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Babson College’s Lewis Institute for Social Innovation

The Lewis Institute illuminates pathways for students, faculty, staff, foundations, and corporate partners seeking social innovation solutions. By drawing upon Babson’s core methodology of Entrepreneurial Thought & Action®, we activate unexpected and fruitful collaborations and integrative designs for action. The result is business prosperity and societal improvement. We extend our impact through the Babson Social Innovation Lab, an action tank powered by Toyota, that incubates people and ideas in the world of the social innovation.

Impact ROI

The world has entered the sustainability era. Economic and financial success will be linked to the ability of leaders across business, civil society, and the public sector to address daunting global issues including poverty, climate change, human rights, health, education, security, development, and more as identified in the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals.

Impact ROI’s mission is to help organizations achieve superior social, environmental, and financial performance.

Through research and management consulting, Impact ROI assesses the value of ESG and enables our clients to design high impact strategies that support people, planet, and profit. Impact ROI supports our clients with program design and implementation; stakeholder relationship management; partnership formulation; and a full spectrum of services to enhance an organization’s ESG approach and performance.

As the lead authors of the acclaimed Project ROI research series (described by Forbes as “a Godsend”) we have developed the pathway for companies, civil society organizations, and government agencies to deliver sustainable and collaborative impact.

Our global team of experts brings decades of experience and expertise.

We’ve served leaders across every major industry, every major environmental, social, and governance issue, and leading organizations working on the SDGs across the world.
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About this Report
This report is the product of an 18-month project examining the business case for corporate involvement in community health and well-being. The project was supported by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) and led by a partnership between Babson College’s Lewis Institute for Social Innovation and Impact ROI.

A set of core questions guided the project’s research:

- How can we encourage companies to become involved in supporting and contributing solutions to community health and well-being (H&WB) in the United States?
- Can we find a clear and compelling financial business case for corporate involvement in community H&WB based on existing research and data?
- What lessons can both companies and those championing corporate involvement in community health and well-being learn and apply from the corporate sustainability movement?

To answer these questions, the project team of Impact ROI and Babson College reviewed over 500 studies and reports that:

- Directly consider the business case for community health and well-being
- Review examples of corporate involvement in community health and well-being
- Examine the connection between the social determinants of health to business performance
- Assess the key drivers of the corporate sustainability movement
- Investigate adjacent business cases for corporate support of health and well-being overall (notably reviewing the business case for employee health and well-being).

To supplement the research, the project team interviewed over 50 key stakeholders, including 30 companies, to understand the factors driving and constraining corporate involvement in community health and well-being. The team then assessed the current landscape with regard to:

- The challenges and opportunities that the current health care environment poses to business
- The sustainable business movement and how it guides and affects corporate involvement in community H&WB.

Advising the entire process was a multi-sector consortium of companies that the project team convened, called the Business Advisory Council on Health (BACH). The BACH met three times to provide direction and feedback. Appendix I provides the list of corporate participants.
INTRODUCTION

This report highlights key findings that address the core questions outlined above. Ensuing sections provide:

- Context for why community health and well-being matters for business
- An emerging business case for corporate involvement in community health and well-being
- Implications for companies and the actions they can take
- Lessons learned from the corporate sustainability movement regarding ways to encourage corporate involvement

The report shares select examples meant to provide ideas for the ways to design and pursue corporate involvement.
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I. Executive Summary

Improving community health and well-being (H&WB) is an overlooked, yet vital strategy for business.

In the US, business accounts for $600 billion, or 20% of the country’s total annual health care spending. This is a substantial portion of the $1.8 trillion in U.S. business profits reported in 2016. These figures don’t even include the estimated hundreds of billions of dollars the private sector loses due to health-related absenteeism and reduced productivity. It’s no wonder why businesses care so much about finding better ways to promote health outcomes and reduce costs.

In recent years, business has increased its programming and investments in employee workplace wellness in an attempt to reduce insurance costs, maintain productivity, and reduce absenteeism and presenteeism. Yet this approach has not delivered desired results because it does not sufficiently address major root causes and drivers affecting a company’s health profile. At least 22% of the private sector’s annual spending on health is attributed to 10 risk factors, such as obesity, tobacco use, and stress, that are strongly influenced by the social determinants of health. This report submits that addressing community health drivers more intentionally and effectively holds the potential to substantially reduce burdensome health-related costs and deliver a range of business benefits. This kind of compelling business case should drive more strategic business interest in community health and well-being (H&WB).

Estimates also suggest that as much as 25% of current health care spending is ineffective, improving neither outcomes nor quality. This represents an urgent gap for businesses to close. Yet few businesses possess a systematic strategy to address community H&WB. Logically, the only way for business to address health costs, needs, opportunities, and challenges systemically is to help advance wider community H&WB.

Furthermore, evidence suggests that improving strategies for addressing the social determinants of health will deliver financial and competitive returns on investment (ROI) for business by:

- Reducing costs related to health care, productivity losses, absenteeism, and presenteeism
- Enhancing key performance indicators such as enterprise value, financial performance, and reputation
- Creating new revenue generating opportunities

---

The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) has developed a framework that addresses community H&WB along with the fundamental drivers of the system as a whole. The Culture of Health approach (see the box insert below) sets an aspirational vision for companies. It encourages companies to meld rational calculations of short and long-term financial returns with purpose-driven considerations of how to bring organizational values to life. It encourages partnership with civil society and government; the design of win-win strategies that deliver shared value; and the prioritization of improving community H&WB.

### CULTURE OF HEALTH: CORE PRINCIPLES

1. Good health flourishes across geographic, demographic, and social sectors
2. Attaining the best health possible is valued by our entire society
3. Individuals and families have the means and the opportunity to make choices
4. Business, government, individuals, and organizations work together to build healthy communities
5. No one is excluded
6. Everyone has access to affordable, quality health care
7. Health care is efficient and equitable
8. The economy is less burdened by excessive and unwarranted health care spending
9. Keeping everyone as healthy as possible guides public and private decision-making
10. Everyone in America understands that we are all in this together

Despite emerging evidence and growing support, executives cite a range of obstacles limiting their engagement in community H&WB. These include the lack of knowledge and expertise, guidance, trust among stakeholders, performance and business case metrics, and an agreed view of accountability.

To help address these obstacles, the research team studied other business movements, in particular the modern corporate sustainability movement, for insights into strategies that could support business efforts to change their approach.

While acknowledging differences, there are many points of comparability, and the issues that community H&WB and Culture of Health encompass all fall under the larger umbrella of the Corporate Sustainability Movement.

Research into the business case along with the Corporate Sustainability Movement suggest that promising strategies to deepen corporate involvement in community H&WB would include:

- Activating an entrepreneurial mindset inside and outside the corporation. This includes encouraging business to adopt an entrepreneurial approach to H&WB that coordinates strategies around community health, employee health, environmental health, and customer health. Such an approach should be designed to improve both the financial bottom line and community well-being.
- Leveraging key strategies successfully employed by the Corporate Sustainability Movement defined in Figure 1:
Figure 1: Leveraging strategies successfully employed by the corporate sustainability movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGY</th>
<th>KEY ELEMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish both formal and voluntary Rules for Corporate Involvement</td>
<td>• Encourage <strong>corporate disclosure and reporting</strong> on health and well-being impacts&lt;br&gt;• Encourage multiple stakeholders to define <strong>voluntary standards</strong> regarding the impacts of business on community H&amp;WB&lt;br&gt;• Define <strong>market-making rules</strong> that encourage large-scale purchasers to buy from companies that support community H&amp;WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employ strategies to influence corporate Reputation</td>
<td>• Create effective systems that <strong>rate, rank, and recognize</strong> community H&amp;WB leaders&lt;br&gt;• Influence and support <strong>brand and reputation positioning</strong> for community H&amp;WB leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance the financial ROI of community H&amp;WB approaches</td>
<td>• Mobilize investors to favor companies that take a strategic approach to community H&amp;WB&lt;br&gt;• Reveal high value, low cost opportunities to <strong>advance community H&amp;WB</strong>&lt;br&gt;• <strong>Deepen research</strong> that proves the business case for corporate involvement in community H&amp;WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop strategic Relationships</td>
<td>• Enhance the <strong>accountability</strong> of companies to community H&amp;WB stakeholders&lt;br&gt;• Encourage <strong>suppliers</strong> to become more <strong>accountable</strong> for their impacts on community H&amp;WB&lt;br&gt;• Use <strong>competitive rivalry</strong> to drive greater commitments to community H&amp;WB&lt;br&gt;• Build supportive <strong>partnerships</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create Resources that companies can utilize to help advance community H&amp;WB</td>
<td>• Support the creation of <strong>“integrators”</strong> that broker corporate involvement strategies and partnerships&lt;br&gt;• Form <strong>intermediaries</strong> that provide information and guidance on good practices&lt;br&gt;• Develop knowledge-building campaigns for the <strong>C-Suite</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Establish business <strong>networks and associations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take an Entrepreneurial approach to advance the community H&amp;WB movement</td>
<td>• Leverage the emerging <strong>health and well-being movement</strong> that is building a US market of over $1 trillion&lt;br&gt;• Encourage the sustainability movement to <strong>build in health and well-being metrics and objectives</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Use H&amp;WB as a <strong>value driver</strong> for multiple functions within companies&lt;br&gt;• Activate the <strong>entrepreneurial mindset</strong> inside and outside the corporation&lt;br&gt;• Learn from <strong>social entrepreneurs and innovators</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While some companies experience success in addressing workplace health challenges, many business health strategies have profoundly misaligned the resources they are deploying against the challenges they are trying to address.

The lessons of sustainability show that while no single entity can do everything, a movement where different organizations take ownership of a variety of drivers of corporate behavior can drive large-scale change. This is why a few catalytic organizations can have an enormous impact far beyond their own capabilities. By creating a support system and empowering others, organizations that are committed to promoting a Culture of Health can leverage resources as well as other people’s skills and expertise far in excess of what they could accomplish on their own.

The benefits of incentivizing more intentional and systemic business strategies to address community health drivers are growing increasingly clear. A roadmap based on the experiences of others who have gone before, but with a clear vision of the unique challenges and opportunities of community H&WB is waiting to be built. If successful, those advancing corporate involvement will set in motion a powerful engine for future prosperity and enhanced quality of life for the businesses that embrace the Culture of Health vision and the people in the communities that they serve.
II. Expectations and Challenges for Business

The challenges facing individual and community health are acute and well-known. Figure 2 provides compelling evidence showing that US health metrics, “lag behind those in most developed countries by a wide margin, despite the fact that the United States spends substantially more on health care than its peers.”

