

## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Depress Anxiety. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 1

Published in final edited form as:

Depress Anxiety. 2010 August ; 27(8): 768–774. doi:10.1002/da.20675.

### Psychological Resilience and Neurocognitive Performance in a Traumatized Community Sample

Aliza P. Wingo, MD<sup>1</sup>, Negar Fani, MS<sup>2</sup>, Bekh Bradley, PhD<sup>4,1</sup>, and Kerry J. Ressler, MD, PhD<sup>1,3,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departments of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neuropsychology and Behavioral Neuroscience, Georgia State University

<sup>3</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute

<sup>4</sup>Atlanta VA Medical Center

<sup>5</sup>Yerkes National Primate Research Center

#### Abstract

**Background**—Whether psychological resilience correlates with neurocognitive performance is largely unknown. Therefore, we assessed association between neurocognitive performance and resilience in individuals with a history of childhood abuse or trauma exposure.

**Methods**—In this cross-sectional study of 226 highly traumatized civilians, we assessed neurocognitive performance, history of childhood abuse and other trauma exposure, and current depressive and PTSD symptoms. Resilience was defined as having  $\geq 1$  trauma and no current depressive or PTSD symptoms; non-resilience as having  $\geq 1$  trauma and current moderate/severe depressive or PTSD symptoms.

**Results**—The nonresilient group had a higher percentage of unemployment (p = 0.002) and previous suicide attempts (p < 0.0001) than the resilient group. Both groups had comparable education and performance on verbal reasoning, nonverbal reasoning, and verbal memory. However, the resilient group performed better on nonverbal memory (p=0.016) with an effect size of 0.35. Additionally, more severe childhood abuse or other trauma exposure was significantly associated with non-resilience. Better nonverbal memory was significantly associated with resilience even after adjusting for severity of childhood abuse, other trauma exposure, sex, and race using multiple logistic regression (adjusted OR=1.2; p=0.017).

**Conclusions**—We examined resilience as absence of psychopathology despite trauma exposure in a highly traumatized, low socioeconomic, urban population. Resilience was significantly associated with better nonverbal memory, a measure of ability to code, store, and visually recognize concrete and abstract pictorial stimuli. Nonverbal memory may be a proxy for emotional learning, which is often dysregulated in stress-related psychopathology, and may contribute to our understanding of resilience.

Correspondence to: Kerry J. Ressler, MD, PhD, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Yerkes Research Center, Emory University, 954 Gatewood Drive, Atlanta, GA 30329 (kressle@emory.edu).

Disclosures: Drs Wingo and Bradley have no relevant potential conflicts of interest. Dr. Ressler has received funding support related to other studies from Lundbeck, NARSAD, Burroughs Wellcome Foundation, NIMH, NIDA, and is a founder of Extinction Pharmaceuticals for NMDA-based therapeutics.

#### Keywords

resilience; neurocognitive performance; trauma; childhood abuse; PTSD; depression; nonverbal memory

#### 1. Introduction

Exposure to traumatic events is a strong risk factor for depression and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)[1–6]. Childhood trauma, in particular, not only increases risk for dysthymia and major depressive disorder[7,8] but also influences the course of the depressive disorder, including earlier onset, more psychiatric comorbidity, and more chronicity[9,10]. Interestingly, there are individuals who cope successfully and report little or no adverse mental health consequences after being exposed to traumatic events[11,12]; these behaviors and outcomes have been associated with a trait known as resilience. Resilience is characterized as the process of adapting well in the face of adversity or trauma[13]. Understanding resilience is essential in achieving a comprehensive understanding of human responses to stress and trauma. Progress in this area can inform efforts in finding novel methods for preventing and treating depression and PTSD.

Studies of resilience have defined this construct in various ways, including positive affect despite chronic exposure to stressful life events[14], absence of lifetime psychiatric disorders following exposure to traumatic events[11,12], absence of PTSD following combat exposure[15], no time loss to illness following psychological stress exposure[16], and a high score on the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale[17–19]. Despite many operational definitions of resilience, the two central components of resilience are exposure to risk and evidence of good psychological adjustment[20]. In this study, we operationally defined resilience as having at least one previous trauma (although the majority of subjects in both groups had more than one) and no or very mild depressive or PTSD symptoms. We defined nonresilience as having at least one previous trauma and current moderate-to-severe depressive symptoms or moderate-to-severe PTSD symptoms. Our definition of resilience includes the absence of both depression and PTSD, the two psychiatric disorders most commonly associated with stress and trauma exposure[1–3,6].

