December ___, 2021

To: Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Via E-Mail

Re: L.A. County Charter Amendment for Accountability, Oversight, and Checks and Balances of the Sheriff and the Sheriff’s Department

Yet again, Los Angeles County has reached a crisis point with its sheriff and Sheriff’s Department (LASD). The most recent spate of deputy gang violence has thrown a spotlight on this crisis that has gone unchecked for decades and demands an immediate and proportionate response by the Board of Supervisors (Board). Deputy gangs and other forms of deputy violence have persisted because one sheriff’s administration after the next has failed to enact and enforce policies that provide meaningful and consistent discipline when deputies harass, abuse, and kill. Among them, Sheriff Baca oversaw rampant and extreme violence in the jails—much of it perpetuated by deputy gangs—and now sits in federal prison for obstructing civil rights intervention; current Sheriff Villanueva has gone from denying the existence of deputy gangs to claiming that they are harmless fraternities, all the while defying subpoenas and violating laws on transparency and oversight. Villanueva’s disinterest in stemming deputy violence, including deputy gangs, is the most recent illustration of how existing County structures are insufficient to guard against sheriffs who are hostile to civilian oversight, transparency, and accountability.

The Board must seize this moment and take decisive actions to protect the public from the scourge of deputy gangs, sheriffs’ violations of the law and obstruction of oversight, and the underlying conditions leading to unchecked deputy misconduct and violence particularly towards Black and brown communities policed by LASD. The undersigned stakeholders urge the Board to propose to the voters an amendment to the L.A. County Charter that will create an impeachment and removal process to provide common sense checks and balances over the sheriff, reinforce its policy-making authority over LASD, and strengthen civilian oversight.

1. Create a procedure to allow impeachment and removal of the sheriff by a four-fifths vote of the Board for serious violations of the public trust, including serious crimes, unconstitutional conduct, and abuse of power.¹ While the Board has a duty to supervise the

¹ Consistent with California Government Code section 25303, cause for removal should include: (1) the knowing violation of any law related to the performance of the sheriff’s duties; (2) falsification of an official statement or document; (3) obstruction of any investigation into the conduct of the sheriff or Sheriff’s Department (LASD) employees by the Civilian Oversight Commission, the Office of Inspector General, or other government agencies with jurisdiction to conduct such an investigation; (4) criminal conduct that would be grounds for termination of LASD employees; and (5) failure in supervision, discipline, or hiring of LASD employees that results in a pattern of violations of standards of conduct for LASD employees or the rights of members of the public, or the obstruction by such employees of any investigation into the conduct of the sheriff or LASD employees.
Conduct of the sheriff under state and local laws, and it bears the ultimate responsibility for the safety of the public, the Board currently has no meaningful mechanism to enforce this authority, or even to take action against a sheriff who directly violates the law. A “don’t elect me” system of sheriff accountability every four years has proven wholly inadequate. The threat of removal would finally provide the Board with a more direct means to protect the public from serious sheriff misconduct. The U.S. Congress has the duty and authority to impeach and remove an elected president when necessary; even more so should the civilian Board have the power to impeach and remove an elected sheriff, a paramilitary official akin to an elected general. Besides the limited circumstances for removal grounds, to further guard against concerns that this removal authority places too much power in the Board, the procedure should direct the Civilian Oversight Commission (COC) to create a list from which the Board may select an interim replacement in the event of a sheriff’s removal.

2. Reinforce the Board’s authority to create policies for LASD and delegate initial policy development to the COC. The Board has the duty under state law to supervise its sheriffs “in order to ensure that they faithfully perform their duties,” and local law recognizes that this responsibility includes the authority to direct and approve the development of policy for LASD. Yet, the Board has rarely exercised this authority and, as a result, sheriffs have adopted and maintained policies that directly contravene the letter and spirit of various local, state, and federal laws. The proposed L.A. County Charter amendment should further codify the authority of the Board to oversee and set policies for LASD that do not interfere with the statutory authority of the sheriff or otherwise conflict with state law. Moreover, the proposal should include a process for the COC—the civilian body established to “improve transparency and accountability with respect to [LASD]” to develop and propose policies for the Board’s consideration and action.

3. Establish permanent, independent, and more robust civilian oversight of LASD. To make sure that future Boards less committed to civilian oversight cannot undermine the existing oversight bodies, the proposed measure should explicitly incorporate the COC and the Office of Inspector General into the L.A. County Charter, including their ability to subpoena records and testimony as needed to fulfill their functions. The proposal should provide the COC with independent counsel and funding commensurate with its duties, and ensure membership of community members directly impacted by LASD and the criminal legal system.

---

2 California statutes establish that the board of supervisors “shall supervise the official conduct of all county officers . . . particularly insofar as the functions and duties of such county officers . . . relate to the assessing, collecting, safekeeping, management, or disbursement of public funds.” Cal. Gov. Code § 25303. The board “shall see that [county officers],” including the sheriff, “faithfully perform their duties . . . .” Id.


5 Dibb v. Cnty. of San Diego, 8 Cal.4th 1200, 1208-09 (Cal. 1994); Cal. Gov. Code § 25303.

6 See L.A. Cnty. Code § 2.06.130.


Sincerely,

Check the Sheriff Coalition
ACLU of Southern California
Black Lives Matter – Los Angeles
Centro Community Service Organization
National Lawyers Guild – Los Angeles

[Signatories]