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1. Opening remarks (Kathie Morgan)
2. Agenda review (Dan Smith)
3. Presentation on PPE Laboratory Comparison Study by National Research Council Canada
4. Presentation on product differences between F2100 and F3502: Possible need for a hybrid product (OSHA and NCSU)
5. Planning for next ASTM International Global Forum Event
6. Questions & Answers
7. Adjourn
Presentation on PPE Laboratory Comparison Study

National Research Council Canada

Presenters:  (1) Ralph Paroli, R&D Director (NRC-Metrology)
(2) Andy Oldershaw, Sector Leader, Measurement System Engagement
(3) Timothy Sipkins, Research Associate
Presentation on Product Differences Between F2100 and F3502 – Possible Need for a Hybrid Product

Presenters: (1) Andy Levinson, Deputy Director U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration
(2) Bryan Ormond, Assistant Professor, Textile Protection and Comfort Center, Wilson College of Textiles, North Carolina State University
Canadian interlaboratory comparison

Panel discussion
March 2022
Background

NRC Metrology is Canada’s National Metrology Institute (NMI). Our expertise in measurement enabled the rapid development of PPE testing to address a critical gap in our national quality infrastructure, in response to the Covid-19 pandemic.

Initial response:

- Product testing – temporary, not our usual role.
  - More than 5,000 samples tested.

Current activity:

- Support to the PPE quality infrastructure, our usual role:
  - Metrological traceability
  - Measurement assurance
  - Standards development
Collaboration - Support to the PPE quality infrastructure

In collaboration with a network of laboratories, academics, manufacturers, health authorities and other laboratory stakeholders.

• 30 + members coast to coast including 20 test facilities, areas of interest include:
  • Proficiency testing.
  • Reference material development.
  • Test method development.
  • Accelerated aging.
As an NMI, metrological traceability is a core to our mission and vision.

Traceability directly to the SI (International system of Units) is not practicable.

ISO/IEC 17025 and International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation policy on traceability (ILAC P10) allow alternatives.

Reference procedures or standards, supported by a suitable comparison can be accepted as a means for establishing metrological traceability.
ISO/IEC17025, 7.7 Ensuring the validity of results:

To assure the validity of their results, laboratories must:

• periodically monitor their results. This monitoring is to make sure within laboratory consistency. **Repeatability**

• periodically compare their results with other laboratories. This comparison is to check laboratory to laboratory consistency. **Reproducibility**
Standards development

Setting standards requires data from multiple sources:

• Linking standard methods, more consistency to the meaning of %PFE.

• Verifying and validating that standards provide the right information for decision makers.

• Verifying and validating compatibility laboratory capabilities.

• Making sure that conformity assessment to standards is practicable and accessible.
Interlaboratory comparisons - The cornerstone

Material testing
Targets material testing, which will remain a critical piece of standard moving forward.

Components
Pressure plates
Calibration media
Candidate ref. material
Respirator (with loading)

Participation
Included 17 systems spanning three categories.

TSI 8130A
The most common kind of filter tester in North America

Photomer
Similar operation but not the TSI 8130A (DustTrak, etc.)

Numer-based
Typically accompanied with size-resolved measurements.
Calibration (strongly-size dependent) media

ILC results

Media was tested in multiple layers.

Uncertainties were dominated by differences between laboratories, rather than differences within a given laboratory.

In large part differences between types of instruments was of a similar magnitude as those within a given class of instruments.
Calibration (strongly-size dependent) media

Adding pressure drop

Plenty of scatter about central trend of constant quality.

Outliers seem to correspond to number-based systems, perhaps still limiting comparison between the classes of systems.
Candidate reference material

Reference material

Spans a much larger range of PFES, in support of testing across a full gamut of materials (including individual layers)

Uncertainties are larger for poor filters, both for individual measurements and differences between laboratories
Systematic examination of parameters

Parameters
- Face velocity (see left)
- Conditioning
- Neutralization (required!)
- Artifacts for PSL (move to NaCl to test against a broad range of sizes)

Movement towards more unified, robust standards

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-01265-8
Mass- v. number-PFEs

Differences, but commonalities

Understanding this relationship is critical to translating academic studies to practical standards.