Psychosocial factors correlating with resilience have been examined in many studies; they include active coping and facing fears, optimism and positive emotions, cognitive reappraisal, social competence and social support, and a purposeful life and spirituality[21]. Emerging evidence from recent studies also suggests potential neurobiological mechanisms underpinning resilience[22,23]. In peripubertal monkeys, the learning-like process of coping with mild early life stress in developing resilience was found to correlate with increased white matter myelination of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex[22]. In humans, neurocognitive development in children and adolescents involves synaptic proliferation and pruning and ongoing myelination of the prefrontal circuitry[24]. These findings suggest that early life stress inoculation triggers developmental cascades across multiple domains of adaptive functioning, including neurocognitive development[23]. Hence, we hypothesize that there is a correlation between resilience and neurocognitive performance, with resilience associated with better performance. In this study, we compared neurocognitive performance between resilient and nonresilient individuals and examined association between neurocognitive performance and resilience, controlling for severity of trauma exposure, sex, and race.

#### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Sample, recruitment, and procedure

This cross-sectional study was part of a larger study investigating genetic and environmental risk factors for PTSD and depression in a population of urban, low-income, highly traumatized, predominantly African American men and women[25,26]. Inclusion criteria included 18 to 75 years of age, English speaking, and able to give informed consent. Exclusion criterion included mental retardation, reflected by an IQ < 70, measured by the abbreviated Reynolds Intellectual Assessment Scales, further described below[27]. The participants who did not meet criteria for either resilience or nonresilience were excluded from the analysis. The study was in compliance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association and approved by the institutional review boards of Emory University School of Medicine and Grady Memorial Hospital.

Members of the research team approached adult patients waiting for their appointments at the primary care, obstetrical-gynecological clinics, and pharmacy waiting areas of Grady Memorial Hospital in Atlanta, GA, to solicit for study participation. Approximately 58% of those approached agreed to participate. Participants gave informed consent and completed a battery of self-report measures. Due to variation between subjects with respect to literacy, all self-report measures were obtained verbally.

#### 2.2 Measures

*Childhood abuse* was assessed retrospectively with the psychometrically validated, 28-item Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ)[28,29]. Scores were extracted for the categories of emotional, physical, and sexual abuse. Following Bernstein and Fink's score ranges for none, mild, moderate, and severe levels of abuse, we classified participants into 2 groups: a) none/mild range, and b) moderate/severe range for each of the aforementioned type of abuse[28]. We then divided the participants into 3 categories based on the number of types of abuse and severity of abuse they had: a) no abuse in the moderate/severe range, b) 1 type of abuse in the moderate/severe range, and c)  $\geq$  2 types of abuse in the moderate/severe range.

**Trauma** exposure was assessed using the Traumatic Events Inventory[30,31]. This instrument measures life-time exposure to 15 different categories of trauma, including natural disaster, serious accident or injury, sudden life-threatening illness, military combat, being attacked with a weapon, witnessing a family member or friend being attacked with a weapon, being attacked without a weapon, witnessing a family member or friend being attacked with a sexual assault. For each category of the instrument, having had the exposure was scored "1" and no exposure "0". The childhood trauma items in this inventory were excluded to avoid overlap with the information collected with the CTQ. Score can range from 0 - 15, with higher scores reflecting exposure to more types of trauma.

**Depressive symptoms** were measured with the psychometrically validated, 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), which has demonstrated good reliability (Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.93) and validity[32]. The items are rated on a likert scale of 0 - 3; total score ranges from 0 - 63, with higher scores reflecting higher levels of depression. Specifically, levels of depression severity are suggested by the following score ranges: BDI  $\leq 10$  no depression,  $10 < BDI \leq 18$  mild depression, and  $BDI \geq 19$  moderate to severe depression[32].

*PTSD symptoms* were measured with the modified PTSD Symptom Scale (PSS), a psychometrically valid, 17-item, self-report scale assessing PTSD symptoms based on

DSM-IV criteria, over the prior 2 weeks[33,34]. A PSS score  $\leq$  10 reflects none or very mild level of PTSD symptoms[35,36]. We categorized participants as having or not having PTSD based on the DSM-IV criteria for PTSD, using the PSS scale. Moderate-to-severe PTSD symptoms for this study was defined by having PSS >26, as 26 was the median score for individuals who met DSM-IV defined PTSD criteria in this sample.