Figure 2: Indicative performance of US health vs. peers

![Graph showing life expectancy and health spending per capita for various countries, with the US highlighted.]

Source: OECD Health Statistics 2013. [Source link]
StatLink [StatLink link]

The US shows substantial H&WB “disparities by race, income, and geography, and has experienced an absolute worsening in mortality rates in many US counties over the last decade.” Improving population H&WB in the US will require much more than high-quality, affordable health care. Public health experts are finding that the so-called “social determinants of health” (or “social determinants” for short) play a core role in population health. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines the social determinants of health as “life-enhancing resources, such as food supply, housing, economic and social relationships, transportation, education, and health care, whose distribution across populations effectively determines length and quality of life. These include access to care and resources such as food, insurance coverage, income, housing, and transportation.

---

Social determinants of health influence health-promoting behaviors, and health equity among the population is not possible without equitable distribution of social determinants among groups.\textsuperscript{7} These social determinants are a central variable in predicting ongoing health and well-being,\textsuperscript{8} prevalence of illness,\textsuperscript{9} and mortality rates.\textsuperscript{10}

The role and impact of the social determinants means that one cannot improve population health through the doctor’s office and medications alone. Promoting health means promoting well-being which incorporates physical fitness, nutrition, mental health, clean air and water, safety and security, and a decent standard of living.

As such, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has defined core principles that guide all institutions — including business, government, civil society, and their various partners — towards a pathway to improve the country’s H&WB. To summarize, RWJF’s Culture of Health approach builds on the following (Figure 3):\textsuperscript{11}

**Figure 3: Core principles for a culture of health**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE PRINCIPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Good health flourishes across geographic, demographic, and social sectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Attaining the best health possible is valued by our entire society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Individuals and families have the means and the opportunity to make choices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Business, government, individuals, and organizations work together to build healthy communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. No one is excluded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Everyone has access to affordable, quality healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Health care is efficient and equitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The economy is less burdened by excessive and unwarranted health care spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Keeping everyone as healthy as possible guides public and private decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Everyone in America understands that we are all in this together</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Culture of Health approach takes an important step to reinforce a growing trend. Increasingly, civil society leaders, health care professionals, policy-makers, and thought leaders share the perspective that improving H&WB in the United States will require the active partnership and engagement of business. The Culture of Health approach sets an aspirational vision for companies to adopt. It encourages companies to engage and meld rational calculations of short and long-term financial returns with the purpose-driven considerations of how to bring organizational values to life. It encourages companies to partner with civil society and government; design win-win strategies that deliver shared value; and prioritize improving community H&WB.

The Culture of Health approach looks at strengthening community health as a competitive advantage for companies. Instead of thinking about health as a cost center and an expense, it positions health as an asset to be cultivated which ultimately contributes to enterprise value.

---


Culture of Health is an important framework for business to consider when addressing the growing expectations for their involvement in community H&WB.

Identifying the expectations for corporate involvement in community health and well-being

Civil society leaders, health care professionals, thought-leaders, and policy-makers increasingly expect business both to lead and to partner in advancing community H&WB. These expectations create different kinds of pressures and/or incentives for companies.

1. Business faces pressure to improve community H&WB

Businesses are increasingly perceived by activists as using their “raw power” to shape public policy, and affect the distribution of the social determinants of health in ways that support corporate profits at the expense of the general population’s H&WB. This includes the perception that companies make decisions that negatively impact the social determinants through their behaviors and actions — from selling unhealthy products and services to restricting access to vital services and needs due to high prices to harming the environment in ways that impact human H&WB, to name a few. These stakeholders expect companies to make up for such perceived faults by playing an increasing role in strengthening population health.

2. Business is seen as an essential partner in improving community H&WB

The Rio Political Declaration on Social Determinants of Health — shaped by multiple countries and a cross-section of stakeholders — calls for greater collaboration with the private sector. In addition, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) finds the lack of effective multi-sector collaboration with business a major barrier to improving community health.


14 WHO, “Rio Political Declaration on Social Determinants of Health” Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 21 October 2011, p. 3

Barriers to Corporate Involvement

Despite the mounting benefits of addressing community H&WB challenges, most companies have engaged them in a limited manner due to a number of obstacles and concerns.

Beyond surveys of corporate philanthropic contributions, few data sources help identify the scope and scale of private sector investment in community H&WB. Many companies tend to assign H&WB as the sole responsibility of the HR department. Companies have focused primarily on employees and, in some industries, customers.\(^\text{17}\)

The barriers listed in Figure 4 can appear daunting, and convincing business to engage at the broader community level and to adopt the Culture of Health approach has been a challenge.\(^\text{18}\)

**Figure 4: Factors inhibiting the adoption of a culture of health by business**\(^\text{19}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INHIBITING FACTORS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of a clear business case</td>
<td>Companies perceive they benefit more by supporting other community needs, such as education, workforce development, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of know-how</td>
<td>Companies often perceive community H&amp;WB as requiring special skills, knowledge, and capabilities beyond their expertise. Large businesses suggest they need tools and partnerships that could help them deliver concrete, actionable, scalable, and replicable results.(^\text{20})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of trust among stakeholders</td>
<td>Companies perceive that they are often blamed as a cause of community H&amp;WB deficiencies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Companies do not have unlimited resources. There are many competing priorities for the resources they do have. This is why addressing these obstacles and raising awareness about the value of adopting a Culture of Health strategy need to be core activities of advocates hoping for widespread business adoption of more systemic community engagement.

---


\(^{19}\) Adapted from: Nicolaas P. Pronk, PhD, Catherine Baase, MD, Jerr y Noyce, MBA, and Denise E. Stevens, PhD, “Corporate America and Community Health Exploring the Business Case for Investment”, JOEM, Volume 00, Number 00, 2015, p. 7

\(^{20}\) Interviews by the authors with companies.
III. Making the Business Case for Community Health and Well-being

"Health is impacting every aspect of [business] success… We are spending more than twice as much as any other country, on a relative basis, and getting worse outcomes. That’s not good. And it’s causing social capital erosion…. This is not an isolated problem. It’s completely intertwined with our issues of education and infrastructure…[and] is destructive to business profitability and success.”

—Dr. Catherine Baase, Global Director of Health Services, The Dow Chemical Company

The business case for corporate involvement has three elements. Community health and well-being:

1. Has the potential to drive down a company’s health care-related costs
2. Can enhance enterprise value, performance, and reputation
3. Can help open up market development and related revenue opportunities

Community health and well-being can help drive down health care-related costs

“Business leaders must understand that an employer can do everything right to influence the health and productivity of its workforce at the worksite, but if that same workforce lives in unhealthy communities, employer investments can be seriously compromised.”

—Andrew Webber, President and Chief Executive Officer, National Business Coalition on Health

H&WB challenges facing business are intensifying. H&WB issues cost American businesses annually over $600 billion, or approximately 20% of total US health care spending.23 This translates into the equivalent of 25-33% of corporate profits allocated to health care.24 As a response, companies have increased investment in workplace health and wellness programming. Surveys identify that 79% of employers offer health improvement programs. For these companies, the price per employee of such programs has been on a fast-moving trajectory (Figure 5), increasing over 60% since 2010.

---

Larger companies (> 20,000 employees) are spending the most: the per-employee average climbed to $878, up from $717 in 2014. The average for companies with between 5,000 and 20,000 workers rose to $661, up from $493 in 2014.

Investments in workplace health and wellness concentrate on a mix of employee assistance, nutrition, and fitness programs often offered at the workplace site shown in Figure 6. Comparably, few companies either prioritize or offer community H&WB programs. Companies interviewed suggest that community well-being programs for the most part likely refer to charitable contributions provided to federations such as the United Way.

27 Inter views conducted by the Project Team under the Chatham House Rule.
Figure 6: H&WB programs offered by business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WELLNESS PROGRAM</th>
<th>FIRMS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Assistance Program</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Risk Questionnaire</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biometric Screening</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Activity/Fitness Discount</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco Cessation</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight Management</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (BMI)</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Coach</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress Management</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site Fitness</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Well-being</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergonomics</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Health Team</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Well-being</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site Health Clinic</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(n=1,100) 2016

The share of health care related costs continues to rise as does the investment in workplace health and wellness. Moreover, health concerns are driving substantial additional costs.

For example, the impact of an unhealthy workforce on productivity is considerable. One study estimates a cumulative US output loss of $47 trillion over the next two decades due to non-communicable diseases, chronic respiratory diseases, cancer, diabetes, and mental illness. In 2015, the productivity loss associated with absenteeism and presenteeism had an economic impact of 5% of GDP. The CDC finds that annually absenteeism and presenteeism cost US employers $225.8 billion. However, other studies suggest these costs may be as much as four to five times greater. These studies indicate that the costs of health and well-being for business far exceed formal expenditures on health care. Figure 7 shows the costs of common health conditions on the bottom line.

28 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 2016.
### Figure 7: The costs of health on business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HEALTH CONDITION</th>
<th>COSTS TO BUSINESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Cancer**       | - Approximately 12% of an employer’s total medical expenditure is attributed to cancer-related expenses, costing an estimated a $264 billion a year in medical care and lost productivity.  
- Cancer is the leading cause of long-term disability among employees, resulting in more than 33 million disability days per year.  
- Treatment of breast cancer in early stages is estimated at an average cost of $22,000 per patient, whereas Stages 3 and 4 are associated with costs in excess of $120,000.  
- A study of major employers found that patients with cancer cost 5x as much to insure as patients without cancer ($16,000 vs. $3,000 in annual costs). |
| **Cardiovascular** | - In a study involving more than 46,000 employees from six large US companies, employees with a higher risk of heart disease had health care expenditures about 228% higher than peers.  
- In an analysis of insurance claims for about 4 million individuals covered by benefit plans of large US companies, the annual mean payment for those with heart-related claims was over $4,000 per patient, more than double the average payment of over $2,000 for all other conditions.  
- The average cost for every stroke or heart attack is about $100,000 for Fortune 500 employers. |
| **Chronic Respiratory** | - In 2010, absenteeism costs due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were $3.9 billion.  
- An estimated 16.4 million days of work were lost due to COPD each year.  
- In 2010 those who were employed and have COPD missed an average of 4.6 days of work in the previous six months. |
| **Diabetes**      | - The costs of increased absenteeism from diabetes is estimated to be $5 billion and increased presenteeism is $20.8 billion.  
- Employees at high risk for high blood glucose had $3,362 higher medical expenditures, 0.6 additional days of absenteeism, and 1.2 additional days of presenteeism.  
- People with diagnosed diabetes incur average medical expenditures of about $13,700, of which about $7,900 can be attributed to diabetes. |

---
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### HEALTH CONDITION | COSTS TO BUSINESS
--- | ---
**Hypertension** | - Hypertension costs employers $21 million per year, with $7 million in direct costs.
- Employees with a high risk of hypertension had $1,702 higher medical expenditures, 0.2 additional days of absenteeism, and 0.4 additional days of presenteeism.
- An employee with high blood pressure costs $730 more per year than peers.

