufficient to establish stable states. When the prestretch ratio $\lambda_p$ increases to 1.5, the actuator starts to exhibit pure-bend stable states while the bend-twist states are not highly distinguishable from pure-bend states. Finally, when the prestretch ratio $\lambda_p$ is large enough (e.g., $\lambda_p \geq 1.75$), bend-twist stable states start to deviate from pure-bend states.
which suggests each stable state is more distinguishable and stable.

Furthermore, we study the case of pure-bend and bend-twist states individually. Figure 3C shows the absolute value of bending angle $\theta$ and twisting angle $\phi$ as a function of the prestretch ratio $\lambda_p$ of the elastic membrane for pure-bend stable states. It is observed that when $\lambda_p$ increases from 1 to 2, the bending angle $\theta$ increases from 0.13 to 2.14 rad, while the twisting angle $\phi$ remains small (0.02 rad $\leqslant \phi \leqslant 0.06$ rad), and the bending angle exhibits a significant increase between $\lambda_p = 1.25$ and $\lambda_p = 1.5$. On the other hand, as presented in Figure 3D, the bending angle of bend-twist states also shows a big increase when $\lambda_p$ reaches 1.5 and remains within a stable range with a relatively small increase rate. Additionally, the twisting angle $\phi$ of bend-twist states starts to continuously increase from 0.32 to 0.86 rad when $\lambda_p$ increases from 1.5 to 2.

Lastly, the changes in bending angle $\theta$ and twisting angle $\phi$ in successive transitions between stable configurations are recorded as well (Figure 3E). The actuator is initialized in stable state B1, with a bending angle $\theta = -2.16$ rad and a twisting angle $\phi = -0.02$ rad. At $t \approx 7$ s, SMA2 and SMA3 are activated for 550 ms, triggering the actuator to transition to another pure-bend stable state B2, with a bending angle $\theta = 2.05$ rad and a twisting angle $\phi = 0.08$ rad. Subsequently, at $t \approx 27$ s, SMA2, SMA4, and SMA2 are activated sequentially for 60, 180, and 60 ms, respectively, to trigger the actuator deforming to bend-twist stable state $T_1^+$, with a bending angle $\theta = -1.21$ rad and a twisting angle $\phi = 1.19$ rad. Next, at $t \approx 47$ s, SMA2 is activated for 110 ms, enabling the actuator to transition to another bend-twist stable state $T_2^+$ with a bending angle $\theta = 1.23$ rad and a twisting angle $\phi = 1.14$ rad. Ultimately, SMA1 and SMA4 are activated simultaneously for 300 ms so that the actuator reconfigures to the initial pure-bend stable state B1 with a bending angle $\theta = -2.07$ rad and a twisting angle $\phi = 0.11$ rad. The corresponding images of each stable state and the entire transition are shown in Figure 3F and Movie S3 (Supporting Information). All the above-mentioned SMA activation is performed with 15 V of applied voltage. This successive transition also provides support to the trajectory illustrated in our idealized representation of the elastic potential energy profile. When the actuator transitions from one pure-bend state (i.e., B1) to the opposite pure-bend stable state (i.e., B2) the twisting angle remains almost 0 and the change of the bending angle is dominant (Figure 2A). Additionally, when the actuator is triggered from one pure-bend state (i.e., B2) to a bend-twist with an opposite bending direction (i.e., $T_1^-$), the bending and twisting angle both change dramatically (Figure 2B). Finally, when the actuator switches from one bend-twist state (i.e., $T_1^-$) to the opposite bend-twist state with the same twisting direction (i.e., $T_2^+$), the twisting angle remains stable while the bending angle flips (Figure 2C).

### 2.4. Demonstrations

In order to broaden our understanding of the potential applications of the multistable actuator, three different cases are presented here. The first employs a multistable actuator with a miniature camera mounted on its tip to perform visual inspections in a confined space. The second is a demonstration of heliotropism-inspired energy harvesting in which a light source triggers the actuator to transition from a stable state to a moving position.

#### 2.4.1. Visual Inspection with a Tip-Mounted Camera

The multistable actuator is inserted in a box through a narrow opening on its bottom wall, and a miniature camera is mounted on the tip of the actuator to enable visual inspection within the box. Figure 4A presents photographs of the actuator within the confined space. To orient the camera toward each of the stickers, the actuator is triggered to transition between different stable states. Initially, as illustrated in Figure 4D, the actuator stays in state B1, and the miniature camera on the tip captures the first letter “S” as indicated by the dashed circle. Then, SMA2 and SMA3 are activated simultaneously for 550 ms to trigger the actuator to transition from stable state B1 to B2, where the view of the miniature camera is captured (Figure 4E). Next, a sequential activation process is employed to guide the actuator through a specific trajectory. We first activate SMA2 for 60 ms to introduce a temporary stiffness bias to the structure, and then activate SMA4 for 180 ms to let the actuator overcome the energy barrier between the stable states. Subsequently, SMA2 is activated for 60 ms again to ensure convergence to the stable state $T_1^+$, where the miniature camera captures the second letter, “M”. As a result of successfully exploring the confined space, the captured letters and numbers can be arranged to form the string “SMIL2023”. It is important to note that, apart from its exploration capabilities within the confined space, the actuator can also maintain a specific stable state for the purpose of continuously monitoring multiple locations without requiring additional energy input, owing to its inherent stability.