*Neurocognitive performance* was assessed using the Reynolds Intellectual Assessment Scales (RIAS)[27], including the *Guess What*, *Odd-Item Out*, verbal memory, and nonverbal memory subtests. The *Guess-What* subtest measures verbal reasoning in combination with vocabulary, language development, and overall fund of knowledge. *Odd-Item Out* measures nonverbal reasoning skills and visuo-spatial ability. The verbal memory subtest assesses ability to encode, briefly store, and recall verbal material. The nonverbal memory subtest measures ability to encode, briefly store, and recognize concrete and abstract pictoral stimuli. The RIAS has a high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha coefficients range 0.90 – 0.95 for these subtests), test-retest reliability (r = 0.69 - 0.88), and excellent validity[27]. The *Guess-What* and *Odd-Item Out* subtests can be used in combination to obtain an estimate of general intelligence; this index has a correlation of 0.67 with the full-scale IQ of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Test-III[37], and 0.83 with the full-scale IQ of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 3rd edition[38] [27]. Participants with estimated IQ < 70 were excluded from the analysis. Raw scores were converted to the age-adjusted t scores using RIAS normative data[27].

#### 2.3 Resilience

Resilience was defined, a priori, as having at least 1 previous trauma and none or only very mild current depressive (BDI  $\leq$ 10) or PTSD symptoms (DSM-IV PTSD criteria not met and PSS  $\leq$ 10); nonresilience as having at least 1 previous trauma and current moderate/severe depressive (BDI  $\geq$ 19) or PTSD symptoms (PSS > median PSS scores among those with PTSD in the sample). PSS median score was 26 for individuals with PTSD in this sample. Information from the CTQ and TEI were used to ensure that each participant had been exposed to at least one trauma. For instance, a participant with no childhood abuse in the moderate/severe range on the CTQ must have at least a score of 1 on the TEI. On the other hand, a participant with a score of zero on the TEI scale must have at least 1 type of childhood abuse in the moderate/severe range. Some participants had a history of both childhood abuse and other trauma exposures. As mentioned above, trauma includes childhood emotional, sexual or physical abuse, or experiencing a natural disaster, serious accident or injury, sudden life-threatening illness, or being in military combat or war zone, or being attacked with or without a weapon, or having a close friend or family member being attacked or murdered, or sexual assault.

#### 2.4 Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed using SAS Software (version  $9.2^{\odot}$  of 2008; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Demographic and clinical variables were characterized with descriptive statistics. Data are shown as means and standard deviation (SD). Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) was used to compare proportions. Two-sample *t*-test was used to compare group means of normally distributed continuous variables, and Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare group means of non-normally distributed continuous variables. Standardized mean-difference effect size (ES) was computed for each neurocognitive domain[39]. Multiple logistic regression was performed to examine association between neurocognitive performance and resilience, controlling for severity of childhood abuse, other trauma exposure, sex, and race. Statistical significance required a two-sided *p*-value of <0.05.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

There were 101 resilient and 121 non-resilient individuals in the sample. Their sociodemographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. Overall, this population of mostly African Americans was characterized by a high rate of trauma exposure and low socioeconomic status, reflected by low income and educational levels; only 9.6% of the participants had a monthly income  $\geq 2000$  while 68% had a monthly income < 999; only 9.8% of the sample was college graduates or attending graduate schools and 63.8% had a highschool education or below. Both the resilient and nonresilient groups had comparable age, education, and relationship status (Table 1). There was a trend of more women (p = 0.08) and fewer African Americans (p = 0.07) in the nonresilient group (Table 1). Furthermore, the nonresilient group had a significantly higher percentage of unemployment (p = 0.006) and previous suicide attempts (p < 0.0001) compared to the resilient group (Table 1). The resilient group had less severe childhood sexual, physical, or emotional abuse and fewer other trauma exposure than the nonresilient group (p < 0.0001) (Table 1).

