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Intercellular Communication in the Nervous System Goes Viral
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Viruses and transposable elements are major drivers of evolution and make up over half the sequences in the human genome. In some cases, these elements are co-opted to perform biological functions for the host. Recent studies made the surprising observation that the neuronal gene Arc forms virus-like protein capsids that can transfer RNA between neurons to mediate a novel intercellular communication pathway. Phylogenetic analyses showed that mammalian Arc is derived from an ancient retrotransposon of the Ty3/gypsy family and contains homology to the retroviral Gag polyproteins. The Drosophila Arc homologs, which are independently derived from the same family of retrotransposons, also mediate cell-to-cell signaling of RNA at the neuromuscular junction; a striking example of convergent evolution. Here we propose an Arc ‘life cycle’, based on what is known about retroviral Gag, and discuss how elucidating these biological processes may lead to novel insights into brain plasticity and memory.

Retroviral Origins of Synaptic Plasticity and Memory

Brains encode and store information from the outside world but how these processes evolved remains unclear. Sequencing of the human genome revealed that as much as 50% of the non-protein-coding sequences have viral or transposable element (TE) (see Glossary) origins [1]. In some cases, these random sequence insertions lead to the generation of new genes with important functions [2,3]. While characterizing the biochemistry of the mammalian immediate early gene Arc, a key mediator of synaptic plasticity and cognition [4], we unexpectedly found that Arc protein forms virus-like protein capsids (Figure 1A,B) that can transfer RNA between neurons in a previously unknown intercellular communication pathway mediated by specialized extracellular vesicles (EVs) [5]. Phylogenetic analyses by a number of research groups showed that Arc is derived from an ancient retrotransposon of the Ty3/gypsy family (Figure 1C) [5–7]. The Gag polyproteins of HIV and other retroviruses are also related to Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons and share structural homology with Arc [6,8,9]. Moreover, a number of genes in animals are predicted to have similar homology to Gag [8] and may have retained similar biology as Arc, suggesting that virus-like intercellular communication may be used in a variety of cell types and physiological processes. These novel cell-to-cell signaling pathways may reveal new mechanisms of information transfer between cells, but much of the cell biology of these processes is unknown.

In the brain, Arc intercellular signaling may mediate a noncanonical pathway required for information encoding and storage. We propose that aspects of long-term synaptic plasticity and memory may share similarities with the cell biological processes used by retroviruses. Here, we discuss the ‘life cycle’ of Arc (Figure 2), comparing it to retrovirus virion assembly and trafficking. We speculate how neurons may use Arc’s virus-like properties to regulate memory.

Highlights

Ancient viruses and mobile transposable genetic elements have left permanent marks on animal genomes. Evolution has repurposed some of these elements to mediate important biological processes.

A gene critical for memory consolidation and synaptic plasticity, Arc, encodes a repurposed retrotransposon Gag protein that forms virus-like protein capsids. Arc is released from neurons in specialized extracellular vesicles that are able to transfer RNA between cells; a previously unknown type of intercellular communication. Here, we propose an Arc ‘life cycle’ based on known functions of retroviral Gag.

Arc-dependent intercellular communication may alter cellular processes through the transfer of various RNAs. This pathway may be important for synaptic plasticity, memory and the spread of pathology during neurodegeneration.

The human genome contains ~100 genes that contain homology to Gag and over 1500 Gag open reading frames encoded as endogenous retroviruses, suggesting that virus-like intercellular communication may regulate many biological processes. We posit that there may be a repertoire of endogenous genes that code for proteins capable of assembling into capsids, which generate specialized extracellular vesicles with different cargoes.
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The Neuronal Gene \textit{Arc} Encodes a Repurposed Retrotransposon Gag Protein

Viruses have influenced the evolution of animal genes through several mechanisms, including evolution of specific immune defenses to combat viruses and direct gene transfer that can integrate into a host’s genome \cite{10}. The latter is particularly evident for retrotransposons and retroviruses, which if inserted into the host’s germline, can lead to permanent changes in the infected organism’s progeny \cite{11}. The Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons are members of ancient RNA-based self-replicating TEs that are present in animal, plant, and fungal kingdoms. Retrotransposons are considered either ancestral to, or derived from, modern retroviruses \cite{11}, but the line that distinguishes them is blurry. Retrotransposons share sequence and structural similarities to bona fide retroviruses, and many contain virus-like genes \cite{1}. The main distinction lies in the ability of retroviruses to spread from organism-to-organism, whereas retrotransposons are usually only transmitted through the germline.