#### 2.4.2. Heliotropism-Inspired Energy Harvesting

Heliotropism pertains to a phototropic response observed in certain plant species, notably the sunflower (Helianthus annuus).[48] It involves the dynamic movement of the plant stem, specifically the reorientation of their shoot apices, in accordance with the sun’s apparent movement across the sky throughout the day. At dawn, these plants orient their shoot apices towards the east, and by dusk, they adjust their orientation to face west. This rhythmic adjustment allows these plants to optimize their photosynthesis efficiency, thereby maximizing their energy acquisition from solar radiation.[48]

Taking inspiration from heliotropism, we have employed a multistable actuator as a means to enhance the energy harvesting
Figure 4. Visual inspection in a confined space using a multistable actuator with a tip-mounted camera. A) Schematic of the working environment within the confined space. B) A multistable actuator for confined space visual exploration with a miniature camera mounted on its tip (scale bar: 40 mm). C) A zoomed-in image of the multistable actuator (scale bar: 20 mm). D) The multistable actuator in stable state B1, with the miniature camera capturing the letter “S”. E) The multistable actuator in stable state B2 where the miniature camera captures the letter “M”. F) The multistable actuator in stable state T1 with the camera’s view showing the letter “L”. G) The multistable actuator remains in stable state T2, enabling the miniature camera to record the number “2023”, forming an entire string of “SML2023”.

output of a photovoltaic cell (Figure 5A; Movie S5, Supporting Information). Our experimental setup involves mounting a miniature photovoltaic cell to the tip of the actuator, allowing it to capture energy emitted by an LED (Figure 5B; Figure S13, Supporting Information). To simulate the sun’s movement, the LED is attached to an acrylic limb driven by a servo motor, causing it to move in a circular path with a cycle time of $t = 90$ s. The photovoltaic cell is serially connected to a resistor as a power supply and the voltage applied to the resistor is measured to calculate the output power and calculation can be found in Supporting Information. Initially, the output power of the photovoltaic cell with a single stable state has been examined. When the actuator remains in stable state B1, the energy output is initially close to zero, but it begins to increase after $t > 70$ s, reaching its peak at $t \approx 90$ s when the LED is positioned at a similar altitude to the photovoltaic cell (Figure 5C). In the case of state T1 (see Figure 5D), the energy output reaches its maximum around $t \approx 75$ s, which is much earlier than stable state B1, corresponding to an LED altitude angle of approximately 45°. Similarly, as illustrated in Figure 5E, the energy output reaches its maximum at $t \approx 15$ s when the actuator maintains steady in stable state T2. Finally, for stable states B2 (illustrated in Figure 5F), initially the energy output is large but then subsequently decreases when the LED rises and ultimately converges to 0 at $t \approx 30$ s. Consequently, in the presence of a single stable state, where the actuator maintains a static configuration, the photovoltaic cell can only achieve high output power within a limited range of altitude angles. The average output power at each single stable state are $2.74 \times 10^{-7}$ W (B1), $8.20 \times 10^{-7}$ W (T1), $4.83 \times 10^{-7}$ W (T2), and $6.58 \times 10^{-7}$ W (B2), respectively.

Next, in order to enhance the output power for the entire duration of the light source’s motion, the multistable actuator is activated to transition between its various stable configurations (Figure 5G). As the LED starts to rise, the actuator is initialized in stable state B2, resulting in high energy output at the beginning of the LED cycle. When the output power starts to drop, a transition to stable state T2 is necessary. However, it should be noted that the direct transition from B2 to T2 is not feasible according to our previously proposed transition diagram (see Figure 1D), as the energy required for such state transition is
below the minimum activation energy for phase changing of SMA coils. Hence, in the experiment, we first activate SMA4 for 60 ms for temporary asymmetric stiffening, and then activate SMA2 for 180 ms for overcoming the energy barrier, and subsequently activate SMA4 again for 60 ms for convergence to stable state $T_1^+$. Ultimately, after cooling for $t_c = 2000$ ms, SMA4 is activated for 110 ms to enable a transition from stable state $T_1^+$ to $T_2^+$. This sequence of transitions enables the output power of the photovoltaic cell to remain high in the new stable state $T_2^+$. When the LED reaches the midpoint of its trajectory at $t \approx 45$ s, where the stable state $T_2^+$ exhibits low power output, it is triggered to transition to $T_1^+$ via activating SMA2 for 110 ms, resulting in a gradual increase in power output. Finally, as the LED’s altitude becomes significantly lower and the power output of the photovoltaic cell drops again, the actuator transitions from state $T_1^+$ to $B_1$, where the power output increases again and remains high until the LED reaches the end of its trajectory. The average output power of the photovoltaic cell when utilizing multiple stable states is $2.24 \times 10^{-6}$ W. Compared to the cases with single stable states, the output power is improved by 637.4% w.r.t. state $B_1$, 207.5% w.r.t. state $T_1^+$, 146.6% w.r.t. state $T_2^+$, and 318.6% w.r.t. state $B_2$, respectively.

Hence, by utilizing multiple stable states sequentially ($B_2$, $T_2^+$, $T_1^+$, $B_1$, respectively), the photovoltaic cell maintains a consistently high output power as we move the LED to mimic the sun’s trajectory throughout the day. The resulting plot in Figure 5G ii exhibits four distinct peaks, each corresponding to the peak output power of the respective stable configurations. Notably,
the actuator’s transition time is in the range of hundreds of milliseconds, significantly shorter than the timescale of a day. Since the actuator can maintain stability within a stable state without requiring additional power input, it offers an energy-efficient approach to enhance the power output from the photovoltaic cell.

2.4.3. Dexterously-Turning Crawler

The multistable actuator is also capable of achieving agile multimodal locomotion on its own without reliance on additional actuators. To demonstrate this, we have implemented the actuator as a dexterous crawler capable of both crawling and turning. As depicted in Figure 6A,B the crawler consists of a single actuator with two pairs of laser-cut footpads attached to its front and rear feet ends. These feet are designed with arrays of tilted teeth, which impart directionally asymmetric friction, enabling movement in one direction. As the crawling gait in Figure 6C shows, the crawler is initially in stable state B1. To initiate crawling, both SMA2 and SMA3 are simultaneously activated for $t_a = 300$ ms. Due to the gravitational force and the friction, such activation doesn’t flip the actuator to an opposite stable state and instead, the actuator becomes temporarily flattened. As a result, the actuator’s curvature decreases, causing an increase in the distance between the feet. Owing to the directionally asymmetric friction on the feet, the tip of the actuator moves forward while the end remains fixed. After a cooling period of $t_c = 3000$ ms, SMA1 and SMA4 are simultaneously activated for $t_a = 300$ ms, causing the actuator to return to its initial pure-bend state B1. In this case, the tip of the actuator remains fixed while the end moves forward, facilitating crawling. All the above-mentioned activation steps are performed with an applied voltage of under a voltage of 15 V. The
average length of each stride is measured as $\delta = 25.6 \pm 3.6$ mm. The corresponding detailed plot of the sequence and duration for SMA activation and cooling is presented in Figure S14 (Supporting Information).