#### 3.2 Neurocognitive performance

Age-adjusted *t* scores for verbal reasoning, nonverbal reasoning, verbal memory, and nonverbal memory subtests for both the resilient and nonresilient groups are presented in Table 2. Both groups had comparable performance on the verbal reasoning, nonverbal reasoning, and verbal memory subtests (Table 2). However, the resilient group performed better than the nonresilient group on the nonverbal memory subtest (p = 0.016) with an effect size (ES) of 0.35 (Table 2). Estimated IQ of the resilient group had a mean and SD of 92.3 ± 10.9 and nonresilient group 92.5 ± 9.5. Hence, estimated IQ was comparable between the two groups (t = 0.1; p = 0.92).

To determine whether group difference in nonverbal memory was an artifact of depression or PTSD symptoms, Pearson correlation was performed to assess the relationship between nonverbal memory score and BDI or PSS score. In the resilient group, there was no significant correlation between nonverbal memory and BDI score (r = -0.015, p = 0.88) or PSS score (r = -0.060, p = 0.54). Likewise, within the nonresilient group, there was no significant correlation between nonverbal memory and BDI score (r = -0.017, p = 0.86) or PSS score (r = 0.03, p = 0.72). This suggests that group difference in nonverbal memory was not due to depressive or PTSD symptoms.

Additionally, nonverbal memory was significantly associated with resilience, even after adjusting for severity of childhood abuse, other trauma exposure, sex, and race using multiple logistic regression (Table 3). Specifically, performing 4 points better (*t*-score) on the nonverbal memory subtest was associated with 20% higher probability of being resilient, given similar levels of childhood abuse, other trauma exposures, sex, and race (OR = 1.2; p = 0.017) (Table 3). Additionally, this regression model also suggested that having none/mild childhood abuse was associated with 5.2 times higher odds of being resilient than having  $\geq 2$  types of childhood abuse (OR [95%CI] = 5.2 [2.1 - 12.6], p = 0.0003) (Table 3). Having none/mild childhood abuse was associated with 2.1 times higher odds of being resilient compared to having 1 type of moderate/severe childhood abuse (OR = 2.1 [1.0 - 4.6], p = 0.059); however, this association was only a trend (Table 3). Likewise, having one more type of other traumatic exposure was associated with 20% lower probability of being resilient (OR = 0.8 [0.7 - 0.9], p = 0.001) (Table 3). There was a trend (p = 0.08) that males were more likely to be resilient than females (OR = 1.9) (Table 3). Race was not significantly associated with resilience (Table 3).

#### Discussion

In this cross-sectional study of 105 resilient and 121 nonresilient individuals, we found that the resilient group performed significantly better than the nonresilient group on the nonverbal memory subtest, a measure of ability to encode, briefly store, and visually recall concrete objects or abstract concepts. The effect size of this difference was small. Nevertheless, a better performance on the nonverbal memory subtest, as reflected by 4 points higher on this t-score, was associated with 20% higher probability of being resilient, given similar severity of childhood abuse and other trauma exposure, sex, and race. The two groups were comparable regarding their IQ and performance on the verbal reasoning, nonverbal reasoning, and verbal memory subtests. We also found that more severe childhood abuse or other trauma exposure was significantly associated with a lower probability of being resilient.

Association between full-scale IQ and resilience following family adversity has been examined in a longitudinal study by Fergusson et al[40]. The authors followed children from age 8 to 16 and found that higher IQ, measured at 8 years of age, was associated with resilience[40]. We did not find a difference in estimated IQ between the resilient and nonresilient groups as Fergusson and colleagues, potentially due to three reasons. First, our estimated IQ was only a rough estimate based on the two RIAS subtests, as described in Methods, and not a full intellectual assessment battery since our primary objective was assessing neurocognitive performance. Second, the nature of family adversity assessed in Fergusson study, including social and economic disadvantage, family dysfunction, marital conflict, and compromised parenting, was different from the nature of adversity measured in our study, including childhood sexual, physical, or emotional abuse or exposure to other traumatic events[40]. Third, the nature of resilience defined by Fergusson and colleagues, absence of conduct problems, delinquency, substance use, and school problems, is different from that of our definition of resilience, which is the absence of depressive and PTSD symptoms[40].