Over half the human genome is composed of sequences derived from viruses or TEs \cite{1}. Some of these sequences encode genes that enable virus-like propagation and spread, termed \textit{endogenous retroviruses} (ERVs) \cite{11}. The genomes of virtually all animals are laden with ERVs and TEs.
time, ERV genomes are often mutated and become inert. These remnants may no longer be capable of transposition and eventually encode genes that become beneficial for the organism. For example, multiple retroviral envelope genes were co-opted during mammalian evolution to promote the cell–cell fusion events essential for placental development [12]. The molecular functions of repurposed genes often relate to the original role of the viral gene in the virus replication cycle [13].

Retroviral Gag proteins contain three major elements that perform specific functions during viral assembly: MA (matrix) mediates membrane interactions; CA (capsid) mediates virion assembly; and NC (nucleocapsid) binds viral RNA. Arc has both sequence [8] and structural similarity to the retroviral CA element [6,9]. Sequence analyses suggest that Arc may also contain an MA element, but lacks a canonical NC element for specific viral RNA interactions. However, the HIV MA element also interacts with viral RNA [14] and other retroviruses, such as foamy viruses, have evolved various RNA binding motifs [15]. Bioinformatic analysis revealed that the Drosophila Arc homologs (dArc1 and dArc2) also have a conserved CA element [7], which is corroborated by recent structure studies [16,17]. Tetrapod Arc genes cluster with Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons from fish, whereas the fly Arc homologs cluster with a separate lineage of Ty3/gypsy retrotransposons from insects (Figure 1C). This suggests that the tetrapod and fly Arc genes originated independently from distinct lineages yet share significant homology in their Gag-like regions. dArc1 protein is packaged within EVs and transfers RNA from the presynaptic neuromuscular junction synapse into postsynaptic muscle cells [18].

While mammalian Arc is localized predominately postsynaptically in the brain, it is unclear whether dArc is expressed pre- or postsynaptically in the fly brain. Purified dArc proteins form structures that are similar to those formed by mammalian Arc [5], indicating that the ability to form capsids is evolutionarily conserved. The atomic resolution model of fly Arc capsids (Figure 1B) show that these capsids are structurally similar to known retrovirus capsids [17]. Thus, the fly and tetrapod Arc genes provide a striking example of convergent evolution to regulate intercellular communication in neurons.
**Arc – a Key Mediator of Long-term Synaptic Plasticity and Memory**

Arc is a key component of the gene program induced by learning that is required for long-term synaptic plasticity and memory consolidation [4,19,20]. Specific memories are thought to be encoded by distinct neurons that are active during learning that then ultimately form a unique circuit through synaptic plasticity mechanisms to create the memory engram [21]. Consolidation and storage of memory requires de novo protein synthesis of a unique gene expression program in these neurons [22]. Current dogma suggests that synapse-specific plasticity is essential for creating memory circuits, but how the gene program contributes to memory storage and consolidation remains unclear. Arc expression is highly dynamic: transcription in neuronal circuits is tightly coupled to learning in vivo [23] and Arc mRNA is transported to dendrites where it is locally translated in response to neuronal activity [24]. One mechanism of Arc function is to regulate AMPA-type glutamate receptor (AMPAR) trafficking via direct interactions with the endocytic machinery that includes AP-2, dynamin, and endophilin [25,26]. Arc-dependent AMPAR trafficking is critical for maintaining optimal synaptic strength through homeostatic synaptic scaling in response to chronic changes in neuronal activity [27]. This may prevent saturation of synaptic strength, for example due to run-away long-term potentiation (LTP), allowing a single neuron to encode multiple memories through potentiation of specific synapses without dramatically changing the overall excitability and output. In addition, Arc knockout (KO) mice are unable to learn from visual experience [28–30] and show various cognitive impairments [31]. This implies that Arc, which is regulated downstream of many activity-dependent signaling pathways, is one of the main effector proteins required for transforming experiences into long-lasting changes in the brain.