In order to execute turning motions, the crawler utilizes bend-twist states. To generate a right turn (Figure 6D), SMA3 is activated for $t_c = 250$ ms, inducing the actuator to transition into a bend-twist state T2, followed by a cool-down time of $t_c^\text{down} = 1500$ ms. Subsequently, SMA1 is activated for $t_c = 250$ ms, with a cool down time of $t_c^\text{down} = 3000$ ms, driving the actuator toward the opposite bend-twist state T1. By utilizing two bend-twist states with negative twisting angles, a rotational force is generated, enabling the crawler to turn right. Finally, the actuator returns to the pure-bend state B1 by activating SMA1 and SMA4 for $t_c = 250$ ms. In Figure 6D, the upper row illustrates the top view during the turn while the lower row shows the side view. Similarly, a left turn is achieved by employing the state-transition sequence of B1, T2, T1, and B1 (Figure 6E). All of the above-mentioned SMA activations are performed with 15 V of applied voltage. The average turning angle is measured as $\alpha = 0.77 \pm 0.17$ rad. The detailed descriptions of the SMA activation and cooling for gait control are shown in Figures S15 and S16 (Supporting Information). The corresponding snapshots of turning right and left are shown in Figure 6F, G, respectively.

Finally, we demonstrate the crawler’s ability to move along a z-shaped zig-zag pathway (Figure 6H, and Movie S6, Supporting Information). The crawler accomplishes this trajectory through a combination of crawling and turning gaits. In this way, we show agile multimodal locomotion using only a single actuator unit.

3. Discussion and Conclusion

This paper reports a soft actuator that is intrinsically multistable and capable of rapid transition between various configurations through the electrical activation of embedded nitinol coils. The actuator is composed of two elastomeric frames that are embedded with a pair of nitinol SMA coils and are bonded to opposite sides of a prestretched elastic membrane. The actuator has six stable states: two pure-bend states, named B1 and B2, and four bend-twist states, named T1+, T2+, T1−, and T2−. Thanks to the combination of mixed-mode snap-through and SMA activation, the actuator is capable of rapid transitions among all six stable states at speeds on the order of hundreds of milliseconds. Among the various possible transitions, three representative types are highlighted in this work: i) transitions from a pure-bend stable state to an opposing pure-bend stable state; ii) transitions from a pure-bend stable state to a bend-twist state that manifests an opposite bending direction; iii) transitions from one bend-twist state to another bend-twist state, maintaining the same twist direction while modifying the bending direction to the opposite. By employing this control strategy, the system can effectively navigate between different stable states, enabling versatile and agile behavior. Furthermore, the actuator’s geometry is parameterized, allowing for the measurement of documentation of bending and twisting angles in all stable states across different prestretch ratios. This parameterization facilitates the characterization of transitional behavior as well. A series of practical implementations are presented to demonstrate the potential applications of the multistable actuator. These applications encompass the visual inspection of confined spaces, the enhancement of energy harvesting based on principles of heliotropism, and a multimodal crawler with agile locomotion capabilities. By utilizing a single unit of this multistate actuator, rapid transitions and morphing can be achieved without the need for complex designs with multiple bistable actuator units.

While promising, there are still areas in which the multistable actuator can be better understood or improved. First, the size of our multistable actuator is limited to the centimeter scale due to constraints imposed by the use of nitinol coils. In principle, it is possible to use other actuator technologies or to create a structure that is fully passive, as has been demonstrated in Movie S7 (Supporting Information) in which SMA is replaced with 3D-printed elastic rods (Flexible 80A, Formlabs Inc.). The key element in our approach lies in providing multiple routes (bending and twisting) for the system to fulfill the “desire” of the prestretched membrane to contract. Consequently, the dimensions and geometry of the actuator can be freely scaled, while the actuation method should be modified correspondingly. For example, the actuator could potentially be reduced to the millimeter scale and triggered by the magnetic field.

Moreover, the elastic potential energy profile shown in Figure 1B is just a schematic representation, while the theoretical computation of the potential energy still remains unsolved. While an analytic model has been proposed in Supporting Information, it is currently limited to predicting two pure-bend stable states. This limitation arises from two key factors: i) The deformation of the frame in bend-twist modes exhibits considerable complexity, surpassing the capabilities of our current analytic model in accurately assuming its geometry; ii) The prestretched membrane has a tendency to contract not only along its length but also its width when the structure bends, which causes the center of the frames to twist inwards—a deformation that is not currently accounted for in the analytic model. To overcome these limitations, a more complete computational simulation that accounts for the entirety of the nonlinear 3D deformation of the frames, coils, and membrane is required. One possible future research direction is using finite element analysis (FEA) to simulate the multistable actuator. However, to carefully and precisely simulate this complex structure, there are a few points of challenge to overcome. First, the frame consists of multiple materials (silicone elastomer and SMA coils) and has a complicated shape (channel for SMA coils and wavy surface pattern). This characteristic will result in discontinuity and nonlinearity of the system and would be computationally expensive to simulate. In addition, the mixed-mode snap-through involves both twisting and bending deformation, thus the correct convergence of the stable states is challenging. Moreover, the relaxation of the prestretched elastic membrane might also require consideration.

