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CLARA’s report, however, confines solution pathways to low-risk land-sector approaches that protect, restore and sustainably manage natural ecosystems, while respecting human rights.

In other words this report asks: What level of climate ambition can be based on approaches that are already available, and that safeguard food security and food sovereignty, land rights, and biodiversity? Emphasizing climate solutions that put the needs of people and planet first, especially the role that forests and improved agricultural practices can play, this report proposes a series of tactical approaches and quantified carbon sequestration goals to address the intertwined crises of climate change and global biodiversity loss.

PART 1
Strengthening Indigenous and Community Land Rights

A large portion of the remaining global forest estate is in the hands of indigenous peoples and local communities. However, while half of the world’s land is associated with a ‘customary land use’ claim, only 10% is legally under indigenous and community ownership. Securing community land rights represents an effective, efficient and equitable climate action that governments can undertake to protect the world’s forests. Protecting forests while allowing for indigenous and community-based forest management to provide biodiversity, food security, and carbon sequestration benefits is an urgent first step in ending deforestation and restoring forests’ historical role as net forest ‘sink’.

In many areas, indigenous peoples and local communities are standing up to threats from commodity agriculture and mining. ‘Avoided deforestation’ is recognized in the scientific literature as one of the lowest-cost approaches to mitigation, but solutions based on recognizing community land rights while extending indigenous management of degraded forests remain undervalued (FIGURE 1). Increased carbon sequestration is possible because ecosystems are below their carbon carrying capacity as a result of past land use.

At least 293 Gt C is stored in collectively managed lands across all forest biomes.1 This represents a fraction of the carbon stored in collectively managed lands, given the large areas for which data are not available.

1 Forest biomes are based on FAO 2015 classifications. Source: (Rights and Resources Initiative, 2018).

Secure land rights represents a low-cost, high-benefit investment.

Secure land rights must be strengthened—over 1/3 of the carbon identified in community lands across the tropics lies in areas without secure tenure rights.

FIGURE 1
Indigenous and community lands across 64 countries store >293 gigatonnes of carbon.
Preventing further emissions from ecosystem conversion
- Avoiding further loss and degradation of primary forests must be the first priority in combating the climate and biodiversity crises, not only because it keeps emissions out of the atmosphere now, but because it maximizes ecosystem integrity and biodiversity protection and thus resilience in the face of climate change.
- Intact peatlands contribute to a range of ecosystem services; but draining peatlands for ‘deforestation commodities’ like oil palm transforms these lands into a net source of GHG emissions. Restoring peatlands and preventing further burning and draining of peat would avoid approximately 1.91 Gt CO₂eq a year, primarily in Europe, Russia, and Indonesia.

**Grasslands.** Grasslands cover almost 40% of global land area; adaptation, biodiversity, and livelihood concerns are extremely important for these ecosystems. We use the pathways for grasslands noted by Grassi et al. in their 2017 paper, which found that preventing conversion of grasslands to croplands could avoid 0.12 Gt CO₂ a year of emissions.

**Forest Ecosystem Restoration.** Protecting half of the planet’s natural ecosystems would help preserve biodiversity and maintain ecological integrity. Setting aside one-quarter of degraded natural forest cover globally (600 million hectares) would advance this goal. Successful restoration and subsequent protection of these forests would restore primary forest characteristics, increasing the area of primary forests to 50% of the global forest area.

**Natural forest expansion.** The regeneration and expansion of natural forest cover is critical to replace lost forest area and increase carbon uptake. Forest regeneration congruent with the “Bonn Challenge” goal would require restoring 350 million hectares by 2080. Natural or assisted regeneration of native forests is the most effective intervention from climate and biodiversity perspectives, therefore this analysis distinguishes these strategies from the planting of monoculture tree plantations, which have poor carbon sequestration rates and high risks of reversal. Expanding forest cover as a buffer around remaining primary forests is critical to the strategy of restoring and expanding the global cover of intact primary forests.

**Responsible use of forests.** Improved management of forests for timber, non-timber forest products, and ecological values is crucial for enhanced carbon sequestration. While allowing full recovery to primary forest of secondary natural forests is the best climate mitigation pathway, lengthening rotation times and reducing harvest rates can produce significant increases in forest carbon stocks and biodiversity in temperate and boreal production forests. In tropical forests, responsible use means no commercial extraction of timber, given that over 50% of biomass in these forests resides in valuable hardwood trees that take centuries to regrow. Commercial wood production should be sourced primarily from improved plantations. Wood production needs to be reduced, made more efficient, and wood utilization should move to longer-lived products. Increased use of forest biomass for energy generation increases net carbon emissions over the next several decades and is thus incompatible with improved management that enhances forest carbon sequestration.

**PART 3 Transforming Agriculture**

This report uses an agro-ecological frame to characterize climate ambition in agriculture, describing a variety of mutually-reinforcing changes in agriculture production and consumption. Agroecology is used here in its holistic sense, as an alternative to industrial farming. Net emissions from agriculture need to be reduced or eliminated by changes to the production and use of synthetic fertilizers and changes to rice cultivation practices that reduce methane emissions. In bioregions where agroforestry is possible, the introduction of perennial species, orchard crops, and other mixed-use systems can also lead to large per-acre increases in carbon stocks. Meat and dairy production should be integrated at the landscape level, and should promote increased agro-biodiversity of cropping and livestock systems.