The observation that Arc protein forms virus-like capsids suggested that Arc mediates a previously unknown form of intercellular communication [5]. Purified Arc capsids encapsidate RNA, including Arc mRNA. Endogenous Arc from brain associates with its own mRNA and is released in EVs from primary rat and mouse cortical neurons. Recombinant purified Arc capsids and endogenous Arc-containing EVs are able to transfer rat Arc mRNA into Arc-KO mouse neurons. Thus, mammalian Arc has retained biochemical oligomerization and transport functions of the ancestral Gag protein [5]. *Drosophila* dArc1 transfers RNA in EVs from the neuromuscular synapse to muscle cells [18]. Thus, evolution has repurposed Gag retrotransposons at least twice to regulate synaptic function. The biology of these Arc-dependent intercellular pathways remains to be uncovered, including the functional cargo and the message that is conveyed. In contrast, much is known about viral Gag protein function in retrovirus biology. Here we use this known retroviral Gag biology as a template to speculate on the Arc ‘life cycle’ (Figure 2) and how this may lead to new hypotheses on the neurobiological basis of memory formation.

**A Proposed Model for the Arc ‘Life Cycle’**

**Capsid Assembly and Structure**

Gag is the central structural polyprotein of retrotransposons and retroviruses [14]. The Arc genes have strong structural homology with Gag CA [6], which is necessary and sufficient to form capsids that encapsidate RNAs. dArc capsids are 37 nm in diameter, and composed of 240 monomers that oligomerize to form a protein shell with icosahedral structure [17] (Figure 1B). Amphipathic helices on the N terminus of these capsids project at regular intervals in spikes, suggesting a mechanism for membrane interaction. Some N termini are buried within the capsid core and may interact with encapsidated RNAs. Mammalian Arc capsids resemble dArc under negative stain electron microscopy, but an atomic resolution structure remains to be determined. It is remarkable that the biochemical and structural features of Gag CA have been maintained in these genes; ~400 million years for mammalian Arc.
Where capsid assembly and release occurs in situ will determine the cargo and membrane composition of released vesicles. This information is critical for understanding their targeting and signaling roles. It is likely that the oligomeric state of Arc is tightly controlled. One point of regulation may be the local concentration of Arc monomers in the cell. Viral Gag capsid formation requires local concentrations that are seeded by protein–membrane or protein–nucleic acid interactions [32]. Synaptic activity promotes transcription of Arc mRNA, which is trafficked to active synapses where it undergoes activity-dependent translation creating a highly concentrated pool of Arc protein [4]. This rapid and focal translation may lead to conditions favoring capsid formation near neuronal synapses. Interactions with other host proteins may also regulate Arc capsid formation. For example, interaction with the NMDA-type glutamate receptor inhibits oligomerization of Arc protein through direct binding of the Arc capsid domain [33]. Capsid formation could also be regulated by post-translational modifications. A prime candidate is Arc palmitoylation [34], which could seed the formation of Arc capsids at membranes in a similar manner to the myristoylation of HIV MA [35]. Indeed, the N-terminal MA-like region of Arc has recently been shown to be important for oligomerization [36]. In neurons, palmitoylation is dynamic [37], suggesting that assembly could be controlled by neuronal activity. Capsid assembly may also be controlled by phosphorylation, as calcium-calmodulin kinase II phosphorylation of Arc prevents oligomerization, and knock-in mice with mutations that prevent phosphorylation show deficits in synaptic plasticity and memory [38].