Additionally, the merit of bistable and multistable actuators lies in their ability to undergo rapid transitions between stable states while maintaining stability without the need for additional energy input for control. However, the presence of external forces can impact the stable states, and in extreme occasions, the stable states can be lost. This could impede precise manipulation and interaction in practical applications. One potential solution is to employ a hybrid approach that incorporates snap-through instability for large-scale transitions, while locally controlling the system around the desired stable states when external forces are...
involved. Another possible approach is utilizing stiffness-tuning and latching mechanisms to lock and secure the stable state. By adjusting the stiffness of specific components to latch the actuator in a particular stable configuration, the actuator’s stability and response to external forces could be improved.

4. Experimental Section

Actuator Fabrication: The multistable actuator was fabricated through three major steps: i) Fabricating the elastomeric frame by injection molding; ii) fabricating the elastic membrane by utilizing a thin-film applicator; iii) Prestretching the membrane on a stretcher and carefully aligning and attaching frames to both sides of the membrane. A detailed description of the entire fabrication process can be found in Figures S1–S3 (Supporting Information).

Stable States Characterization: The stable states of the multistable actuator with different prestretch ratios were characterized based on a motion capture system (Motive, OptiTrack). Eight markers were attached to the platform of the actuator to establish global coordinates and local information. A detailed explanation of the mocap system and distribution of markers are included in Figure S7 (Supporting Information).

Confined-Space Exploration: A miniature camera (SMP Camera Module, Qiniorgo) was mounted to the tip of the actuator (Figure 4B) via dual lock tapes (Scott Self-Mating Reclosable Fastener, REBUILD SKILLS). The view of the miniature camera was transferred to a laptop via a USB cable.

Heliotropism-Inspired Energy Harvesting: A miniature photovoltaic cell (IXO2B5-14X1F-TB, ANYSOLAR Ltd.) was mounted on the tip of the actuator (Figure 5B) for energy harvesting via dual lock tapes (Scott Self-Mating Reclosable Fastener, REBUILD SKILLS). The full description of the experimental setup is provided in Figure S11 (Supporting Information).

Dexterously-Turning Crawler: The directionally asymmetric friction feet were fabricated using stainless steel shim (thickness 55 μm) adopting a UV laser cutter (LPKF ProtoLaser U3 laser system). After laser cutting the 2D pattern, the triangular teeth on the feet were manually curved by tweezers, then attached to the multistable actuator using super glue. A detailed explanation of the gain control for crawling forward and turning directions was provided in Figure S12–S14 (Supporting Information).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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Intrinsically Multistable Soft Actuator Driven by Mixed-Mode Snap-Through Instabilities
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Frame fabrication

The frame of the multistable actuator is fabricated via injection molding (Fig. S1). The molds for injection molding include four 3D printed parts as shown in Fig. S1A (Form 3+, Formlabs Inc.). Six nuts (18-8 Stainless Steel Flange Nut, 93033A107, McMaster-Carr) are installed into mold part 1 for alignment. Two metallic rods (Hardened Oversized High-Speed M2 Tool Steel Rod, 3023A338, McMaster-Carr, cut into a length of 90 mm) are inserted into the holes on Part 3 and 4 to create tunnels for inserting SMA coils after the injection molding process. After assembling the parts and the rods, six screws (18-8 Stainless Steel Socket Head Screw, 91292A029, McMaster-Carr) are adopted for further aligning and tightening molds (Fig. S1B). It is recommended to use C-clamps to clamp the molds as well to avoid possible deformation in the oven. Next, Part A and Part B of silicone elastomer (Dragon-skin 30, Smooth-on, Inc.) are filled into two syringes connected with a mixing nozzle at the end. Subsequently, air pressure (90 kPa) will be applied on the piston of each syringe to conduct injection molding, forcing two parts of silicone elastomer to mix thoroughly in the mixing nozzle and then injected into the mold and the excessive materials will flow out from the ejection pins on the sides of the frame (Fig. S1C). Degassing Part A and Part B within their syringe before injection would significantly improve the quality of the injection. Then, a plug is inserted into the injection hole to prevent the silicone elastomer from back-flowing due to the temperature rise after putting the molds into the oven (Fig. S1D). The mold and the injected elastomer are cured in the oven under 70 °C for 6 hours (Fig. S1E). After fully curing, the frame is brought out from the mold for further assembly (Fig. S1F).

---

Figure S1: Frame fabrication process. (A) Four parts of the mold. (B) Screwing the assembled mold for alignment. (C) Injection molding. (D) Inserting the plug into the injection hole. (E) Oven curing. (F) Finishing fabrication of the frame.
Membrane fabrication

The fabrication process for the elastic membrane is illustrated in Fig. S2. First, the same weight amount of Part A and Part B of silicone elastomer (Dragon-skin 30, Smooth-on, Inc.) are fixed together and shear mixed in a planetary mixer (AR-100, Thinky Corporation) for 30 s and then degassed for 10 min (Fig. S2A). Then, after the elastomer is poured onto the surface of a smooth aluminum sheet (Fig. S2B), a thin-film applicator is employed to form a thin layer of elastomer (Fig. S2C). Then the thin layer of membrane is cured in the oven under 70 °C for 3 hours. After fully curing, a CO₂ laser machine (30 W VLS 3.50, Universal Laser Systems) is utilized to cut the membrane into a rectangular shape (length 190 mm, width 120 mm) for further membrane stretching and actuator assembly (Fig. S2D).

Figure S2: Membrane fabrication process. (A) Mixing Part A and Part B of silicone elastomer. (B) Pouring the elastomer onto an aluminum sheet and (C) adopting the thin film applicator to fabricate a thin layer of elastomer. (D) Cutting the membrane into the desired size using CO₂ laser for further prestretch process.
Actuator assembly

After fabricating the frame, SMA coils and wires are inserted into the tunnels of the frame (Fig. S3A). It should be noted that the SMA coils inserted are 4 times prestretched from the initial contracted form. Then, two ends of the frame are sealed using silicone elastomer (Dragon-skin 10 NV, Smooth-on, Inc.). Subsequently, the membrane is fixed on a linear stretcher to prestretch to target prestretch ratio $\lambda_p$, and two frames are attached to the top and bottom surfaces of the prestretched membrane (Fig. S3B). The attaching of the frames can be divided into three major steps: i) The bottom frame is put on a jack platform with a layer of uncured elastomer (Dragon-skin 10 NV, Smooth-on, Inc.) coated to its top surface as the adhesion layer; ii) The jack platform is raised up and the top surface of the bottom frame contacts the bottom of the prestretched membrane. iii) Similarly, the top frame is aligned and positioned on the top surface of the prestretched membrane with a coated adhesion layer on the bottom of the frame. Next, after the adhesion layers are cured and the frames are bonded firmly, the membrane is carefully released from the stretcher and its excessive part will be cut off (Fig. S3C), which finally forms the multistable actuator (Fig. S3D).