Association between full-scale IQ and risk of developing PTSD following exposure to traumatic events has also been reported in two other longitudinal studies [41,42]. The first, by Breslau et al[41], categorized IQ into 3 groups, IQ<100, IQ =101–115, and IQ>115, and found that the IQ >115 group had a significantly lower risk for developing PTSD compared to the IQ <100 group, and no significant difference in PTSD risk between the IQ<100 and IQ = 101-115 groups, after adjusting for the relevant risk factors in a logistic regression model. In our study, only 3 individuals in the resilient group and 1 in the nonresilient group had an IQ>115, while the rest of the participants had an IQ  $\leq$ 115. Hence our observation of no difference in estimated IQ between the resilient and nonresilient groups is in line with the findings of Breslau study. The second study, by Koenen et al, followed children from age 5 to 32 to assess for PTSD at two time points, at age 26 and 32[42]. The authors found that lower IQ, measured with the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, was associated with a higher risk for developing PTSD at age 26[42]. However, this association was no longer significant at age 32[42]. Since we assessed participants with an age range from 18 to 75, with a mean age of 44 - 45, it is possible that the wide range of age of our participants and their older average age may have affected our association between estimated IQ and PTSD manifestation.

Another study examining neuropsychological function in female victims of intimate partner violence with and without PTSD found no significant difference in attention, working memory, visuoconstruction, learning and memory, and executive functioning between 22 without lifetime PTSD and 17 with current PTSD subjects[43]. The results of this study

should be interpreted in light of its limited sample size and thus insufficient power to detect a difference if one exists.

It is quite interesting that we find a deficit in nonverbal memory, but not verbal reasoning, nonverbal reasoning, or verbal memory, related to nonresilience. Consistent with our finding, nonverbal memory deficits have been reported in PTSD[44] and major depression[45] patients. It is notable that cognitive bias in processing emotional information, usually seen in mood and anxiety disorders, are more closely detected by the laboratory measures of nonverbal memory, such as visual working memory, than the more complex verbal memory and verbal reasoning tasks[46]. Furthermore, recent data suggest that emotional learning of fear discrimination and inhibition is dependent on nonverbal cue contingency awareness[47]. Together, these data suggest that nonverbal memory measures may serve as a proxy for emotional learning and emotional information processing. We propose that emotional learning may be critical for recovery from traumatic experiences and resilience to stress-related psychopathology[48].

Our results should be interpreted in light of its limitations, including its cross-sectional nature, potential recall biases on the retrospective measures of Childhood Trauma Questionnaire and Traumatic Events Inventory, and the relatively limited number of neurocognitive domains we assessed. Additionally, alcohol and substance use was not measured in this study and may be a notable confounding factor in neurocognitive performance. Lastly, the majority of our participants were unemployed or had low income, obtained twelve years or fewer of education, and had frequent trauma exposure; thus the effects we observed may not be generalizable to individuals of different sociodemographic characteristics.

Neurocognitive profiles, particularly those related to nonverbal and emotional memory, which may be unique to resilience, may provide information towards our effort of discovering the neuro-circuitry underpinning resilience. For future studies, more extensive neurocognitive and neuropsychological tests, including more detailed nonverbal memory, executive functioning, and emotional information processing, and a longitudinal study design are recommended to have a more fine-grained assessment of correlation between resilience and neurocognitive profiles.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a research fellowship award from the American Psychiatric Institute for Research & Education and an NIH grant UL RR025008 (to APW) and the National Institutes of Mental Health (MH071537). Support was also received from the Emory and Grady Memorial Hospital General Clinical Research Center, NIH National Centers for Research Resources (M01RR00039), the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention (BB) and the Burroughs Wellcome Fund (KJR). We thank the participants who graciously shared their stories and their time, and our colleagues Allen Graham, India Karapanou, Angelo Brown, Lamya Koury, Lauren Sands, Justine Phifer, Daniel Crain, Nineequa Blanding, Betsy Crowe, Dorothie Ortigo, Kyle Ortigo, and Kate Thomas for excellent assistance and support.