Capsid Encapsulation of RNA

Purified Arc capsids contain RNA, including Arc mRNA. Retroviral Gags have evolved to preferentially encapsidate viral RNA, using a specific packaging signal called the psi domain that binds to zinc finger motifs in NCs [39]. However, RNA interactions can also occur through nonspecific electrostatic interactions through the basic MA element [14]. Do Arc capsids contain specific RNA cargo? Mammalian Arc contains a highly basic N-terminal region but does not contain homology to NCs [40]. This suggests there may be nonspecific RNA interactions and hints that RNA packaging into Arc capsids may not be sequence specific. However, we also observe that Arc mRNA is consistently encapsidated or associated with Arc protein across bacterial expression systems, cultured cells, and mouse cortical tissue [5].

There are several mechanisms by which Arc mRNAs could be selectively packaged into Arc capsids. For example, dArc1 requires the 3′ UTR to package dArc1 mRNA [18] and dArc1 also contains a conserved NC [17]. dArc2 lacks this motif [17] indicating differences in cargo selectivity. Packaging specificity may also arise from Arc–RNA interactions that facilitate Arc oligomerization and capsid formation. Arc does not form capsids when the purified protein is stripped of nucleic acids via polyethylenimine and ammonium sulfate precipitation [5], suggesting that RNA may nucleate Arc capsid formation. The trafficking and stability of Arc mRNA is complex, suggesting that there may be distinct pools of mRNA dedicated to specific functions [41]. Some proportion of Arc mRNA is rapidly transported to active dendrites in RNA granules where translation is repressed, whereas some mRNA is immediately translated in the soma. Arc mRNA has also been shown to undergo nonsense mediated decay after translation [42], which could potentially tune how much mRNA is encapsidated. Thus, RNA packaging may be dictated by specific RNA pools present at subcellular locations of Arc translation (Figure 3). How these distinct pools relate to capsid assembly and mRNA encapsidation remain to be determined.

Surprisingly, half of the RNA encapsidated by nascent retroviruses are not viral genomes, but instead host-derived RNAs [43]. The majority of these host-derived RNAs are noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) <300 nucleotides in length, including tRNAs, rRNAs, and small nuclear RNAs. [43]. Ectopically expressed mRNAs can be packaged and transferred in Arc capsids [5], and it is likely
that other endogenous RNAs are transferred between cells by Arc. Along with RNAs, retroviruses incorporate host proteins during virion biogenesis [44]. Arc associates with multiple proteins that regulate synaptic activity and neuronal function, including PSD95, TARPγ2, and CaMKII [6,45], but it is unclear whether these proteins associate with Arc capsids or incorporate into Arc EVs. Given that Arc is present in the nucleus, soma and dendrites of neurons, the extreme spatial-temporal expression of Arc could result in a variety of cargo.

Release from Cells in EVs

Retroviral capsids take advantage of host cell biology, such as EVs, to exit the cell. We propose that a major function of Gag-like genes is to produce a unique set of EVs that carry specific cargo involved in intercellular communication. These endogenous EVs use similar mechanisms of virion biogenesis, through the formation of capsids. How Arc capsids become enveloped in EVs is an important mechanistic question, with critical implications for how they recognize target cells and transfer information. In principle, Arc capsids may become enveloped by any of the different cellular pathways that create EVs. For example, exosomes first bud into endosomal compartments termed multivesicular bodies (MVBs), which are then released in bulk when the MVB fuses with the plasma membrane. Little is known about exosome release from neurons, although MVBs are prevalent in synapses and dendrites [46–48]. Thus, Arc EVs may be released directly from the cell surface (like in HIV budding) or through an exosome pathway.