Figure S3: Actuator assembly process. (A) Inserting SMA coils into the frame and sealing the two ends of the frame. (B) Sandwiching a prestretched membrane with two frames on a stretcher. (C) Cutting the excessive part of the membrane. (D) Finishing fabrication of the actuator.
Multistable actuator control and SMA nomenclature

We label the SMA coils in the counterclockwise. When the actuator is in state B1, SMA 1 and SMA 4 will be on the side where the actuator is bending towards, and in state B2, SMA 2 and SMA 3 will be on the side where the actuator is bending towards. Fig. S4 illustrates a multistable actuator in stable state B2, with four SMA coils named counterclockwise as SMA1, SMA2, SMA3, and SMA4, respectively. Through the precise control of activation timing for each SMA coil using individual transistors, diverse combinations of SMA activations can be achieved to realize transitions among the six stable states.

Figure S4: Schematic of the multistable actuator with controls and nomenclature of the distribution and naming of SMA coils.
Figure S5: The sequence and duration of SMA activation and cooling at 0.5 Hz.
Actuator overall geometry

As shown in Fig. S6, the actuator’s overall geometry is fully defined with two parameters: bending angle $\theta$ and twisting angle $\phi$. We have the length of the frame’s centerline as well as the width of the frame as two constants: $L_f$, $W_f$. Using coordinate $O_1 - X_1Y_1Z_1$ as the global coordinate and $O_2 - X_2Y_2Z_2$ as the local coordinate for the tip of the actuator, we have the relationship of the orientation between these two coordinates as following:

$$R_{O_1O_2} = R_y(\theta) \cdot R_z(\phi)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 
\cos(\theta)\cos(\phi) & -\cos(\theta)\sin(\phi) & \sin(\theta) \\
\sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) & 0 \\
-\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi) & \sin(\theta)\sin(\phi) & \cos(\theta) 
\end{bmatrix} .$$

(1)

The relationship between the bending radius and the bending angle $\theta$ is:

$$r = \frac{L_f}{\theta} ,$$

(2)

where we can further derive the global position of $O_2$:

$$O_2 = \begin{bmatrix} r(1 - \cos(\theta)) & 0 & r\sin(\theta) \end{bmatrix}^T .$$

(3)

Hence, the 4×4 homogeneous transformation matrix between coordinate $O_1 - X_1Y_1Z_1$ and $O_2 - X_2Y_2Z_2$ can be written as:

$$g_{O_1O_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & R_{O_1O_2} & O_2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} .$$

(4)

The global position of the bottom two edges of the frame in homogeneous form can be directly written as:

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{W_f}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T ,$$

(5)

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{W_f}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T .$$

(6)

while the local position (in coordinate $O_2 - X_2Y_2Z_2$) of the top two edges of the frame in the homogeneous form are:

$$P_{3O_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{W_f}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T ,$$

(7)
\[
P_{4o_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{w_r}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T.
\] (8)

Therefore, we have their global positions as:

\[
P_3 = g_{o_1 o_2} \cdot P_{3o_2},
\] (9)

\[
P_4 = g_{o_1 o_2} \cdot P_{4o_2}.
\] (10)

The above-mentioned equations provide us with a full description of the overall parameterized geometry of the actuator. While further description of the detailed geometry could be found in Section .

Motion capture process

The purpose of the mocap system (Motive, OptiTrack) is to use captured positions of the markers to estimate the closest configuration parameter \([\theta, \phi]\). As depicted in Fig. S7, in total eight markers (6.4mm M3 Markers, MKR064M3-10, OptiTrack) are adopted, five installed on the actuator and three installed on the platform. Since the actuator is highly dynamic, it is important to form rigid bodies locally so that even when the system lost the information of one of the markers, it can still generate it based on the other markers within the local rigid body. Hence, three platform markers together with the bottom two actuator markers form rigid body No.1 while the top three markers on the tip of the actuator form rigid body No.2.

Rigid body No.1 is steady during the transition. R1M1, R1M2, and R1M3 establish the normal of the platform:

\[
v_3 = v_1 \times v_2,
\] (11)

which further forms the \(Z_1\) axis of coordinate \(O_1 - X_1 Y_1 Z_1\). Next, the vector pointing from R1M4 to R1M5 forms the \(Y_1\) axis of coordinate \(O_1 - X_1 Y_1 Z_1\). Thereby, the global coordinate \(O_1 - X_1 Y_1 Z_1\) has been fully established.
Subsequently, the three markers in rigid body No.2, R2M1, R2M2, and R2M3, are converted into the form expressed under the global coordinate \( O_1 - X_1 Y_1 Z_1 \), and then fitting the closest configuration parameter \([\theta, \phi]\) using Fminsearch in MATLAB. Consequently, we are able to convert the highly dynamic mocap information into actuator configuration information.

We also present the angular data collected via the mocap system during the experiment. As illustrated in Fig. S8, the actuator repeats the transition between stable states B1 and B2 back and forth 14 times (i.e. 7 cycles).