**Mental Health** | - Employers incur an estimated $100 billion annually in direct and indirect costs associated with depression, including as much as $44 billion lost to employee absences and lower productivity.
- Depression causes 200 million lost workdays each year.
- Over a 3 month period, patients with depression miss an average of 4.8 workdays and suffer 11.5 days of reduced productivity.
- Workers with depression were 70% more costly, in terms of medical care, compared to costs of workers without depression.

**Obesity** | - Between 1985 to 2015 obesity among workers has doubled from 15% to 30%.
- Annual costs from medical care and absenteeism are estimated to be $400 to $2,000 higher per person for obese employees.
- Obese workers generate $644 in increased costs per person due to having 20% more doctor visits, 26% more emergency room visits, and 10% higher presenteeism rates than normal-weight workers.
- Lifetime social and public health cost of obesity is, on average, $92,235 more per person compared with the costs associated with a person of normal weight.

**Stress** | - Workplace stress contributes to at least 120,000 deaths each year, with the sources of stress being long hours, lack of control, job insecurity, and other significant stressors.

---

42 See above.
46 See above.
49 See above.
50 See above.
51 See above.
Improving health and well-being of the workforce would make a substantial impact on health-related costs. For example, research finds that moving employees from high- and medium-risk to low-risk chronic disease status would yield an ROI of 6:1 for annual health care claims. Current approaches to workplace health and wellness are likely addressing some issues, but there seems to be a disconnect between corporate health spending decisions and addressing the root causes that embracing a Culture of Health framework might achieve. Missing from this equation is that many businesses have yet to realize how much community H&WB and social determinants of health need to be actively engaged and managed across other business and community investment disciplines, given how much these factors drive health outcomes in the workplace and the milieu in which the business operates.

The County Health Rankings Report developed by the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation estimates that 50% or more of health outcomes are determined by socioeconomic and environmental factors: 40% come from community-related variables such as income and education; and 10% come from issues related to the physical environment.

**Many businesses have yet to realize how much community H&WB and social determinants of health need to be actively engaged and managed across other business and community investment disciplines**

Current research indicates that just over 22% of the private sector’s annual spending on health is focused on the 10 risk factors most influenced by these social determinants of health. These targeted factors include:

- Poor nutrition and eating habits
- Obesity
- Tobacco use
- High stress
- Depression
- High alcohol consumption
- High blood pressure
- Physical inactivity
- High blood glucose
- Unemployment
- Mental illness

**Figure 8: Studies on the impact of community H&WB on health costs**

| Investment of $10 per person per year in proven community-based programs to increase physical activity, improve nutrition, and prevent smoking and other tobacco use could save the country more than $16 billion annually within five years | Proven, community-based public health efforts can have a tremendous return on investment — saving lives, mitigating disease and injury, and curbing health care spending | Just a 1% reduction in health risks can lead to a savings of $83 - $103 in medical costs |

---


58 One pilot study for example, found that high use of health resources was often a marker for unaddressed civil legal problems. When a “super user” patient’s civil legal problems were addressed, health care use and costs dropped. The pilot data suggest a decrease in both 30-day and seven-day readmission rates among the identified patients. Both inpatient and Emergency Department use dropped upward of 50 percent, and overall costs (as defined by charges) fell by 45 percent. “Embedding Civil Legal Aid Services In Care for High-Utilizing Patients Using Medical-Legal Partnership,” Health Affairs Blog, April 22, 2015.DOI: 10.1377/hblog20150422.047143


62 “Public Health is ROI.” National Public Health Week. American Public Health Association. 2013. In “How Social Spending Affects Health Outcomes” by Elizabeth H. Bradley and Lauren Taylor, found that “when comparing state-to-state spending between 2000 and 2009, those states with higher ratios of social service spending to health care spending had better outcomes on average. The size of the health effects were substantial. For instance, a 20 percent change in the median social-to-health spending ratio was equivalent to 85,000 fewer adults with obesity and more than 950,000 adults with mental illness.” Types of services that were particularly helpful included: supportive housing, nutritional assistance, case management and outreach. https://www.rwjf.org/en/blog/2016/08/how_social_spending.html

Based on these findings, addressing community H&WB is a core, and typically overlooked, strategy to support improved health and reduced costs.64

Enhancing enterprise value, performance, and reputation

The business case for community H&WB is less defined and publicized than for workplace H&WB. Nevertheless, as noted above, research suggests that in the absence of community health interventions, workplace wellness programs will be insufficient to shield employers from rising health care costs, productivity losses, absenteeism (both for employees suffering ailments as well as employee caregivers aiding loved ones), and presenteeism.65 Champions of corporate involvement contend that companies will also miss out on potential opportunities such as attracting and retaining talent, employee engagement, increased productivity, manufacturing and service reliability, sustainability, brand reputation,66 share price, and overall bottom-line performance as measured by key performance indicators.67 These views are based more on perception than detailed research. Pursuing dedicated research that addresses these claims is advisable.

However, recent research on the business case for corporate responsibility and sustainability provides foundational evidence that strengthens the support in making the business case for corporate involvement. The social determinants of health, as discussed further below, overlap a great deal with indicators of corporate responsibility and sustainability. It may be possible to apply lessons learned from business case research for corporate responsibility and sustainability to community H&WB. In this regard, recent research conducted by Impact ROI and Babson College finds that the kinds of investments that a company would make to support the social determinants and community H&WB have great potential to enhance enterprise value across a number of KPIs summarized in Figure 9.

Figure 9: The potential value of supporting social determinants of health that relate to corporate responsibility and sustainability68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BUSINESS PRIORITY INFLUENCED BY HEALTH</th>
<th>POTENTIAL ROI FROM CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY &amp; SUSTAINABILITY AND RELATED COMMUNITY H&amp;WB ACTIVITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee engagement and morale</td>
<td>Improve employee engagement scores <strong>8.5%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market performance</td>
<td>Increase share price <strong>4-6%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity</td>
<td>Increase employee productivity up to <strong>13%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment/Retention</td>
<td>Decrease employee turnover <strong>25-50%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>Increase sales <strong>1-20%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Opening up market development and revenue opportunities

The accelerating interest in health, fitness, prevention, exercise, and longevity suggests a potential “Culture of Health” era could be emerging. Some estimate that the US H&WB industry will grow beyond $1 trillion (global estimates put the H&WB economy at $3.7 trillion). In the near term, the consumer H&WB market is projected to grow from a $235 billion to a $737 billion industry. Companies across industries as diverse as apparel and footwear, consumer retail, food, health care, and insurance are finding that engagement in community H&WB is a pathway to support the research and development of new products and services with great commercial potential.

Implications for corporate involvement in community health and well-being

The upshot from these business case opportunities is that while support for workplace health is certainly necessary, it is not sufficient. When it comes to addressing H&WB, office walls are permeable. The only way for business to address health costs, needs, opportunities, and challenges is to include intentional efforts to advance wider community H&WB.

The stakes are enormous for business to get this right. While much work still needs to be done to quantify the return on investment of a holistic approach to addressing health, analysis of component elements indicates that it is very substantial, and could be a key to “bending the curve” of rising health costs.

“We used to think solely in terms of our employees when it came to health care and benefits. But what we realized is that if their kid got sick, they stayed home. If their parents had problems, they stayed home or were distracted trying to get help. Employee performance and productivity are fundamentally affected by their lives outside of work. We needed to re-think our program in order to get the benefits of productivity and performance that we were seeking.”

— a Fortune 1000 Company Executive (under Chatham House Rule)

If these findings are correct, then most current business health strategies are misaligned in terms of the resources and programs they are deploying as well as the challenges they are trying to address. The crux of the issue is that, while companies may experience some success in addressing individual health challenges, these over-all trend lines of over-all health cost increases are not likely to fundamentally change any time soon unless companies reframe their strategies to include more effective community health interventions.

Business health strategies are misaligned in the resources they are deploying and the challenges they are trying to address. Health cost trends are not likely to fundamentally change unless companies reframe their strategies to include more effective community health interventions.

---


71 Meetings of the Business Advisory Council on Health.


73 Interview by the authors with a Fortune 1000 company executive (under the Chatham House Rule)
IV. Taking an Entrepreneurial Approach to Community Health and Well-being

The left side of Figure 10 depicts the current state of the typical corporate approach to health and well-being.

Figure 10: Transitioning to an entrepreneurial approach to corporate involvement in community health and well-being

The core of the current approach depicted on the left side of Figure 10 is to control employee health purchasing costs. This has evolved to create a role — usually for a member of the Human Resources (HR) team — to form and manage workplace wellness programs. The Public Affairs/Government Relations team may become involved in lobbying efforts to reduce the costs of health care imposed on the private sector. In many cases, this team will lean on the efforts of industry associations. The smallest amount of resources will go toward donations to health-related nonprofits, typically overseen by the Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) team or Corporate Foundation. Often, a company will make health-related donations to nonprofit federations such as the United Way, and/or sponsor charitable galas for federations related to specific conditions and diseases.
The approach on the right side of Figure 10 is based on the findings of IO’s and Babson’s Project ROI research, the RWJF supported research on building a Culture of Health for business, and Babson’s Entrepreneurial Thought & Action® methodology. To gain the full benefits and impacts of an approach to community H&WB, ideally companies should take an integrated approach that aligns the four strategic areas identified on the right side of Figure 10 – community health, employee health, environmental health, and customer health. However, companies can also make progress by taking an incremental approach to work on one or more of the strategic areas at a time. Figure 11 provides a more detailed view of each strategic area. It provides a roadmap that allows companies to get started, gain experience, and move incrementally toward more advanced and higher impact approaches over time.