HIV capsids use the endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) proteins for release [49]. EVs can be released using a variety of mechanisms that include ESCRT activity and
ESCRRT-independent ceramide-mediated budding from sphingolipid and sterol-rich membrane microdomains [50]. Arc does not contain a classic retrovirus ESCRRT interaction domain and may be released by non-ESCRRT mechanisms. Another EV release pathway involves autophagy. Conventional autophagy pathways degrade proteins and organelles through autophagosomes, which fuse with lysosomes. However, recent observations have shown that autophagosomes can be targeted to the cell membrane, releasing materials in EVs [51–53]. This pathway uses components of the autophagy machinery to selectively package RNA and proteins, although the function of this pathway is largely unknown [53]. Interestingly, rats show increased expression of both Arc and autophagy proteins following a learning task [54]. Additionally, blocking the autophagy pathway leads to deficits in long-term memory and improves when mice are perfused with the activating peptide Beclin1 [55]. When Arc is downregulated the autophagy pathway is no longer recruited after learning, linking Arc and autophagy. These observations suggest that Arc capsids might be released through a non-canonical autophagy pathway to regulate long-term memory formation.

It is clear that EVs can be released from multiple internal membrane compartments. Retroviruses also show a variety of release mechanisms, assemble capsids in different cellular compartments and interact with many different host proteins [56]. Thus, we envisage that Gag-like genes have evolved unique mechanisms of cellular release that are integral to the processes governed by these proteins. Differences in assembly and release would ultimately lead to key differences in EV contents, providing an essential cargo sorting mechanism.

Capsid Delivery
Enveloped viruses enter cells using specific virus-encoded fusogenic proteins that confer cell-type specificity and mediate virus–cell fusion to deliver the capsid into the cytoplasm. For example, the HIV envelope glycoprotein binds cellular CD4, which is expressed on the surfaces of target T cells [57]. Arc EVs can deliver Arc mRNA into recipient cell cytosols that can then be translated [5,18]. Arc mRNA transfer is much more efficient in neurons than in HEK293 cells [5], indicating some specificity of uptake or transfer. Surprisingly, purified Arc capsids that lack membranes can deliver cargo into neurons. This is a regulated process that is endocytosis dependent, which suggests a mechanism that may require a surface receptor interaction. It remains to be determined how Arc capsids escape the endosome prior to degradation. Intriguingly, dArc capsids contain amphipathic regions that extend from the capsid surface in spikes [17], reminiscent of viral amphipathic membrane-penetrating proteins [58]. The mammalian Arc N terminus changes structure upon exposure to low pH conditions [40]. Thus, it is possible that Arc utilizes membrane-penetrating mechanisms, rather than membrane fusion, to deliver its contents into the cell.

Capsid Disassembly and Cargo Transfer
Virus capsid disassembly is a complicated process that requires host factors. In the case of retroviruses, once delivered into the cytoplasm, viral RNAs must be reverse transcribed into DNA and trafficked to the nucleus. HIV capsids interact with microtubule machinery to facilitate postentry trafficking and disassemble either during trafficking, or upon docking at the nuclear pore complex [59]. Capsid disassembly allows reverse-transcribed viral DNA to be released and enter the nucleus through the nuclear pore complex, which has to be tightly coordinated in time. Once delivered, HIV DNAs embed into the cell’s genome; leading to the expression of several viral proteins that are not on the Gag polyprotein to subvert cellular immune responses and hijack host translational machinery [60,61]. In doing so, delivered DNAs begin the process of producing progeny viruses in the newly infected cell. While we do not predict that Arc can highjack cellular translation machinery, Arc may contain a putative internal ribosome entry site (IRES), which could allow preferential non-cap-dependent translation [62].
The fate of Arc capsids after cytoplasmic delivery is unclear. Arc protein has been observed in the nucleus of neurons [63] and it is possible that Arc capsids traffic to the nucleus. However, since the main cargo seems to be RNA there would be little need to localize to the nucleus. While the premature disassembly of the HIV capsid by TRIM5α in the cytoplasm blocks virus infection [64], Arc-delivered RNAs may need to disassemble in the cytoplasm for cargo to be translated. Thus, TRIM5α and other proteins known to interact with retrovirus capsids may also be involved in regulating Gag-derived capsid disassembly.