Figure S8: Angular data of repeating transition between two bending stable states
Based on our previous estimation of the geometry (Fig. S9A), we can further estimate a uniform bending and twisting on the virtual centerline between \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \). Hence, we can divide the frame into several sections uniformly. For each section, Absolute Nodal Coordinate Formulation (ANCF) is adopted to calculate the elastic potential energy \([1, 2, 3, 4, 5]\). The reason for dividing the actuator into sections is that when the curvature is relatively large, the ANCF method might deviate from the actual geometry, which results in errors. In practice, we divide the frame and the membrane into 10 sections.

As for each section, it is considered to be a left frame and a right frame with a membrane in the middle. The membrane is further divided into the left parts and the right parts.

For the frame part, we adopt beam equations to describe its geometry:

\[
\mathbf{P}_{\text{frame}}(X, Y, Z)_{3 \times 1} = \mathbf{S}_{\text{frame}}(X, Y, Z)_{3 \times 24} \cdot \mathbf{q}^e_{24 \times 1},
\]

where \( \mathbf{S}_{\text{frame}} \) is the shape matrix and \( \mathbf{q}^e \) is the boundary condition of this frame section:

\[
\mathbf{S}_{\text{frame}}(X, Y, Z)_{3 \times 24} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \mathbf{I} & s_2 \mathbf{I} & s_3 \mathbf{I} & s_4 \mathbf{I} & s_5 \mathbf{I} & s_6 \mathbf{I} & s_7 \mathbf{I} & s_8 \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbf{q}^e_{24 \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T & \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial X_0}^T & \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial Y_0}^T & \frac{\partial P_0}{\partial Z_0}^T & \mathbf{P}_L^T & \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_L}{\partial X_L}^T & \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_L}{\partial Y_L}^T & \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_L}{\partial Z_L}^T \end{bmatrix}^T.
\]
The parameters in the shape matrix are calculated as the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_1 &= 1 - \frac{3X^2}{l_f^2} + \frac{2X^3}{l_f^3}, \quad (15) \\
  s_2 &= X - \frac{2X^2}{l_f} + \frac{X^3}{l_f^2}, \quad (16) \\
  s_3 &= Y - \frac{XY}{l_f}, \quad (17) \\
  s_4 &= Z - \frac{XZ}{l_f}, \quad (18) \\
  s_5 &= \frac{3X^2}{l_f^2} - \frac{2X^3}{l_f^3}, \quad (19) \\
  s_6 &= -\frac{X^2}{l_f} + \frac{X^3}{l_f^2}, \quad (20) \\
  s_7 &= \frac{XY}{l_f}, \quad (21) \\
  s_8 &= \frac{XZ}{l_f}, \quad (22) \\
  s_8 &= \frac{XZ}{l_f}. \quad (23)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(l_f\) is the length of this frame section. Next, we calculate the curvature of the frame’s centerline as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  p_y(X) &= \frac{\partial P(X,Y,Z)}{\partial Y} \bigg|_{Y,Z=0} \\
  p_z(X) &= \frac{\partial P(X,Y,Z)}{\partial Z} \bigg|_{Y,Z=0} \\
  R(X) &= \begin{bmatrix} p_y \\ p_z \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} p_y \\ p_z \end{bmatrix}\quad (24) \\
  \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & -\kappa_y(X) & \kappa_z(X) \\
  \kappa_y(X) & 0 & -\kappa_t(X) \\
  -\kappa_z(X) & \kappa_t(X) & 0
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} p_y \\ p_z \end{bmatrix} & = R(X)^T \frac{\partial R(X)}{\partial X} \quad (25) \\
  \end{align*}
\]

The frame’s two-way bending stiffness and the torsional stiffness are denoted as \(D_y, D_z, \text{ and } D_t\). Hence, the elastic potential energy of one side of the frame is derived as:

\[
U_{\text{frame}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_f} (\kappa_y D_y \kappa_y + \kappa_z D_z \kappa_z + \kappa_t D_t \kappa_t) dX. \quad (26)
\]

By summing up the energy of the left and right parts, we have the total potential energy of the entire frame.

For the membrane part, since one side of the membrane is attached to the frame, we can assume it shares the same boundary conditions as the frame on this side. On the other side, which is the midline of the membrane, we introduce an optimizable parameter \(\kappa_{\text{mid}}\) to describe the geometry. Denote the bending curvature of the virtual midline of the frame as \(\kappa_{\text{max}}\). It is obvious that \(0 \leq \kappa_{\text{mid}} \leq \kappa_{\text{max}}\).

By giving this \(\kappa_{\text{mid}}\), we can assume the entire shape of the midline of the membrane using the same ANCF beam equation. Then, for each section of the membrane, we have the boundary condition of its four edges and further apply the ANCF membrane equation to it:

\[
\begin{align*}
  P_{\text{membrane}}(X,Y)_{3 \times 1} &= S_{\text{membrane}}(X,Y)_{3 \times 36} \cdot q_{36 \times 1}. \quad (27) \\
  S_{\text{membrane}}(X,Y)_{3 \times 36} &= \begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 & s_2 & s_3 & s_4 & s_5 & s_6 \\
  s_7 & s_8 & s_9 & s_{10} & s_{11} & s_{12}
\end{bmatrix} \quad (28) \\
  q_{6 \times 1} &= \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial X_{i,j}} \\
  \frac{\partial P_{ij}}{\partial Y_{i,j}}
\end{bmatrix}^T. \quad (29)
\end{align*}
\]
\[ q_0 = \begin{bmatrix} q_{i,j}^T & q_{i,j+1}^T & q_{i+1,j+1}^T & q_{i+1,j}^T \end{bmatrix}^T \] (32)

\( q_{i,j} \) is the boundary condition derived from the beam equation of the frame. It should be noted that in order to consider the prestretch, a constant \( \lambda_p \) should be multiplied on the derivative on the \( X \) axis of the membrane.