**Figure 11: Business strategies to address community H&WB that deliver ROI**

- **Community Health**
  - Getting Started: Adjust existing CSR programs
  - Advanced: Use cause marketing, Develop strategic partnerships, Adjust existing programs
- **Employee Health**
  - Getting Started: Align workplace H&WB to community H&WB
  - Advanced: Extend workplace programs to the community, Set policies for value-based health care purchasing
- **Environmental Health**
  - Getting Started: Publish a vitality report
  - Advanced: Include H&WB in siting decisions, Include H&WB in environmental assessments, Commit to a Culture of Health
- **Customer Health**
  - Getting Started: Align community H&WB goals with business KPIs
  - Advanced: New products & services for community H&WB, Incentivize manager support for community H&WB, Make & measure the business case

---

76 http://www.babson.edu/about-babson/at-a-glance/Pages/entrepreneurial-thought-and-action.aspx
Community health

Getting started on community health strategies typically means modifying existing CSR approaches to identify and address the root causes of health and well-being challenges, such as those defined by the social determinants. This progresses towards programs that involve both CSR and business line functions, and eventually lead to partnerships designed to create value for the bottom-line while improving community health metrics. Examples include the following:

**REEBOK** — Reebok’s BOKS (Build our Kids’ Success) program has been implemented in over 3,000 schools in 4 countries (including the US). The program builds from medical research that finds that getting kids moving in the morning could translate to better academic performance during the school day. The before-school program involves a tested curriculum that includes free play, structured warm-ups, running, skill development, game-playing, and a cool-down period. BOKS aligns with the company’s purpose to inspire people everywhere to be their absolute best—physically, mentally, and socially.77 The results of a 12-week study in partnership with Mass General Hospital and Harvard University show that students that participate in BOKS not only saw improvements in body mass index (BMI) but more importantly they are happier and more engaged that students that did not participate in BOKS.78

**TARGET** — In 2016, Target invested nearly $40 million across the US to organizations committed to giving children and families more choices that allow them to increase their physical activity and eat healthy every day. One award-winning example is the partnership with Wholesome Wave on the Fruit and Vegetable Prescription Program (FVRx) in Los Angeles, Houston, and Miami. The program seeks to make healthy eating an affordable choice for low-income individuals and families. This partnership addresses the intertwined problems of obesity and food security; poor diet and reduced health; and poverty and lack of affordable healthy food options. By leveraging a multi-sector partnership with the power of a market-based incentive, the program has been able to improve community health as it relates to residents’ behavior and lifestyles while demonstrating positive mutual benefits for medical providers, retailers, and suppliers of fresh local produce.79

Employee health

Getting started on Employee Health means aligning workplace wellness and community H&WB approaches. The next step is to open workplace wellness programs to the community, and vice versa. Companies are then encouraged to support workplace and community health programs based on strategies that tie the investment of resources to outcomes related to agreed H&WB metrics. Examples include:

**SODEXO** — With an eye on addressing the current achievement gap in employer wellness programs, Sodexo and the YMCA of Central Florida have formed a unique partnership resulting in a new comprehensive approach to health and well-being that focuses on expanding the value, availability, access, and effectiveness of workplace wellness programs. The groundbreaking 3-year pilot program, Communities for Health, is currently underway and aimed at combating preventable chronic illnesses that escalate health care expenditures, accounting for 18% of GDP and are projected to rise sharply. The initiative is designed to enhance traditional, and mostly underutilized, employee wellness programs through a comprehensive, systems-based approach that engages employees and the wider community at work and at home, increasing the likelihood of success and yielding a more significant impact than traditional workplace wellness initiatives.

---

77 https://www.bokskids.org/about-boks
79 https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/modal-content/46363
The program groups participants by their level of risk and readiness for change, connects employees with a lifestyle coach, embraces a peer-to-peer approach, encourages a “buddy system” that extends into the community, leverages technology, and strategically uses incentives throughout. Communities for Health aims to achieve five specific objectives:

1. Engage individuals to participate in a robust health and wellness program through their employer by building a network of support, in the workplace, the community, and at home;
2. Leverage community health partners, local and national merchants, and integrated technology systems to support health management and incentive-based rewards for healthy behaviors;
3. Strategically segment the workforce to connect participants to the appropriate evidence-based program based on risk level and readiness to change;
4. Reduce employee and family health risks by encouraging healthy behaviors that will positively impact performance and reduce health care costs; and
5. Leverage healthier behaviors of the employee to change the behaviors of their neighboring community.

The program is organized in phases, as it is designed to take an integrated, systems-based approach to improving health at the population and individual level. The approach leverages community-based organizations (including both the public and private sector), employers, health care providers and family/community relationships. Early results have been extremely promising, notably:

- Communities for Health eclipsed national average participation rates of employees identified for inclusion in fitness (21%), weight/obesity (10%), and disease management programs (16%) by achieving rates of 88%, 63%, and 73% respectively.
- A higher percentage of employees transitioned to the lower risk group in Year 2 when compared to Year 1 (+5%). Similarly, there has also been a reduction in those in the higher risk group for Year 2 (-2% for moderate risk; -5% for high risk). This suggests that employees became healthier over time.
- The program saw improvements in the overall perception of individuals feeling “fit,” “happy with their weight,” “less stressed,” “less trouble sleeping,” and “more energetic” when compared between Year 1 and Year 2.

Environmental health

Environmental health refers to the ways in which corporate policies, processes, and practices can impact community health, often by affecting the natural environment, working conditions, customer well-being, and community well-being.

To get started, companies can expand on their sustainability-related reporting to publish a Culture of Health (or a “vitality”) report. This would offer the public an objective view on the range of ways — from positive to negative — that companies may be affecting the social determinants. This report would document the commitments and steps that a company is taking to minimize harmful impacts and optimize beneficial impacts. The next step is to integrate health considerations into decision-making processes such as facility and office siting decisions, environmental management, and product design. Advanced companies will formulate an enterprise-wide Culture of Health strategy. Examples include:

HUMANA — Humana is a health insurance provider. Its purpose is to empower its members to help them live healthy, active, and rewarding lives. Humana has set a corporate goal that:

- “The communities we serve will be 20 percent healthier by 2020 because we make it easy for people to achieve their best health using five key points of influence: wellness and prevention; primary care; pharmacy; home care; and data analytics”

In 2016, Humana’s first year tracking Healthy Days, its Survey results showed:

- 2% decrease in Unhealthy Days for its members across the nation
- 3% decrease across its Bold Goal communities
- Members: significant increase in participation in programs that have helped improve medication adherence (96.6% increase from 2015 to 2016 for diabetic medication adherence) and diabetes control

Customer health

The Customer Health strategy starts by aligning community H&WB goals with business KPIs. The next steps involve designing business strategies, products, and services to improve customer and community H&WB. Managers and functions should be measured in part by how they contribute to health. Examples include:

CVS — CVS received acclaim when it became the first major retailer to remove tobacco products from its shelves. In 2016, CVS launched Be The First, a five-year, $50 million initiative to help deliver the nation’s first tobacco-free generation. Be The First focuses on education, advocacy, tobacco control, and healthy behavior programming in partnership with organizations positioned to address this challenge.

NESTLE — Nestlé is committed to being a trusted leader in nutrition, health, and wellness. It has aligned community H&WB goals to business KPIs through its 2030 ambition to help improve 30 million livelihoods in communities directly connected to its business activities. It is taking steps to change the ingredients of its products by reducing sugar, sodium, and saturated and trans-fats to align with global public health recommendations. In addition, it has set a goal to improve nutrition through whole grain and vegetables. By 2020, the company plans to add to its products at least 750 million portions of vegetables, 300 million portions of nutrient-rich grains, pulses and bran, and more nuts and seeds.

TARGET — Introduced in 2013, Simply Balanced is Target’s wellness grocery brand designed to give guests easy options for eating well. All of its more than 350 products are free of artificial colors, flavors, and preservatives, and do not contain added trans-fats or high-fructose corn syrup. Many products are also certified organic or provide nutritional benefits, such as whole grains, fiber, Omega-3s, or a serving of fruits and vegetables. In addition, building on its efforts to make better-for-you living attainable, Target just committed to removing artificial flavors, preservatives, sweeteners, and colors from all of its owned-brand children’s items by the end of 2018.

Appendix II provides additional examples.

The strategy described in Figures 10 and 11 hold the potential to generate positive benefits for society and the financial bottom line. However, companies may still need support to overcome the barriers listed in Figure 4 above and get started. Moving forward will require entrepreneurial action from change agents inside companies, as well as the encouragement of community H&WB advocates from the outside.

Applying the lessons of the corporate sustainability movement, which possesses many commonalities with community H&WB, can help guide companies and advocates on how to take action.


Nestlé.com

https://corporate.target.com/corporate-responsibility/wellness
V. Applying Lessons from the Sustainability Movement

Those looking to accelerate the commitment of businesses to adopting a Culture of Health strategy have much to learn from the sustainability movement. The modern corporate sustainability movement of the past twenty-five years has been working to encourage companies to prioritize their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. It is useful to compare the current state of business involvement in community H&WB with a potentially historic movement working to transform the purpose, mission, operations, investments, products and services, behaviors, and performance metrics of the private sector.

Defining Sustainability

Several definitions of sustainability (and its companion term, “sustainable development”) exist. In addition, sustainability is seen as related to, and even synonymous with, other terms such as “Corporate Citizenship,” “Corporate Responsibility (CR),” and “Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).” These terms and their definitions share a common root in their focus on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance. A synthesis of common definitions follows:

Responsible and proactive decision-making and innovation that minimizes negative impact and maintains balance between social, environmental, and economic growth to ensure a desirable planet for all species now and in the future.

There is considerable overlap between the social determinants that drive community H&WB and the ESG issues that companies are expected to manage. While there is no single definition of the social determinants of health, many governmental and non-governmental organizations recognize common factors. Figure 11 shares a synthesized list of the social determinants of health. The adjacent columns identify which determinants can be found among the indicators listed by two of the leading global templates of corporate sustainability performance, the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB). The table shows that many industries are expected to manage their positive and negative impacts on the social determinants (even though sustainability frameworks often do not draw an explicit connection between many of the social determinants and health).