Concluding Remarks
Viruses have been intricately intwined with the evolution of life. While the viral or TE origins of some genes are clear, whether the proteins encoded by these genes retain their ancestral functions has remained unknown. The ability of the Arc genes to encode proteins that retain the ancestral capsid function, suggests a new mechanism for the biogenesis of a subset of EVs through virus-like capsids. EV signaling has emerged as a critical component of a diverse array of biological processes; from plant defenses against microbes [65] to the spread of pathology in neurodegenerative disorders [66]. However, the mechanisms of EV biogenesis and signaling are poorly understood [67], especially in the brain. In particular, it remains unclear how EVs sort and enrich for specific cargo. Similar to retroviruses, these endogenous capsids can enrich for specific cargo and dictate release/uptake mechanisms. The Arc genes represent the first known examples of repurposed TEs that encode for endogenous proteins that can form capsids, but this may be the tip of the iceberg; there are ~100 Gag-like genes [8] and over 1500 putative Gag open reading frames contained in ERVs in the human genome [68]. Few of these genes have been extensively studied and it remains to be determined whether they have also retained virus-like properties (Table 1). Some of these genes are expressed in the brain, such as RTL4/Sirh11, which regulates noradrenaline levels in the prefrontal cortex and plays a role in spatial working memory [69]. The Gag-like gene RTL2/Peg10 is involved in promoting cell proliferation and cell-to-cell RNA trafficking [70]. The paraneoplastic Ma antigen genes (PNMAs) are another family of genes found in the CNS [71,72] that encode proteins that have homology to Gag [8]. An exciting direction of research will be to determine whether the functions of Gag-like proteins also involve capsid biology. It is intriguing to speculate that multiple capsid proteins facilitate distinct forms of intercellular communication in specific cell types and in various organs.

Table 1. Known Biological Activities of Gag-like Proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gag-like gene</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Tissue expression</th>
<th>Biological activities</th>
<th>Virus-like properties</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc</td>
<td>All land vertebrates and some insects</td>
<td>Brain, testes, and dendritic cells</td>
<td>Regulates endocytosis at synapses to tune synaptic strength. Essential for long-term memory.</td>
<td>Forms virus-like particles and transfers RNA between cells.</td>
<td>[4,5,18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNMA4/MOAP1</td>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>Promotes apoptosis</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL1/Peg11</td>
<td>Eutherian mammals</td>
<td>Adrenal gland, brain, and placenta</td>
<td>Essential to maintain placental capillaries.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL2/Peg10</td>
<td>Eutherian mammals</td>
<td>Placenta, adrenal gland, pituitary gland, and brain</td>
<td>Promotes cell proliferation, inhibits apoptosis, and blocks TGF-β signaling. Contributes to tumorigenesis.</td>
<td>Transfers RNA between cells, unknown if can form capsids.</td>
<td>[70,93,94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL3/Sirh9</td>
<td>Eutherian mammals</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>Controls collagen release through transcriptional regulation.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL4/Sirh11</td>
<td>Eutherian mammals</td>
<td>Brain, testes, ovaries, kidneys, and liver</td>
<td>Regulates noradrenaline levels and influences spatial working memory.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL7/Sirh7/Ldoc1</td>
<td>Eutherian mammals</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>Controls placental development and suppresses immune signaling.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[96,97]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As determined by sequence homology.

*As found in the Human Protein Atlas (https://www.proteinatlas.org/).
Since mammalian Arc seems to function in multiple aspects of synaptic plasticity, it will be critical to tease out the specific functions of capsid versus monomeric Arc. Arc capsids are released in an activity-dependent manner and can be taken up by other neurons [5]. Does Arc release regulate cells in the local environment or act as a long-range signal? The function of intercellular Arc signaling will be determined by the functional cargo being transferred (Figure 3). Delivered Arc mRNA can be translated, and thus the downstream consequence of capsid uptake at neighboring synapses could result in Arc-mediated AMPAR endocytosis and synapse weakening. Arc has already been implicated in the removal of AMPA receptors from weak synapses on the same dendrite in a form of heterosynaptic depression [73,74]. However, Arc mRNA transfer between synapses could mediate heterosynaptic plasticity at the circuit level, facilitating the stabilization of memory circuits by weakening connections with surrounding neurons that were not active during learning. Alternatively, Arc capsids could deliver ncRNAs that could alter protein expression in recipient cells. For example, neurons express a variety of miRNAs in an activity-dependent manner and some miRNAs are expressed in dendrites [75,76]. Arc may package select miRNAs depending on the prior activity history of a particular neuron. We speculate that Arc EVs could thus contain distinct cargo and read out the activity status of neurons at the circuit level. Given the relatively small capacity of Arc capsids for nucleic acids, small RNAs could be packaged at high enough concentrations to significantly alter cellular processes in recipient cells.