In light of population pressures, there is danger that ‘sustainable intensification’ may just increase reliance on industrial farming models that deepen inequality and erode stores of natural capital, contrary to agro-ecological approaches. On the production side, assisting smallholders to adapt to climate change is the most urgent priority that addresses both food security and leads to a transformative pathway for agriculture. Other mitigation gains in agriculture can also come on the demand side through food system and diet change.

See FIGURE 3 for a summary of agriculture sector emissions reductions from a projected baseline of 11 Gt CO₂eq per year in 2050.

**Agroecology.** Quantification of mitigation opportunities is most challenging for this sector. However, re-orienting food production and improving diets through agroecology provides critical health, livelihood, resilience, and food culture benefits that are generally not well represented in discussions of the climate response in agriculture.

**Reduction in synthetic nitrogen fertilizers.** The production of synthetic nitrogen is energy intensive and is leading to nitrogen saturation and greenhouse gas flux in many systems. Reducing the use of nitrogen in food production in line with global boundaries could create a net GHG benefit of 0.69 Gt CO₂eq per year.

**FIGURE 3 Mitigation Potential Across All Agricultural Pathways**

The potential for avoided emissions by better production, less consumption and reduced waste of food and agricultural products is significant. At the same time, agroecological practices such as agroforestry can increase carbon stocks.
The main conclusions of the report are:

- Land rights are key to ecosystem protection.
- Deforestation must end, globally, not just on a ‘net’ basis but on an absolute basis.

CONCLUSIONS
Towards a holistic response to climate change

The report shows how major shifts in land use and land management represent ‘missing pathways’ toward the 1.5°C temperature limit goal, that reduce or eliminate the need for reliance on geoengineering approaches such as BECCS. FIGURE 4 quantifies avoided emissions and mitigation gains that could be achieved from rights, restoration, agroecological, and food-system pathways outlined in the report.

- Restoration, natural regeneration, reforestation, and improved forest management all represent important, separate opportunities for increasing mitigation ambition.
- A focus on biodiversity and rights delivers greater mitigation ambition than only focusing on carbon. Adaptation in agriculture delivers substantial mitigation benefits as well.
- Food production systems must be restructured toward agroecological approaches.
- Equitably reducing consumption, particularly of animal products, represents the single most effective climate intervention in the land sector.
- Climate-compatible food systems increase resilience while reducing hunger and ‘rich-country’ diseases indicative of poor diet.

Major shifts in land use and land management represent ‘missing pathways’ toward the 1.5°C goal, that reduce or eliminate the need for reliance on geoengineering approaches such as BECCS.

Less and better livestock production. Changing the way that animal products (meat and dairy) are produced and consumed provides a large mitigation opportunity. An ‘ecological left-overs approach’ that limits feed production and relies on natural grasslands and food waste to feed livestock also means reducing the total number of livestock, and thus the amount of meat and dairy products consumed. These changes could reduce GHG emissions by 4.5 GtCO2eq per year.

Healthy diets: The land intensity of agriculture can be further reduced by bringing consumption into line with healthy-diet recommendations, such as those from the World Health Organization. Limiting consumption of meat, dairy and overall calorie intake to healthy levels globally (which requires significant dietary changes in rich, developed countries) significantly reduces emissions and releases arable land for food-system change or restoration. Healthy diets could reduce GHG emissions by a further 2.5 GtCO2eq per year from baseline projections in 2050.

Transform food systems. A range of other ‘demand side’ measures, such as reduced food loss and waste, reduced food miles travelled, and reduced use of fossil-fuel heated greenhouses to artificially extend growing seasons could reduce emissions by a further 0.5 GtCO2eq per year.

Agroforestry: As one of the most important agroecological approaches, tree biomass on farms represents an important ‘missing pathway.’ Using conservative assumptions, agroforestry approaches could sequester 1.04 Gt CO2eq/year in above ground carbon across 300 Mha of agricultural land.

All of these changes in the agriculture sector would together reduce emissions from a projected 11 Gt CO2eq per year to 3.5 Gt CO2eq per year by 2050, along with 1.04 Gt CO2, removals per year via agroforestry.

Improvements in quality of life require better access to resources and reductions in overconsumption.

FIGURE 4
The missing pathway: 1.5°C compatible actions respecting land rights, ecosystem integrity and food security.

Gigatons of CO2 Equivalent per Year

Agriculture 2050
Land Use 2050

Potential Mitigation
Potential Mitigation
Potential for conversion from an overall source of emissions to a sink. For a net change of 16 Gt CO2 eq per year by 2050

At least 1000 Gt CO2 eq is stored in forests and soils of community held lands. Meaning the bar would cover almost all of these pages.

1 Calculations and assumptions for all pathways can be found in the supplementary table, available here: www.ClimateLandAmbitionRightsAlliance.org/report
2 1000 Gt CO2eq is equivalent to the 293 GT C shown in Figure 1. We use CO2 units here for comparability with agriculture and land use.
The CLARA network includes climate justice advocates, faith groups, conservation groups, land-rights campaigners, agroecologists, and representative of peoples movements around the globe. Our commitment to social justice brought us into the climate debate and informs our approaches to climate solutions. For more information about CLARA, visit climatelandambitionrightsalliance.org.
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