---

83 https://www.globalreporting.org/information/g4/Pages/default.aspx
84 https://library.sasb.org/sasb-industry-briefs/
Figure 12: Links between the social determinants of health and sustainability considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOCIAL DETERMINANT OF HEALTH</th>
<th>CONSIDERED AN INDICATOR OF A COMPANY’S SUSTAINABILITY?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to mass media and emerging technologies (i.e., cell phones, the Internet, and social media)</td>
<td>Across most industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction</td>
<td>Across certain industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community-based resources to support strong and healthy communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early childhood development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and access to job opportunities and job training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to crime, violence, and social disorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food supply and security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on community and social capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language and literacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of discrimination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential segregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social exclusion/inclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic conditions and impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite confronting obstacles equal, if not greater, than those listed earlier in Figure 4, the corporate sustainability movement has been able to make considerable progress. Figure 13 summarizes the movement’s achievements in a select list of prominent environmental issues over the last 25+ years.

---

Figure 13: Select Achievements of the Corporate Sustainability Movement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY AREA OF PERFORMANCE</th>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Emissions</td>
<td>• In the last 10 years, U.S. industry has stopped the decades long increase in carbon emissions. Carbon emissions in 2015 were 12% below 2005 levels. From 1990 to 2012, U.S. industrial output has increased by 55%, while carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions from industrial processes decreased by a little more than 23%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Use</td>
<td>• Companies have reduced their energy consumption by 21% in commercial sectors and 41% in industrial sectors since 1980. Use of non-fossil fuel renewables, such as utility-scale solar and distributed photovoltaic, has grown rapidly, increasing by more than 100% and slightly over 900%, respectively, between 2009 and 2015. Renewables account for 10% of energy use and are expected to grow by 2.6% a year through 2040.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td>• Stationary sources, e.g. chemical plants, power plants, oil refineries, etc., emit about 1.5 million tons less toxic air per year in 2015 compared to 1990-levels. Power plants, the highest emitter of air pollution, have reported a 12% decrease in carbon dioxide emissions from 2008 to 2013.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste and Recycling</td>
<td>• Recycling rates of municipal solid waste have more than doubled since 1990, from 16% to 24.5%. In 2013, 53% of ‘large companies’ (companies with annual revenues greater than $1 billion) have set publicly-stated goals for waste-to-landfill reduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>• Total industrial water withdrawals decreased 12% between 2005 and 2010, continuing the decline shown each period since 1985.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure</td>
<td>• In 2015, 81% of S&amp;P 500 companies published sustainability reports, up from 20% just five years ago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

88 https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=26152
89 https://www.c2es.org/energy/use/industrial
92 http://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.cfm?id=92&t=4
93 http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=26212
96 https://www.greenbiz.com/blog/2014/08/18/why-worlds-biggest-companies-are-investing-recycling
97 https://info.greenbiz.com/rs/greenbizgroup/images/Corporate Sustainability Practices - Waste Reduction Report.pdf?mkt_tok=3RkMMJWVI9wReojaOZKXonjHpszRwvKUEyJIf%2F0ER3f0wPUMlGd4FSdkt%2BSLDwEYGJv6SgFSLHEMa5qw7gMRXQ%3D
98 https://water.usgs.gov/watuse/wuin.html
The sustainability movement is still evolving and its outcomes are undetermined. However, there is no question that companies have fundamentally changed their sense of accountability and responsibility for their ESG performance. The emerging Culture of Health movement will benefit by learning from the lessons of the sustainability movement. While acknowledging differences, there are many points of comparability, and the issues that community H&WB and Culture of Health encompass all fall under the larger umbrella of the corporate sustainability movement. Therefore, one can draw useful comparisons to see what translates for champions for Culture of Health to adopt: key areas of inspiration that will help the Culture of Health movement to forge its own innovations; and to see where Culture of Health would productively depart from the sustainability movement.

Core drivers of the corporate sustainability movement

The evolution of the sustainability movement has been complex and multi-faceted. The intent is not to document a history of this massive and diverse movement. Instead, the focus is trying to make sense of its complexity to see what lessons can be applied to advance Cultures of Health in business. Inevitably, this requires summarization that may unintentionally over-simplify the role, workings, and effects of key sustainability drivers.

With this background, five core drivers have helped advance the evolution and overall progress of the corporate sustainability movement. These drivers are:

- Rules
- Reputation
- ROI
- Relationships
- Resources

Before investigating each driver in greater depth, three core points should be emphasized:

1. While it’s useful to separate them for the purposes of this analysis, the boundaries between core drivers are permeable. Strategies and tactics overlap. As seen in many instances, the activism that has been used to motivate business behavior has often been used to support the creation of both formal and informal rules of behavior. Another example is how the effort to build a company’s reputation as a good corporate citizen supports business ROI.

2. The drivers should be seen as a reinforcing system. One driver reinforces the efficacy of other drivers and vice versa. The way this system interacts has been essential to increasing the adoption of sustainability. This is important for those advancing a Culture of Health to consider. A key lesson of the sustainability movement is to think how the systemic interaction of drivers will build momentum for business to invest in community H&WB.

3. The sustainability movement is decentralized. There is no grand strategy, no leader, and no institution that has served to advance a coordinated approach to the movement. For enabling a Culture of Health it may be the case that having a thousand flowers bloom and compete, as has the sustainability movement, may be the best way to move forward. Or, positive results may be accelerated if leadership, structure, and coordination coalesce around a few organizations or management approaches. A few leaders with a clear vision and strong understanding of the systemic interaction among key drivers may be able to accomplish more — and do it faster — than a more diffuse and decentralized approach.

These core drivers of the sustainability movement generally work in mutually-reinforcing ways, although there are instances when they can conflict. Under each category are a series of sub-drivers that, with one or two exceptions, can line-up on a continuum: sub-drivers that are adversarial towards business to those that are more accommodating (i.e., “sticks” vs. “carrots”).

The sustainability movement is still evolving and its outcomes are undetermined. However, there is no question that companies have fundamentally changed their sense of accountability and responsibility for their ESG performance. The emerging Culture of Health movement will benefit by learning from the lessons of the sustainability movement. While acknowledging differences, there are many points of comparability, and the issues that community H&WB and Culture of Health encompass all fall under the larger umbrella of the corporate sustainability movement. Therefore, one can draw useful comparisons to see what translates for champions for Culture of Health to adopt: key areas of inspiration that will help the Culture of Health movement to forge its own innovations; and to see where Culture of Health would productively depart from the sustainability movement.

Core drivers of the corporate sustainability movement

The evolution of the sustainability movement has been complex and multi-faceted. The intent is not to document a history of this massive and diverse movement. Instead, the focus is trying to make sense of its complexity to see what lessons can be applied to advance Cultures of Health in business. Inevitably, this requires summarization that may unintentionally over-simplify the role, workings, and effects of key sustainability drivers.

With this background, five core drivers have helped advance the evolution and overall progress of the corporate sustainability movement. These drivers are:

- Rules
- Reputation
- ROI
- Relationships
- Resources

Before investigating each driver in greater depth, three core points should be emphasized:

1. While it’s useful to separate them for the purposes of this analysis, the boundaries between core drivers are permeable. Strategies and tactics overlap. As seen in many instances, the activism that has been used to motivate business behavior has often been used to support the creation of both formal and informal rules of behavior. Another example is how the effort to build a company’s reputation as a good corporate citizen supports business ROI.

2. The drivers should be seen as a reinforcing system. One driver reinforces the efficacy of other drivers and vice versa. The way this system interacts has been essential to increasing the adoption of sustainability. This is important for those advancing a Culture of Health to consider. A key lesson of the sustainability movement is to think how the systemic interaction of drivers will build momentum for business to invest in community H&WB.

3. The sustainability movement is decentralized. There is no grand strategy, no leader, and no institution that has served to advance a coordinated approach to the movement. For enabling a Culture of Health it may be the case that having a thousand flowers bloom and compete, as has the sustainability movement, may be the best way to move forward. Or, positive results may be accelerated if leadership, structure, and coordination coalesce around a few organizations or management approaches. A few leaders with a clear vision and strong understanding of the systemic interaction among key drivers may be able to accomplish more — and do it faster — than a more diffuse and decentralized approach.

These core drivers of the sustainability movement generally work in mutually-reinforcing ways, although there are instances when they can conflict. Under each category are a series of sub-drivers that, with one or two exceptions, can line-up on a continuum: sub-drivers that are adversarial towards business to those that are more accommodating (i.e., “sticks” vs. “carrots”).
Rules driver

A key driver advancing the adoption of sustainability has been the creation of new rules and regulations that either force compliance or create voluntary principles as a substitute for formal requirements. The sustainability movement has shown that rules can take on a variety of forms, and the movement is helping to innovate new approaches to lawmaking, regulation, and governance. Strategies to advance rules often, but not always, start from pressure tactics or from a company’s desire to avoid controversy and develop clear guidelines for management.

Pressure tactics can lead to the creation of new sustainability laws and regulations. However, they can also engender significant opposition that leads to a stalemate or even a potential rollback of laws (as currently being witnessed with the Clean Power Plan and the effort to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act).

Reasonable companies and stakeholder activists in the sustainability space have often been able to overcome stalemates and negotiate mutually agreeable settlements that have led to new industry standards. For example, the Forest Stewardship Council, which was formed as a result of adherence to voluntary standards, has certified nearly 17% of the global industrial roundwood market as environmentally and socially friendly.

Stakeholder activism can also motivate an industry to design its own standards. One sees this happening today in the H&WB arena. The food and agriculture industry has been under increasing pressure regarding its impact on illness from chronic conditions and non-communicable diseases such as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular ailments, and hypertension among others. As a response, the industry has taken a combination of civil regulatory, reporting, and self-policing actions such as:

- Creating the Healthy Weight Commitment Foundation that involves companies such as Bumble Bee Seafood, The Coca-Cola Company, General Mills, The Hershey Company, Hy-Vee, The JM Smucker Company, Nestlé, PepsiCo, and Shearer’s Snacks.
- Participating in the Partnership for a Healthier America (which includes dozens of companies).
- Making voluntary commitments to change their ingredient mix and reduce the use of salt, sugar, and fats. Companies making such commitments include ALDI, Kellogg’s, Mars, Nestlé, PepsiCo, Target, and Walmart.