#### References

- Breslau N, Kessler RC, Chilcoat HD, et al. Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the Community: The 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1998; 55:626–632. [PubMed: 9672053]
- 2. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Causal Relationship Between Stressful Life Events and the Onset of Major Depression. Am J Psychiatry. 1999; 156:837–841. [PubMed: 10360120]
- Weiss EL, Longhurst JG, Mazure CM. Childhood Sexual Abuse as a Risk Factor for Depression in Women: Psychosocial and Neurobiological Correlates. Am J Psychiatry. 1999; 156:816–828. [PubMed: 10360118]

- McQuaid JR, Pedrelli P, McCahill ME, Stein MB. Reported trauma, post-traumatic stress disorder and major depression among primary care patients. Psychological Medicine. 2001; 31:1249–57. [PubMed: 11681551]
- Alim TN, Graves E, Mellman TA, et al. Trauma exposure, posttraumatic stress disorder and depression in an African-American primary care population. Journal of the National Medical Association. 2006; 98:1630–6. [PubMed: 17052054]
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, et al. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995; 52:1048–1060. [PubMed: 7492257]
- Lizardi H, Klein DN, Ouimette PC, et al. Reports of the childhood home environment in early-onset dysthymia and episodic major depression. Journal of Abnormal Psychology. 1995; 104:132–9. [PubMed: 7897035]
- Ritchie K, Jaussent I, Stewart R, et al. Association of adverse childhood environment with late-life depression. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2009; 70:1281–1288. [PubMed: 19573496]
- Bernet CZ, Stein MB. Relationship of childhood maltreatment to the onset and course of major depression in adulthood. Depression & Anxiety. 1999; 9:169–74. [PubMed: 10431682]
- Wiersma JE, Hovens JG, van Oppen P, et al. The importance of childhood trauma and childhood life events for chronicity of depression in adults. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry. 2009; 70:983–9. [PubMed: 19653975]
- Collishaw S, Pickles A, Messer J, et al. Resilience to adult psychopathology following childhood maltreatment: Evidence from a community sample. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2007; 31:211–229. [PubMed: 17399786]
- Alim TN, Feder A, Graves RE, et al. Trauma, Resilience, and Recovery in a High-Risk African-American Population. Am J Psychiatry. 2008; 165:1566–1575. [PubMed: 19015233]
- Yehuda R, Flory JD, Southwick S, Charney DS. Developing an agenda for translational studies of resilience and vulnerability following trauma exposure. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2006; 1071:379–96. [PubMed: 16891584]
- Boardman J, Blalock C, Button T. Sex differences in the heritability of resilience. Twin Res Hum Genet. 2008; 11:12–27. [PubMed: 18251671]
- Yehuda R, Brand S, Yang RK. Plasma neuropeptide Y concentrations in combat exposed veterans: relationship to trauma exposure, recovery from PTSD, and coping. Biological Psychiatry. 2006; 59:660–3. [PubMed: 16325152]
- Yi JP, Smith RE, Vitaliano PP. Stress-resilience, illness, and coping: A person-focused investigation of young women athletes. Journal of Behavioral Medicine. 2005; 28:257–265. [PubMed: 16015460]
- Stein M, Campbell-Sills L, Gelernter J. Genetic variation in 5HTTLPR is associated with emotional resilience. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics. 2009; 150B:900–906.
- Campbell-Sills L, Forde DR, Stein MB. Demographic and childhood environmental predictors of resilience in a community sample. Journal of Psychiatric Research. 2009; 43:1007–1012. [PubMed: 19264325]
- Fincham DS, Altes LK, Stein DJ, Seedat S. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adolescents: risk factors versus resilience moderation. Comprehensive Psychiatry. 2009; 50:193–199. [PubMed: 19374961]
- 20. Rutter M. Implications of resilience concepts for scientific understanding. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2006; 1094:1–12. [PubMed: 17347337]
- Feder A, Nestler EJ, Charney DS. Psychobiology and molecular genetics of resilience. Nature Reviews Neuroscience. 2009; 10:446–57.
- Katz M, Liu C, Schaer M, et al. Prefrontal Plasticity and Stress Inoculation-Induced Resilience. Developmental Neuroscience. 2009; 31:293–299. [PubMed: 19546566]
- 23. Lyons DM, Parker KJ, Katz M, Schatzberg AF. Developmental cascades linking stress inoculation, arousal regulation, and resilience. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience. 2009; 3
- Barnea-Goraly N, Menon V, Eckert M, et al. White matter development during childhood and adolescence: a cross-sectional diffusion tensor imaging study. Cerebral Cortex. 2005; 15:1848–54. [PubMed: 15758200]

