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Problem Overview

Background

The issue of unstructured documents is the biggest barrier for digitization of the underwriting process for a $250+ billion industry. Over 90% of submissions are unstructured.

Cognisure has a large set of proprietary extraction algorithms that produce accurate results (95%+ accuracy) and are trained on thousands of document types.

Despite these developments, Cognisure needs to invest significant manual effort in analysing documents that the algorithms are not trained on.

Scope

Loss runs are insurance documents that provide claims history of an insurance policy.

Our goal is to extract 4 fields using machine learning approaches:

- Carrier Name
- Losses as of Date
- Name Insured
- Run Date

Accuracy of the model is critical as Loss Runs are used by underwriters for policy decisions.

The Challenge

Complex formats and structures

Variation in formats between different carrier

Variation in formats for the same carrier

Methodology

Layout Language Model (LayoutLM)

LayoutLM is a transformer based model that relies on features such as text, images and spatial elements (coordinates/location of text).

1. We use Amazon Textract as our OCR engine
2. Employing an embeddings approach and develop a similarity score to find the most similar output from Textract for each field
3. BIO tagging to label all the text on the document
4. Feeding text, coordinates, and images as features to LayoutLM for field prediction

GPT

1. Using Python to extract all the text from loss runs
2. Developing prompts and feeding the prompts and the text from loss runs into GPT
3. Cleaning output from GPT and obtaining predictions for fields

Results and Business Impact

Results

For GPT, the accuracy on a document level is 51%. The following plots provide context regarding results for LayoutLM:

Consistency in carriers across the training and test sets allows LayoutLM to perform well with 80% accuracy on document level

Impact

A variation in carriers across the training and test sets causes the performance of LayoutLM to drop significantly to 30% accuracy on a document level

10-15% reduction in time spent on manual analysis

$50,000 saved per month in 2024 with an enhanced model pipeline