Figure 14 summarizes the kinds of rules the sustainability movement has used.
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Corporate executives suggest that advancing rules can be part of a strategy to advance a Culture of Health. However, it may serve best as a secondary, rather than as a lead, tactic. Pressure tactics work best when it is easy for stakeholders to frame a company or industry as culpable. In the community H&WB arena, one sees this occurring with food and agriculture; certain elements of the health care industry related to pharmaceuticals and insurance; and energy companies (such as the recent coverage of the Dakota Access Pipeline and its potential impact on the water supply and culture of the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe). Attempting to portray business as culpable for community H&WB challenges would be very difficult in most cases particularly since the private sector currently supports health insurance benefits and spends an average of $693 per employee on workplace H&WB programs, and other entities are often expected to take the lead in addressing community H&WB issues.

As the sustainability movement has matured, it has been able to skip pressure tactics and encourage either multi-stakeholder or industry-led rule-setting initiatives. The background threat of pressure tactics may help, as does the influence of other drivers to be discussed such as those related to Reputation, ROI, and Relationships.

Certain rule-setting strategies may have advantages in advancing corporate involvement in community H&WB. Consider the following actions:

- **Formal disclosure and reporting.** Champions of corporate involvement should consider advancing milder rule-setting efforts that have preceded from the sustainability movement, such as reporting and disclosure. This requires transparent publication of performance against commonly agreed indicators. Across 64 countries, there are 383 sustainability reporting instruments, an increase of 538% since 2006. The US has 17 such instruments. As a driver of change, reporting and disclosure is far from perfect and can function as a blunt and inconsistent driver of progress. Nevertheless, reporting has encouraged companies to continuously increase commitments to ESG. As applied to H&WB, strategies might include:
  - Advancing a Culture of Health Report (or a “Vitality report” or a Community H&WB report) that becomes an annual, voluntary disclosure that companies publish.
  - Working to embed Culture of Health indicators in sustainability reporting frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative.

---
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Civil regulation and voluntary standards. These are extra-judicial agreements negotiated among business and NGOs. Agreements are enforced through a mixture of trust, transparency and reporting, and the threat of pressure tactics. For example, Mars aims to have 100% of the cocoa it sources certified as sustainable by voluntary standards systems. Ninety-nine percent of Starbucks coffee is certified as sustainability harvested and produced. The prospect of an effort to set rules regarding the private sector’s impact on the social determinants of health is daunting to say the least. For comparison, consider that the negative health impacts for tobacco were known (or strongly suspected) for years. Yet it took decades to make any progress in developing health and safety guidelines. Champions of corporate involvement should consider concentrating formal and civil regulation efforts for industries that have a clear, causal link to community H&WB challenges. It will be more productive to focus on specific industries that contribute to specific health concerns when advancing strategies to establish formal rules and civil regulations.

- Market-making rules. Instead of punitive measures, these advantage to incentivize to advantage companies that make commitments and demonstrate sustainable behaviors. For example, the US Department of Agriculture’s BioPreferred® program sets incentives to purchase from companies that use bio-based (such as biodegradable) production materials and chemicals. The US Environmental Protection Agency’s ENERGY STAR program rewards participating companies with a well-known logo that signals products are energy efficient and will save customers money. Champions of corporate involvement should consider how to mobilize large purchasers to favor those businesses that promote community H&WB through their products, their operations, or their community engagement.

Reputation driver

Defining corporate reputation

Reputations are overall assessments of organizations by their stakeholders. They are aggregate perceptions by stakeholders of an organization’s ability to fulfill its expectations, whether these stakeholders are interested in buying the company’s products, working for the company, or investing in the company’s shares.

Reputation and brand are interlinked. Research estimates that anywhere from 20% to 75% of total stock value is based on a company’s reputation, and up to 11% of a firm’s stock value can be related specifically to its reputation for being a good corporate citizen. Reputation is also a key factor shaping enterprise risk. For example, in 1999, Coca-Cola lost by its own estimate $60 million after schoolchildren reported suffering from symptoms like headaches, nausea and shivering after drinking its products.

The sustainability movement has found ways to affect corporate reputation by employing the strategies listed in Figure 14.
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Consider the following actions:

Reputation as a driver for community H&WB: Considerations for action

Reputation has the potential to be a core driver that helps advance community H&WB.

- **Brand and reputation positioning.** This approach seeks to use a company’s approach to sustainability to enhance its reputation and brand. Community H&WB lends itself particularly well to brand and reputation-positioning strategies such as:
  
  - **Goals, commitments, and initiatives.** These are prominent, public, ambitious, and measurable commitments to make a lasting and significant difference. Examples that relate to Community H&WB include:
    
    - Kellogg has set a goal to create 3 billion “better days” by the end of 2025, measured by providing 2.5 billion food servings; reaching 2 million children through breakfast programs; supporting farmer livelihoods; employee volunteerism; and consumer and partner engagement[^122].
    
    - P&G has set the goal to save one life every hour by 2020[^123].
    
    - Unilever has committed to help more than a billion people take action to improve their health and well-being[^124].
    
    - Volvo set the goal to achieve zero accidents with the company’s products, and to eliminate deaths and serious injuries in the road transport system[^125].
  
  - **Cause-branding and marketing.** Cause marketing contributes a portion of revenue from sales to a worthy cause. Cause branding attaches the company to the support of a prominent issue. Examples relevant to community H&WB include:
    
    - Humana has become the “Official Health Care Partner” of the National Parks Centennial. It has supported a variety of programs and public service announcements, including clever promotional videos to encourage individuals to improve their health by visiting parks. [^126]

[^126]: http://www.multivu.com/players/English/7606451-humana-health-national-parks/
- **Labeling.** It is estimated that there are 463 eco-labels applied across 25 industry sectors. These are icons used to indicate that the product sold adheres to third-party criteria related to sustainable behaviors. Labels are not without controversy, and their ability to influence consumer purchasing decisions is unclear. Nevertheless, forecasts project that eco-labels will grow to over 750 in use over the next 15 years, and “their power and influence will be much greater than new legislation.” 

- **Ratings, rankings, and recognition.** Brand and reputation positioning strategies can be supplemented by efforts to leverage corporate competitiveness and brand imperatives through ratings, rankings, and recognition. The current $250 million sustainability information market includes some 150 rating systems covering more than 50,000 companies to roughly 10,000 performance metrics. This data has helped lead to more than $21.4 trillion in sustainability-oriented assets under management to date. Champions for corporate involvement should consider creating ratings and rankings for community H&WB. This does not need to involve “naming and shaming.” Creating a prominent community H&WB award may inspire companies to become more engaged. In addition, consider developing ratings and rankings that inform:
  - Investors regarding the links between community H&WB leaders and financial performance
  - Stakeholders that are able to influence health policy to show how a mix of constructive incentives and moderate rules may help advance community H&WB

### ROI driver

“While corporate social responsibility plays an important motivational role, more traction will be possible if improving health can be linked to corporate bottom-line performance.”

For the sustainability movement, return on investment (ROI) often refers to efforts to demonstrate that ESG performance improves financial and competitive performance. In addition, this driver also includes efforts that range across the following:

- Redefining corporate goals and the key performance indicators (KPI) and metrics used to measure progress to place impacts on health and well-being on par with financial indicators

---
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- Encouraging catalytic projects, disruptive business models, and other breakthroughs that use market forces to achieve desired social ends
- Encouraging managers to apply entrepreneurial thinking to solve community H&WB challenges in a way that benefits both society and the bottom line

Figure 16 summarizes the kinds of ROI strategies the sustainability movement has used.

**Figure 16: Typology of ROI strategies to influence reputation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adversarial</th>
<th>Range of Strategies</th>
<th>Accommodating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reframe the purpose and goals of business</td>
<td>Redefine goals, KPIs, and key metrics</td>
<td>Mobilize investors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This approach seeks to reset the often quoted purpose of business to “maximize shareholder wealth” and instead advance ESG (along with financial) returns.</td>
<td>This approach seeks to motivate companies to set ambitious goals that use transparently defined KPIs and metrics to track progress.</td>
<td>This is related to, but not to be confused with, a pressure tactic to use shareholder resolution as described in the Rules section. Instead, this approach seeks to engage individuals and institutions to make equity investments with ESG in mind.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activate customers</td>
<td>Activate employees</td>
<td>Reveal high value, low cost opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This involves encouraging consumers to purchase with sustainability criteria in mind.</td>
<td>This involves encouraging employees to look for employers that commit to sustainability.</td>
<td>This involves identifying areas where the process of making a business case can be quantified, and fit into measurement approaches (such as discounted cash flow analysis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prove the business case</td>
<td></td>
<td>This involves demonstrating that the wider commitment to sustainability delivers tangible, bottom line benefits for business.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ROI as a driver for community H&WB: Considerations for action**

“Incentives to invest in community health are less direct and salient to business leaders than incentives to invest in workforce health. Nevertheless, a compelling business case can and should be made for business leaders to look beyond the worksite to the communities where their organizations do business and their employees reside.”

Senior executives identify the lack of a business case as a significant barrier inhibiting corporate involvement in community H&WB. These executives see both the need and the real potential for such a case to be made.

---
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Certain ROI-related strategies may have advantages in advancing corporate involvement in community H&WB.

Key strategies for consideration include the following.

- **Mobilize investors.** Socially responsible investment (SRI) funds tend to concentrate in equity markets as opposed to bonds or other capital markets. A common SRI fund strategy is to invest in companies whose practices demonstrate commitments to limit negative or enhance positive impacts on specific ESG issues. The modern SRI movement began to pick up speed in the 1960s and 1970s. During this time, SRI evolved with the political climate. Initially, socially responsible investors sought to address equality for women, civil rights, peace, and labor issues. In the 1980s, SRI proponents focused on investing in ways to pressure the South African government to end apartheid. Today, an increasing number of SRI investors use screens that assess the overall sustainability performance of companies rather than single issues. At the start of 2016, the SRI market was worth $8.72 trillion, a 33% increase since 2014. These assets account for one out of every five dollars under professional management in the United States. Research forecasts that socially responsible investors will take increasing interest in H&WB. They are expected to screen for companies that promote happiness, physical activity, nutrition and health prevention activities, as well as provide support for treating illness. These trends will correlate with an increase in mainstream investment opportunities in H&WB. Currently, there are two large thematic ETF’s (exchange-traded funds) that focus on fitness and wellness, Global X Health and Wellness Thematic ETF (BFIT) and The Health and Fitness ETF (FITS). Both of these funds are relatively new and are expected to pick up interest and investments as interest in health and wellness continues to grow.