As for the parameters within the shape matrix of the membrane, we have:

\[
s_1 = \frac{2Y^3}{W^3} - \frac{3X^2}{L^2} + \frac{2X^3}{L^3} + \frac{3XY^2}{LW^2} - \frac{3X^2Y}{L^2W} - \frac{2XY^3}{LW^3} - \frac{2X^3Y}{L^2W} - \frac{XY}{LW} + 1
\] (33)

\[
s_2 = X - \frac{2X^2}{L} + \frac{X^3}{L^2} - \frac{XY}{W} + \frac{2XY^2}{LW^2} - \frac{X^3Y}{L^2W}
\] (34)

\[
s_3 = Y - \frac{2Y^2}{W} + \frac{Y^3}{W^2} - \frac{XY}{L} + \frac{2XY^2}{LW^2} - \frac{XY^3}{LW^2}
\] (35)

\[
s_4 = \frac{3X^2}{L^2} - \frac{2X^3}{L^3} - \frac{3X^2}{L^2W} - \frac{3X^2Y}{L^2W} - \frac{2XY^3}{LW^3} + \frac{2X^3Y}{L^2W} + \frac{XY}{LW}
\] (36)

\[
s_5 = \frac{X^3}{L^2} - \frac{X^2}{L} + \frac{X^2Y}{LW} - \frac{X^3Y}{L^2W}
\] (37)

\[
s_6 = \frac{XY}{L} - \frac{2XY^2}{LW^2} + \frac{XY^3}{LW^2}
\] (38)

\[
s_7 = \frac{3XY^2}{L^2W^2} + \frac{3X^2Y}{L^2W} - \frac{2XY^3}{LW^3} - \frac{2X^3Y}{L^2W} + \frac{XY}{LW}
\] (39)

\[
s_8 = \frac{X^3Y}{L^2W} - \frac{X^2Y}{LW}
\] (40)

\[
s_9 = \frac{XY^3}{LW^2} - \frac{XY^2}{LW}
\] (41)

\[
s_{10} = \frac{3Y^2}{W^2} - \frac{2Y^3}{W^3} - \frac{3XY^2}{L^2W^2} - \frac{3X^2Y}{L^2W} + \frac{2XY^3}{LW^3} + \frac{2X^3Y}{L^2W} + \frac{XY}{LW}
\] (42)

\[
s_{11} = \frac{XY}{W} - \frac{2XY^2}{LW^2} + \frac{X^3Y}{L^2W}
\] (43)

\[
s_{12} = \frac{Y^3}{W^2} - \frac{Y^2}{W} + \frac{XY^2}{LW} - \frac{XY^3}{LW^2}
\] (44)

By taking the derivative in respect of \( X \) and \( Y \), we have:

\[
r_X = \frac{\partial P_{\text{membrane}}(X,Y)}{\partial X}
\] (45)

\[
r_Y = \frac{\partial P_{\text{membrane}}(X,Y)}{\partial Y}
\] (46)

Since we assume the elastomer to be incompressible, we have:

\[
I_1 = r_Y^2 \cdot r_X + r_Y^2 \cdot r_Y + \frac{1}{(r_X^2 \cdot r_X) \cdot (r_Y^2 \cdot r_Y)}
\] (47)

And further, the strain energy density using Yeoh hyperelastic model\(^{[6]}\) is obtained as:

\[ W_{\text{Yeoh}} = c_1 \cdot (I_1 - 3) + c_2 \cdot (I_1 - 3)^2 + c_3 \cdot (I_1 - 3)^3. \] (48)

Subsequently, the total elastic potential energy of one side of the membrane is derived as:

\[
U_{\text{membrane}} = H \cdot \int_0^W \int_0^L W_{\text{Yeoh}} dX dY,
\] (49)

where \( L, W, \) and \( H \) are the initial length, width, and thickness of the elastic membrane.
The elastic coefficients needed to establish the hyperelastic (constitutive) behavior are obtained by conducting an experimental tensile test (Instron 5969, Universal Testing Machine equipped with 50 N load cell) on the material. The sample is laser-cut into the shape of ASTM D412 Type Die-C dogbone coupons and the longest dimension is placed in the tensile direction. The strain rate for this test is 10 mm/min. Based on the recorded stretch-stress data, curve-fitting is adopted to get hyperelastic model parameters. The result is shown in Fig. S10. The fitted parameters are: $C_1 = 82752.5936$ Pa, $C_2 = 10215.42628$ Pa, $C_3 = -438.9334941$ Pa. The bending stiffness and the torsional stiffness of the frame are tested by hanging weights to the tip of the frame. The results are: $D_y = 2.102457 \times 10^{-4}$ N·m², $D_z = 1.13775 \times 10^{-4}$ N·m², and $D_t = 2.91206 \times 10^{-4}$ N·m².

Finally, we test our model on a specific prestretch ratio $\lambda_p = 2$, where the model predicts two pure-bend states on $\theta = \pm 1.65$ rad, as shown in Fig. S11. Which is close but slightly smaller than the experimental data. This could be explained by the effect of SMA coils. Although SMA coils do not affect the development of multistability, they do affect the final value of the convergence since they are pseudo-elastic.

We also developed a simplified analytic model to study pure-bend stable states. In this case, the geometric parameter is reduced to one: bending angle $\theta$. In order to simplify the geometry of the elastic membrane, we also assume it is only connected to the two extremities of the bending frame and treated as a two-dimensional thin film. Therefore, the local stretch ratio on the membrane could be uniformly expressed as:

$$\lambda_1(\theta, \lambda_p) = \frac{2\lambda_p \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\theta}.$$  

(50)

Therefore, we can derive the elastic potential energy of the simplified membrane and further derive the total elastic potential energy of the actuator as:

$$U_{\text{total}}(\theta, \lambda_p) = U_{\text{mem}}(\theta, \lambda_p) + U_{\text{frame}}(\theta).$$  

(51)

By taking the derivative of the total elastic potential energy of the actuator to $\theta$:

$$\frac{\partial U_{\text{total}}}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$  

(52)

we can predict the stable state angle of the pure-bending state as a function of the prestretch ratio $\lambda_p$ using this simplified analytic model. The result of this simplified model as well as the comparison to the experimental data is plotted in Fig. S12.
Figure S11: The result on elastic potential energy

Figure S12: The simplified model’s prediction of the stable-state angle compared to the experimental data points for pure-bend stable states.
Heliotropism inspired energy harvesting

Figure S13: Heliotropism inspired energy harvesting experiment setup. Scale bar: 40 mm.