Whether intercellular Arc plays a direct role in memory or synaptic plasticity remains to be tested. In addition to functions in the brain, Arc transcripts are also found in blood serum [77] and expressed in dendritic immune cells, which are important for aspects of the immune response [78]. A recent study found that Arc is released from peripheral dorsal root ganglion neurons, which may be important for controlling neuroinflammation in the skin [79]. Intriguingly, preliminary studies also suggest that an active Gypsy retrotransposon in Caenorhabditis elegans can mediate the transfer of avoidance memory through the formation of virus-like capsids [80].

While the functions of the Drosophila Arc proteins have not been as extensively studied as in mammals, there are some striking similarities between them and mammalian Arc that could shed light on the function of intercellular Arc signaling. dArc1 and dArc2 expression is upregulated by neuronal activity [81–83]; dArc1 is involved in synaptic plasticity [18] and dArc2 has been implicated in memory [81]. Additionally, neurons expressing dArc regulate the metabolic state and responses to starvation in flies [82,83]. The physiological role of the dArc capsids and intercellular communication in these phenotypes remains to be determined. However, the conservation of the Gag capsid domain and the ability to form retrovirus-like capsids suggest strong evolutionary pressure to maintain capsid biology.

Accumulating evidence suggests that ERVs may become expressed during aging and contribute to neurological diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease, multiple sclerosis, and Aicardi–Goutieres syndrome [84,85]. EVs have been proposed as one mechanism by which pathology is spread over time [86]. Arc may also be involved in the spread of pathology in neurodegenerative diseases; evidence is accumulating that this spread occurs via synaptic connections, and is modulated by neuronal activity [57]. Arc itself interacts with the amyloid precursor protein and facilitates its processing into pathogenic β-amyloid [88], which may include packaging into EVs. Pathogenic misfolded proteins like tau can also induce ERV expression [89], and the expression of ERVs in the mouse hippocampus coincides with memory decline [90]. In addition to Arc misregulation, it is possible that Gag proteins expressed by ERVs could contribute to spread of pathology through abnormal intercellular communication or interfere with the signaling of endogenous Gag proteins.
The recent progress in gene editing tools, such as CRISPR, promises to significantly impact genetic diseases. However, an intractable obstacle in the translation to gene therapy remains: how to safely and efficiently deliver nucleic acids. Established gene delivery methods rely on modified viruses, and are limited by host immune reactions, inadequate target specificity and small cargo loads. The ability to deliver packaged RNAs into target cells through endogenous capsids make these proteins intriguing candidates for gene delivery. Arc capsids encapsidate and deliver not only Arc mRNA, but also ectopically expressed RNAs [5,18]. Arc protein is also expressed in the periphery, suggesting that Arc capsids may not be immunogenic [77,78], and may even have anti-inflammatory activities [79]. Given their likely physical and immunogenic properties, endogenous Gag-like capsids may be promising delivery vehicles for gene therapy.

Like with most new observations, there are now more questions than answers about the viral nature of Arc and its implications on brain function (see Outstanding Questions). Future studies on intercellular Arc could impact our understanding of memory and neurodegenerative disease. The investigation of other Gag-like genes may lead to the discovery of various new EV-dependent pathways in different physiological contexts. It is fascinating to envision that key brain functions and many other cellular processes may have evolved from ancient viral infections.
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