In addition, Wall Street tends to reward companies that manage and reduce costs effectively. Experts contend that as much as “25 percent of current health care spending is ineffective, improving neither outcomes nor quality. Capturing these dollars for reinvestment in more effective programs and policies within and outside of health care…should be a high priority for both public and private sector leaders.” Continuing to document and inform Wall Street of the growing evidence that the social determinants of health play a role in cost reduction will align well with investors’ traditional focus on cost control and their growing interest in health. A multi-stakeholder convening held by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine finds that investors will likely be further moved to prioritize corporate involvement in community H&WB if robust data demonstrates the impact of the social determinants on:

- **Reduced profits.** A greater percentage of total generated funds has to be allocated toward health care, resulting in a reduction of profits.

- **Eroded foundation for business.** Education and infrastructure are essential foundation elements for the success of business, but they are being undermined by the diversion of GDP toward health care. Business also needs healthy people in order to be successful.

- **Diminished purchasing power.** The cumulative impact of the current scenario is a diminished market because there is less take-home pay and less disposable income.

- **Reveal high value, low cost opportunities.** There is a perception that the business case for community H&WB is more challenging to make than that for workplace health and wellness. However, there may be specific aspects of the community H&WB business that are easier to make.

---
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Research suggests that corporate executives may possess an intuitive sense of the business case for community H&WB in a few particularly high potential areas.\(^{143}\)

- **Cost Analysis and Strategic Adaptation:** 22% of corporate health costs come from 10 risk factors strongly affected by the social determinants of health. Given that the social determinants of H&WB may drive up to 50% of health outcomes, it’s possible that they may drive a similar level of health costs.

- **Employees and the War for Talent:** It is possible that community H&WB initiatives may substantially enhance HR-related benefits when paired with workplace health initiatives. For example, research suggests:
  - Employers that address the social determinants of health may gain the competitive advantage they need in recruitment efforts. They stand to build labor market reputations as employers of choice.\(^{144}\)
  - Outside of the workplace, employers can obtain an even greater return on their wellness investment by partnering with other stakeholders to tackle prevention at the community level.\(^{145}\)

- **Activating customers leading to sales revenue.** Project ROI research finds that customers will evaluate corporate citizenship by:
  - Seeing how well the company aligns its commitment to sustainability with the customer’s own priorities
  - Assessing whether a company communicates clear and simple messages regarding its sustainability priorities and commitments
  - Evaluating how well the company appears to treat its employees\(^{146}\)

The growing market for companies to serve H&WB further underscores the opportunity for companies to pursue strategies that:

- Structure business-related and sustainability initiatives that focus on improving community H&WB
- Develop simple, powerful messaging promoting the company’s commitment to a Culture of Health

- **Prove the business case for community H&WB.** Currently, the overall business case for community H&WB is based more on perception than rigorous research. Given the links between the social determinants of health and ESG indicators, it may be possible to relate business case research for sustainability to community H&WB. However, given the barriers inhibiting corporate involvement (Figure 3), continuing to pursue dedicated research that addresses the question is advisable.

- **Mobilize entrepreneurs by investing in existing (or creating new) business case competitions, incubators, and accelerators.** This involves developing an entrepreneurial eco-system to support the cultivation and development of viable businesses that address community H&WB challenges. For example, business case competitions help train students to think about community H&WB issues from a business perspective, and to learn to identify potential customers and the products and services that meet their needs. Incubators and accelerators help take entrepreneurs to the next level by providing them with support services, mentoring, encouragement, and potential access to additional capital.

There are multiple existing business case competitions for health care. Similarly, there are at least 12 health incubators across the country.\(^{147}\)

In order to disseminate and diffuse Culture of Health benefits throughout the United States, it might be worth considering developing health incubators and accelerators in states that particularly need more health service provision. States like Mississippi and West Virginia would benefit significantly from homegrown entrepreneurial activity in this space.

---
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Relationship driver

The relationship with and engagement of stakeholders — sometimes supportive, sometimes adversarial, and often demanding — has been a key driver for the sustainability movement.\(^{148}\) Four key strategies (Figure 17) in particular possess applicability to the community H&WB movement, and have the potential to amplify the effect of other key drivers.

**Figure 17: Typology of relationship drivers for sustainability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANGE OF STRATEGIES</th>
<th>ADVERSARIAL</th>
<th>ACCOMMODATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder accountability</td>
<td>Includes a variety of tactics to encourage companies to listen and respond to the expectations of non-traditional stakeholders such as activists, communities, government, media, NGOs, and thought leaders</td>
<td>Partnerships connect companies together with other organizations (such as NGOs, government agencies, other companies, or some combination) to address a sustainability challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier accountability</td>
<td>Companies spend billions on suppliers annually, raising concerns that companies are outsourcing their sustainability impacts to suppliers. This strategy engages suppliers and gives them a series of both “carrots and sticks” to improve their approach to community H&amp;WB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive rivalry</td>
<td>A core driver of any kind of organizational change is the need for companies to keep pace with competitors and peers. Research finds the desire to “keep up with the Joneses” applies to sustainability as well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationships as a driver for community H&WB: Considerations for action

“No single sector is solely responsible for health improvement. Businesses can lead or play strong supporting roles in community multi-sectoral partnerships….Real and meaningful improvement will require active participation and resources from multiple sectors of society, including health care, public health, schools, businesses, foundations, and government at all levels. In some communities, because of their prestige, political clout, and financial resources, businesses can be the super-integrator across the stakeholders. Businesses must partner with others to achieve health improvement in communities and thereby reap the advantages for their workforces and overall well-being of business activity….Businesses must reconnect company success with social progress.”\(^{149}\)

Certain relationship-related strategies may have advantages in advancing corporate involvement in community H&WB. Consider the following actions:

---


Key relationship strategies for consideration include the following.

- **Stakeholder accountability.** The Corporate Sustainability Movement (via a number of voluntary standards and reporting requirements) encourages companies to go through a series of steps to:
  
  o Map and prioritize key stakeholders
  o Identify the priority issues that stakeholders expect the company to advance
  o Conduct ongoing consultations with stakeholders
  o Follow up with specific activities to respond to stakeholder expectations
  o Ideally, create structures for stakeholders to engage in corporate governance with the Board of Directors and senior executives

Nestlé is an example of a corporate leader in this regard, adopting each of the steps above. It is one of the few global companies to create a senior-level advisory body, the Nestlé-in-Society Board. This Board is chaired by the CEO and composed of respected leaders working on sustainability issues the company has prioritized, including aspects related to community H&WB (nutrition, rural development, and water). The Nestlé-in-Society Board leads the strategic development and implementation of the company’s sustainability approach, called Creating Shared Value, across the business, including for all societal commitments, objectives, and strategies. The Nestlé-in-Society Board reports to the corporate Executive Board for input and confirmation.\(^\text{150}\)

Advocates of community H&WB should encourage and support companies in developing similar processes for stakeholder consultation, focused on ways to address the social determinants, with the objective of improving health and reducing costs. Consultations with community health experts on the social determinants can provide companies with valuable intelligence that will enhance both workplace and community H&WB programs. In addition, these consultations can help companies understand where business policies, strategies, products, spending, or other activities may be negatively impacting the social determinants. Dialogue can lead to constructive solutions before they escalate into potential risks such as lawsuits, PR crises, or costly regulation and related penalties and fines.

It may be useful for companies that engage in stakeholder consultations related to ESG to change the frame of the discussion to address impacts on health and the social determinants. A persistent challenge in stakeholder conversations is that no agreed definition (and related metrics) of sustainability exists. Companies and stakeholders may find it more productive to focus on how ESG practices can contribute to greater community H&WB.

- **Supplier accountability.** Companies spend billions on suppliers annually, raising concerns that companies are outsourcing their sustainability impacts to their suppliers. For example, it is estimated that 70% of a Fortune 1000 company’s environmental impact comes from its supply chain.\(^\text{151}\) The Supplier Accountability strategy engages suppliers and gives them a series of both “carrots and sticks” to improve their performance.

Regarding community H&WB, advocates would likely see greater progress using “carrots” to positively encourage companies and their suppliers to enhance their involvement. There is great potential to link efforts to improve the economic performance of suppliers with training that enhances their Culture of Health practices.

Developing the capability of small businesses — particularly minority owned, women owned, and those located in low-income zip codes — is a tool to promote sustainable and inclusive economic development. These small businesses grow, add jobs, purchase from other local businesses themselves, and strengthen local communities. This, in turn, should have positive implications for the social determinants of health. Over time, companies have grown to value diversity in their supply chains as a way to take advantage of benefits such as proximity, stability, quality, reliability, and stability of supply. Notable examples include the Billion Dollar Roundtable\(^\text{152}\) of companies
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committing to allocate at least $1 billion annually to diverse purchasing, and the National Minority Supplier Development Council. Furthermore, health costs affect small, medium, and large enterprises alike. Supporting small and medium suppliers to adopt effective Culture of Health strategies should deliver a virtuous cycle of benefits. As workers, their families, and their communities become healthier, they will become more productive, health costs will decline, they will be able to charge more competitive rates, corporate purchasers will see a profitable ROI, and communities will see overall health improve.

- **Competitive rivalry.** A core driver of any kind of organizational change is the need for companies to keep pace with competitors and peers. This applies to sustainability as well. A number of programs use Competitive Rivalry strategies to promote corporate commitment to workplace health and wellness. These can also apply to community H&WB. For example:
  - Provide awards and recognition for community H&WB corporate heroes and/or innovative companies using Culture of Health to drive business ROI
  - Create opportunities to participate in prestigious policy and partnership forums
  - Develop PR campaigns that feature positive stories of business involvement

- **Partnerships.** Partnerships connect companies together with some combination of NGOs, government agencies, and/or companies to address a community H&WB challenge. Partnerships can take a variety of forms. Strategies to encourage the formation of strategic partnerships include:
  - Sharing good practice resources (discussed further in the Resources Driver section below)
  - Engaging funding partners to provide capital support. This includes companies and nonprofit partners, and would seek to engage health care system partners, government funders, private and nonprofit donors, and the financial services community

### Resources driver

Every step of the sustainability movement has been accompanied by a network of service providers sharing:

- Information on best practice, emerging trends, and issues
- Benchmarking that enables companies and stakeholders to identify leaders and laggards across a variety of ESG issues
- Guidance on the strategic management, implementation, and measurement of sustainability
- Translators and intermediaries that facilitate stakeholder dialogue and the creation of multi-stakeholder partnerships
- And in education (for executives to students at all levels of instruction) to understand sustainability
- Professional associations for staff (from the CEO to the sustainability professional) to have “safe spaces” to learn from peers
- Networking and convening opportunities to keep up with new developments and build connectivity with those in the field
- Data providers for investors and others interested in analyzing sustainability progress

The providers of these resources cut across a panoply of for-profit companies, non-profit service organizations, industry associations, networks and partnerships, educational institutions, NGO activists, and data and media organizations. Research suggests these resource providers have been a driver encouraging companies to embrace sustainability (Figure 18).
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Consider the following actions.