The experiment setup is shown in Fig. S13. An LED (Tofu RGB Video Light, PHOTOOLEX) is installed on an acrylic limb driven by a servo motor (579MG, Power Hobbies) causing it to move in a circular path with a cycle time of $t = 90$ s to mimic the sun’s movement during the daytime. A miniature photovoltaic cell (KXOB25-14X1F-TB, ANYSOLAR Ltd.) is mounted on the tip of the actuator for energy harvesting. The photovoltaic cell is serially connected to a 10 $\Omega$ resistor while a multimeter is paralleled connected to the resistor to measure the applied voltage. Hence, we can estimate the output power of the photovoltaic cell as:

$$P_{\text{output}} = \frac{U^2}{R}. \quad (53)$$

It should be noted that the purpose of this demonstration is a ‘proof-of-concept’ that by introducing multiple stable states, the actuator is capable of tracking the movement of the light source to achieve better energy output without continuous energy input. During the 90 s experiment, the energy output from the miniature photovoltaic cell at each single stable state are $2.47 \times 10^{-5}$ J ($B1$), $7.38 \times 10^{-5}$ J ($T1^+$), $4.35 \times 10^{-5}$ J ($T2^+$), and $5.92 \times 10^{-5}$ J ($B2$), respectively. By involving multiple stable states, the energy output of the miniature photovoltaic cell is improved to $2.02 \times 10^{-4}$ J. By comparing the energy output of a single stable state and multiple states, we observe the output energy improved by about one order of magnitude.

During the experiment, the input energy for the actuator is $35.14 \pm 0.13$ J for 550 ms of activation to transition from one pure-bend state to the opposite pure-bend state. This disproportionality between the consumed energy and generated energy is primarily because:

1) The light source involved in this experiment is a mini-LED panel with a maximum illumination of about 900 lux. On the contrary, the sun’s illumination is at the magnitude of several tens of thousands of lux to hundreds of thousands of lux.
2) The photovoltaic cell employed in this experiment is a miniatured one with limitation on energy output ($P_{\text{max}} = 30.7$ mW).
3) The actuation mechanism for our multistable actuator is the SMA coil, which is rather power-hungry and low-efficient. The energy efficiency of this demonstration could be potentially improved by triggering the actuator via other actuation mechanisms with higher energy efficiency, employing a better miniature photovoltaic cell with a higher output power limit, and using a stronger light source to better mimic the sun’s illumination.
Dexterously-turning crawler

A dexterously-turning crawler is fabricated based on a multistable actuator and two pairs of directionally asymmetric friction feet. By controlling the activation and cooling sequence and duration of SMA coils, the crawler can utilize its multiple stable states to crawl forward and turn directions dexterously. The detailed plot for SMA activation and cooling for crawling, turning right, and turning left are illustrated in Fig S14, S15, and S16, respectively.

Figure S14: The sequence and duration of SMA activation and cooling for crawling.
Figure S15: The sequence and duration of SMA activation and cooling for turning right.
Figure S16: The sequence and duration of SMA activation and cooling for turning left.
A passive multistable structure

We replace SMA coils with 3D-printed elastic rods (Flexible 80A, Formlabs Inc.) to construct a fully passive multistable structure. Such a passive structure also shows six stable states (Fig. S17). The manual transition of this passive structure is shown in Movie S7.

Figure S17: A passive multistable structure. Scale bar: 20 mm.
Comparison of various snap-through soft actuators

Table S1: Snap-through soft actuator comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-load element</th>
<th>Constraint element</th>
<th>Actuation mechanism</th>
<th>Actuation frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Multistability</th>
<th>Number of units</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferroelastomer</td>
<td>Rigid support</td>
<td>Magnetic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferroelastomer</td>
<td>Rigid support</td>
<td>Magnetic</td>
<td>∼ 5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origami paper</td>
<td>Origami paper</td>
<td>Magnetic</td>
<td>0.3 ∼ 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origami paper</td>
<td>Origami paper</td>
<td>Magnetic</td>
<td>∼ 0.33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid crystal elastomer</td>
<td>Plastic frame</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>0.5 ∼ 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric elastomer</td>
<td>PET support layer</td>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>∼ 0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric elastomer</td>
<td>Acrylic frame</td>
<td>DEA</td>
<td>∼ 0.02</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 ∼ 5</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>∼ 1.4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyester ribbon</td>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft polymer</td>
<td>Soft polymer</td>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>∼ 0.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethane elastomer</td>
<td>Urethane elastomer</td>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>Silicone elastomer</td>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supporting Movies

**Movie S1: Multistable Actuator.** In this video, we demonstrate the actuator’s transition between all six stable states.

**Movie S2: State Transitions at 0.5 Hz Frequency.** In this video, the actuator performs 5 cycles of state transitions between two pure-bend stable states B1 and B2.

**Movie S3: Motion Capture.** In this video, we demonstrate the process of motion capturing, as well as compare it to a modeled result generated from the mocap data.

**Movie S4: Visual Inspection with a Tip-mounted Camera.** In this video, a demonstration based on a multistable actuator for visually inspecting is presented, with a tip-mounted miniature camera capturing information from a confined space, forming a string “SML2023”.

**Movie S5: Heliotropism-inspired Energy Harvesting.** In this video, we highlight the potential application of the multistable actuator to improve the energy efficiency of a photovoltaic cell via employing multiple stable states.

**Movie S6: Dexterously-turning Crawler.** In this video, we demonstrate a single-actuator-based dexterously-turning crawler capable of both turning directions and crawling forward to move along a z-shaped zig-zag pathway.

**Movie S7: Passive Multistable Structure.** In this video, nitinol SMA coils are replaced by 3D-printed elastic rods, forming a fully passive multistable structure.
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