- 25. Binder EB, Bradley RG, Liu W, et al. Association of FKBP5 polymorphisms and childhood abuse with risk of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults. JAMA. 2008; 299:1291–305. [PubMed: 18349090]
- 26. Bradley RG, Binder EB, Epstein MP, et al. Influence of child abuse on adult depression: moderation by the corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor gene. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2008; 65:190–200. [see comment]. [PubMed: 18250257]
- Reynolds, CR.; Kamphaus, RW. Reynolds Intellectual Assessment Scales and the Reynolds Intellectual Screening Test. Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.; Lutz, FL: 2003.
- Bernstein, DP.; Fink, L. Childhood Trauma Questionnaire Manual. Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1998.
- Bernstein DP, Stein JA, Newcomb MD, et al. Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. Child Abuse & Neglect. 2003; 27:169–90. [PubMed: 12615092]
- Schwartz AC, Bradley RL, Sexton M, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder among African Americans in an inner city mental health clinic. Psychiatric Services. 2005; 56:212–5. [PubMed: 15703352]
- Gillespie CF, Bradley RG, Mercer K, et al. Trauma exposure and stress-related disorders in inner city primary care patients. General Hospital Psychiatry. 2009; 31:505–514. [PubMed: 19892208]
- 32. Beck A, Ward C, Mendelson M, et al. An inventory for measuring depression. Archives of General Psychiatry. 1961; 4:561–71. [PubMed: 13688369]
- Foa EB, Tolin DF. Comparison of the PTSD Symptom Scale-Interview Version and the Clinician-Administered PTSD scale. Journal of Traumatic Stress. 2000; 13:181–91. [PubMed: 10838669]
- Foa EB, Riggs DS, Dancu CV, Rothbaum BO. Reliability and validity of a brief instrument for assessing post-traumatic stress disorder. Journal of Traumatic Stress. 1993; 6:459–473.
- Nishith P, Resick PA, Griffin MG, et al. Pattern of change in prolonged exposure and cognitiveprocessing therapy for female rape victims with posttraumatic stress disorder. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology. 2002; 70:880–6. [PubMed: 12182271]
- Messman-Moore TL, Resick PA. Brief treatment of complicated PTSD and peritraumatic responses in a client with repeated sexual victimization. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice. 2002; 9:89–99.
- Wechsler, D. WAIS-III: Administration and scoring manual: Wechsler adult intelligence scale. 3rd. Psychological Corporation, Inc.; 1997.
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Third edition. 3rd. The Psychological Corporation; 1991.
- 39. Lipsey, MW.; Wilson, DB. Practical meta-analysis. SAGE Publications, Inc.; 2001.
- 40. Fergusson DM, Lynskey MT. Adolescent resiliency to family adversity. Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines. 1996; 37:281–92.
- Breslau N, Lucia VC, Alvarado GF. Intelligence and other predisposing factors in exposure to trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: a follow-up study at age 17 years. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2006; 63:1238–45. [PubMed: 17088504]
- 42. Koenen KC, Moffitt TE, Poulton R, et al. Early childhood factors associated with the development of post-traumatic stress disorder: results from a longitudinal birth cohort. Psychological Medicine. 2007; 37:181–92. [see comment]. [PubMed: 17052377]
- Stein MB, Kennedy CM, Twamley EW. Neuropsychological function in female victims of intimate partner violence with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. Biological Psychiatry. 2002; 52:1079–1088. [PubMed: 12460691]
- 44. Jelinek L, Jacobsen D, Kellner M, et al. Verbal and nonverbal memory functioning in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Journal of Clinical & Experimental Neuropsychology: Official Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society. 2006; 28:940–8.
- 46. Dalgleish T, Taghavi R, Neshat-Doost H, et al. Patterns of processing bias for emotional information across clinical disorders: a comparison of attention, memory, and prospective

cognition in children and adolescents with depression, generalized anxiety, and posttraumatic stress disorder. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol. 2003; 32:10–21. [PubMed: 12573928]

- Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD, Keyes M, et al. Contingency awareness and fear inhibition in a human fear-potentiated startle paradigm. Behavioral Neuroscience. 2006; 120:995–1004. [PubMed: 17014251]
- Gillespie CF, Phifer J, Bradley B, Ressler KJ. Risk and resilience: genetic and environmental influences on development of the stress response. Depression & Anxiety. 2009; 26:984–92. [PubMed: 19750552]