Sensitive to charge state of the material (via the most penetration particle size)

Anticipated outcomes

The availability of reference materials for laboratory quality assurance; standards development; proficiency testing; and research and development.

Examine the comparability of laboratories given the current standards, supporting conformity assessment and consumer confidence.

In partnership with Accredited PT providers, PT for standards methods will be available to any laboratories.

Movement towards more consistent, comparable, and robust standards.
THANK YOU

Timothy Sipkens • Research Associate
Timothy.Sipkens@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

Andy Oldershaw • Leader Measurement System Engagement andrew.oldershaw@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca
Potential Need for a Hybrid Mask Product for Healthcare Worker Protection Against Infectious Diseases

Andrew Levinson, MPH
Acting Director, Directorate of Standards & Guidance
U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)
March 7, 2022
OSHA and Infectious Diseases

- Bloodborne Pathogens Standard (29 CFR 1910.1030)
- General PPE Standard (29 CFR 1910.132)
- Tuberculosis Compliance Directive
- Pandemic Influenza guidance

- COVID-19 ETS Healthcare (now being finalized)
- COVID-19 ETS Vaccination and Testing (overturned by SC)
- Regulatory Agenda – Infectious Diseases in Healthcare
Conventional Healthcare Worker PPE

2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Healthcare Settings

Last update: July 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Droplet</th>
<th>Aerosol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Gloves</td>
<td>• Masks</td>
<td>• Respirators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gowns</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Eye protection*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Eye protection*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diseases by Transmission Type (Examples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission Type</th>
<th>Common Diseases</th>
<th>Less Common Diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>MRSA, Viral Hepatitis A</td>
<td>Ebola*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droplet</td>
<td>Influenza, Meningitis</td>
<td>Pneumonic Plague</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Measles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Some infectious diseases involve multiple transmission modes
## Defining Minimum PPE Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Examination Gloves</th>
<th>Surgical/Isolation Gowns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • ASTM D3578 (latex), D6319 (nitrile), D6977 (chloroprene) | • AAMI PB70 (barrier criteria)  
• ASTM F2407/F3352 (other req’ts) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Masks</th>
<th>Respirators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • ASTM F2100  
• Multiple levels | • NIOSH-Approved APR or PAPR per 42 CFR Part 84 |
Use of Masks for Droplet Transmission

• Current Standard: ASTM F2100

• Intended Purpose:
  − Source control (defined as PPE by providing blood splatter resistance)

• Requirements
  − Filtration efficiency (bacteria/sub-micron particles)
  − Differential pressure (airflow resistance)
  − Blood penetration resistance

• Shortcomings
  − Standard addresses materials only
  − Does not account for potential leakage
New Barrier Face Coverings

• New Standard: ASTM F3502

• Intended Purpose
  – Address products for individuals (incl. workers) not required to wear either respirators or medical masks

• Requirements
  – Sub-micron filtration efficiency
  – Airflow resistance

• Positive Attributes
  – Optional: leakage assessment
  – Spans range of products
Possible Hybrid Mask Product

• Intended Purpose:
  − Define higher performing masks for high droplet transmission diseases

• Approach
  − Adopt CDC/NIOSH suggested guidance for higher performing face coverings
  − Also require passing performance per ASTM F1862 (blood spurt test method)

• Benefit
  − Establishes better-fitting, better filtering masks for non-respirator situations in healthcare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Mask</th>
<th>Filtration</th>
<th>Breathability</th>
<th>Leakage*</th>
<th>Labeling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workplace Performance Mask</td>
<td>ASTM F3502 Level 2 at ≥50%</td>
<td>ASTM F3502 Level 1</td>
<td>Leakage ratio of ≥5</td>
<td>MEETS WORKPLACE PERFORMANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace Performance Plus Mask</td>
<td>ASTM F3502 Level 2 at ≥80%</td>
<td>ASTM F3502 Level 1</td>
<td>Leakage ratio of ≥10</td>
<td>MEETS WORKPLACE PERFORMANCE PLUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planning for Next ASTM International Global Forum Event

Dan Smith and Jeff Stull
For more information:
www.astmppecollaboration.org