#### Table 1

Sociodemographic characteristics of the resilient versus nonresilient groups

Characteristics	Resilience (n=105)	Nonresilience (n =121)	Statistic	р
Age (mean $\pm$ SD <sup><i>d</i></sup> )	$44.0\pm14.0$	$45.0\pm10.1$	WRS <sup>b</sup> =11945	0.870
Sex (female)	54 (52.4%)	76 (63.9%)	$\chi^2 = 3.0$	0.084
Race			$\chi^{2} = 3.3$	0.071
African American	96 (91.4%)	100 (83.3%)		
Others	9 (8.6%)	20 (16.7%)		
Education			$\chi^2 = 2.2$	0.324
Highschool or below	68 (64.8%)	75 (63.0%)		
Some college or technical school	24 (22.9%)	35 (29.4%)		
College graduates or graduate school	13 (12.4%)	9 (7.6%)		
Employment			$\chi^{2} = 7.7$	0.006
Employed	33 (31.4%)	19 (15.8%)		
Unemployed	72 (68.6%)	101 (84.2%)		
Income per month			$\chi^{2} = 18.8$	0.0003
0 - 249	20 (19.4%)	44 (37.9%)		
250 – 999	37 (35.9%)	48 (41.4%)		
1000 - 1999	35 (34.0%)	14 (12.1%)		
≥ 2000	11 (10.7%)	10 (8.6%)		
Relationship status			$\chi^{2} = 0.6$	0.448
Married	15 (14.3%)	13 (10.9%)		
Others (single, divorced, widowed)	90 (85.7%)	106 (89.1%)		
Childhood abuse			$\chi^2 = 29.8$	< 0.0001
None or mild	71 (69.6%)	37 (34.9%)		
1moderate-to-severe type of abuse	20 (19.6%)	27 (25.5%)		
$\geq$ 2 moderate-to-severe types of abuse	11 (10.8%)	42 (39.6%)		
Other types of trauma (mean $\pm$ SD <sup><i>d</i></sup> )	4.0 ± 2.5	5.9 ± 3.0	WRS <sup><i>a</i></sup> = 8997	<0.0001
Having past suicide attempts	6 (5.8%)	40 (33.9%)	$\chi^2 = 26.3$	< 0.0001

<sup>a</sup>SD: standard deviation

<sup>b</sup>WRS: Wilcoxon Rank Sum test

Wingo et al.

# Table 2

Neurocognitive performance (t scores) among resilient versus nonresilient individuals

Neurocognitive domain Resilience (n = 105) Nonresilience (n = 121)					
	ience (n = 105)	Nonresilience (n = 121)	Statistic <sup>a</sup>	р	$\mathrm{ES}^{b}$
Verbal reasoning	$41.6 \pm 8.6$	$40.8 \pm 7.2$	t = -0.78	0.438	0.10
Nonverbal reasoning	$46.3 \pm 8.6$	$47.5 \pm 9.3$	$WRS^{C} = 11319$	0.375	-0.13
Verbal memory 4	$46.8\pm10.0$	$46.4\pm10.3$	$WRS^{C} = 11838$	0.612	0.04
Nonverbal memory	$47.7 \pm 9.8$	$43.8 \pm 12.1$	$WRS^{C} = 12801$	0.016 0.35	0.35

Data shown as mean  $\pm$  SD

 $^{a}$ Statistic test to compare neurocognitive performance between resilience and nonresilience groups

 $b_{ES} = standardized mean difference effect size$ 

 $^{\rm C}$  Wilcoxon Rank Sum test for non-normally distributed continuous variables

#### Table 3

Multiple logistic regression model for resilience

Factors	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p value
Childhood abuse:			
none/mild vs. $\geq 2$ moderate/severe types of abuse	5.2	2.1 - 12.6	0.0003
1 vs. $\geq$ 2 moderate/severe types of abuse	2.4	0.9 – 6.7	0.079
none/mild vs. 1 moderate/severe type of abuse	2.1	1.0 - 4.6	0.059
Other traumas	0.8	0.7 – 0.9	0.001
Nonverbal memory	1.2	1.03 – 1.3	0.017
Sex (male vs. female)	1.9	0.9 – 3.7	0.076
Race (African Americans vs. other races)	1.5	0.5 - 4.6	0.499