**Resources as a driver for community H&WB: Considerations for action**

The US corporate workplace health and wellness sector — a mix of consultants, service providers, and program managers — is estimated to be a $6 billion industry employing over 77,000 individuals. This does not include the range of nonprofit organizations that provide resources for workplace health.

Comparable data for community H&WB is not readily available. It is reasonable to assume that the aggregate size of these resource providers do not come close to approaching that of the workplace sector, despite the fact that social determinants are the root driver of approximately half of health outcomes. Key actions for consideration include:

- Support the creation of an “integrator.” An integrator is a neutral coordinating entity or mechanism that brings together partners in a community health program, partnership, or business model.\(^{157}\)

- Support intermediaries. The corporate involvement movement will benefit from the support of intermediary organizations that will:
  - Advance the business case
  - Identify and disseminate best practices and promising innovative approaches to community H&WB
  - Help define and establish standards for leading practice
  - Create reputation building mechanisms
  - Broker relationships and partnerships

---
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• Develop knowledge-building campaigns targeted for the C-Suite. Senior executives are typically influenced by information sources and networks that are distinct from those used by their staff. The information they receive may be largely the same as that obtained by staff. However, the style by which it is communicated, and the status of the experts and networks sharing it is designed to appeal to the sensibilities of C-Suite leaders.  

• Establish business networks, and associations. Over the years, multiple coalitions, networks and associations have been formed that simultaneously empower what companies want to do in the sustainability space and guide them towards improving their performance. There are multiple ways that such tools could be used in the context of contributing to business support for community H&WB. These include:
  • Developing a clearinghouse of information, resources, and research
  • Tools to benchmark effective practices
  • Advocacy
  • Shared services
  • Agenda setting, policy development, and prioritization
  • Training and technical assistance
  • Convening and networking

---

VI. Next Steps

While no single organization can do everything, a movement where different organizations take ownership of different drivers of corporate behavior can. This is why a few catalytic organizations can have an enormous impact if they are able to mobilize other organizations, stakeholders, and individuals to take action. By creating a support system and empowering others, organizations that are committed to promoting a Culture of Health can leverage resources along with other people’s skills and expertise far in excess of what they could accomplish on their own.

These are some of the lessons of the sustainability movement. In addition, there are areas where the Culture of Health movement may be able to influence — if not outpace — the sustainability movement. Reflecting on the key findings and recommendations of the previous sections, one can identify five strategic themes that should inspire the efforts of those that care about corporate involvement.

Leverage the emerging health and well-being movement

The 1990s ushered in the information age, which established the prominence of digital and information technology. It has become commonplace to see companies from all varieties of industries — such as finance, retail, and transportation — proclaim that they are now “technology companies.”

The accelerating interest in health, fitness, prevention, exercise, and longevity suggests a potential “Culture of Health” era emerging. As noted, some estimate that the US H&WB industry will grow beyond $1 trillion. Certain industries are providing solutions to improve H&WB. Other industries are developing programs for workplace health. Yet H&WB touches all industries. We may well see a time when businesses from all sectors proclaim themselves “health and well-being companies” and follow the entrepreneurial strategies defined in Figures 9 and 10.

Reframe sustainability around health and well-being

H&WB is a more intuitive and understandable than sustainability. H&WB is also (relatively speaking) more measurable than sustainability. There is an opportunity to reframe the sustainability conversation on the effect companies have on the H&WB of individuals, communities, and the environment.

Certain companies are beginning to experiment with this approach. For example, Humana (with the guidance of RWJF) proposes that companies and non-profits use the metric of Healthy Days, a health-related quality of life measure developed by the CDC. Humana is using the Healthy Days metric to measure progress toward its bold goal of working with communities to improve H&WB 20% by 2020.

---


160 https://www.humana.com/provider/support/publications/your-practice-newsletter/healthy-days
Use health and well-being as a driver to connect silos within companies

There are examples of companies working to integrate commitments to health and well-being in ways that require a total, coordinated commitment of all functions, executives, and employees. The history of corporate adoption of employee safety shows that disparate corporate functions and business lines can align to pursue a common health-related goal. H&W&B has a more immediate and intuitive connection to the lives of executives, employees, shareholders, customers, suppliers, and communities than sustainability. H&W&B is everyone’s job versus making that same argument for a more abstract concept like sustainability.

Health and well-being is one of the core attributes of a highly functioning society, and increasingly, an important goal for businesses to support. Addressing and improving the social determinants of health at the community level and beyond will have a beneficial impact on business, driving down insurance and health care costs, presenteeism and absenteeism, and other drags on business performance. This means that businesses need to expand their strategic thinking and health and well-being agenda to encompass not just their own internal operations, but their stakeholders, communities, and networks. In this regard, the sustainability movement has a lot to teach, and businesses would be well-advised to learn from the experience of this movement as they shape their H&W&B strategies and deploy resources across a range of drivers of business behavior.

Activate the entrepreneurial mindset inside and outside the corporation

Babson’s methodology of Entrepreneurial Thought & Action® (ETA™) is being taught inside and outside corporations all over the world. In an unprecedented time of growing uncertainty and ambiguity, this method is used to create economic and social value simultaneously.

The book, Creating Social Value: A Guide for Leaders and Change Makers, focuses on the motivations of entrepreneurial leaders as they look at activate change within their companies, industries, communities, and value chains, sometimes even through partnerships with their competitors. Such change requires fundamentally new styles of leadership to innovate and to generate profits and social value simultaneously.

Many corporate leaders (such as those engaged as advisors for this research) want to move from talking about how to generate value and impact for community H&W&B to co-creating their way forward in ways that are not happening now.

There are three fundamental concerns of every CEO in corporations today. How to grow the business beyond mergers and acquisitions; how to innovate; and how to authentically engage their employees who are increasingly demanding a higher premium on purpose and social value creation.

As noted in his 2011 book, The Coming Jobs War, Jim Clifton, CEO of Gallup International states that that work is the number one source of happiness. “What the world wants is a good job. That is the single biggest discovery Gallup has ever made.”

This is relevant because, as this report indicates, there are so many factors that influence a robust Culture of Health eco-system, and someone’s ultimate well-being and vitality. Millennials will be, if not already, the leaders within business. Millennials also have friends and colleagues inside incubators and start-ups. A next step might be to engage the corporate sector, sustainability/CSR teams, corporate innovation teams, and policy makers in a Culture of Health/Community Vitality “Collaborator y” to co-create and experiment to build the future of community H&W&B.

The entrepreneurial approach is a win-win because everyone leaves with a new expanded mindset for action, possibilities, networks, and relationships. This is not business as usual and gives everyone agency in the process as equal participants and drivers of a robust community H&W&B pathway for success.

This model takes ideas from thinking to action, experimentation and the prototyping. An action learning model might better generate new ways of addressing the challenges that this report and many others address.

Allowing millennials, in particular, to be an active part of this process is a vibrant and powerful employee engagement strategy for real social and economic returns. It unleashes more than a department in solving these dilemmas. It engages those with the desire to have their hearts and minds tapped for the betterment of their community.
Learn from social entrepreneurs and innovators

A community of for-profit and nonprofit startups, social entrepreneurs, and social innovators are leading the way in integrating community H&WB and Culture of Health into the design of business models. These organizations need to be understood and studied. Opportunities to engage them and connect them to wider corporate networks will create inspiration and lessons learned that will show the wider business community what is possible.

This report describes The What and The So What. It describes learnings from the sustainability movement. It outlines suggestions for The Now What that focuses around a new mindset for action.

The benefits are growing increasingly clear. It’s possible to build a road map based on the experiences of others who have gone before, but with a clear vision of the unique challenges and opportunities of community H&WB. If successful, those advancing corporate involvement will set in motion a powerful engine that will drive future prosperity for the businesses that embrace this vision and enhanced quality of life for the people in the communities that they serve.
Appendix I & II

Appendix I
Organizations that participated in the Business Advisory Council on Health conveings:

Abbott
ACCP
Aetna
Athena Health
Amtrak
Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts
Campbell Soup Company
glendonToddCapitalLLC
Haley & Aldrich
John Hancock
Mars
Microsoft
National Park Service
Nestlé
PepsiCo
Reebok
RWJ Barnabas Health
Sodexo
Target
TripAdvisor

Appendix II
Examples of corporate involvement in community health and well-being

ABBOTT — Abbott, through its Women’s Heart Health Initiative is working to help women – and their doctors – understand the dangers of cardiovascular disease (CVD), starting with the doctors many women often trust the most: their OB-GYNs. The Women’s Heart Health Initiative helps these key health care providers identify people at risk, so that CVD can be treated earlier – and more lives saved. Abbott, in collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions developed a convenient, one-page screening tool to help OB-GYNs screen their patients for heart disease risk factors. OB-GYNs are often in the best position to identify women at risk for heart disease, make sure they are aware of their risk factors, and encourage them to monitor their heart health actively. Interestingly, complications during a woman’s childbearing history can reveal important clues about her heart health, even in later life.\textsuperscript{161}

\textsuperscript{161} \url{http://www.abbott.com/about-abbott/abbott-citizenship/upside-in-action/womens-heart-health.html}
AETNA — The company’s approach to corporate involvement builds from the finding that quality health care often isn’t available in low-income and minority communities, and people in these communities are often at higher risk for certain chronic health conditions. Some examples of activities and initiatives include:

- Helping to fight heart disease. The Million Hearts® initiative seeks to prevent 1 million heart attacks and strokes by 2017. Aetna is supporting this effort, including with programs to: Control blood pressure among seniors and Help smokers quit tobacco with coaching and online resources

- Healthiest Cities and Counties Challenge. Cities, counties, and federally recognized tribes that show measurable improvements in health indicators and social determinants of health will be awarded $1.5 million in prizes.\(^{162}\)
  - The challenge is offered by the Aetna Foundation, the American Public Health Association (APHA), and the National Association of Counties (NACo), in partnership with CEOs for Cities.
  - Participating cities, counties, and tribes will focus on at least one of five domains: healthy behaviors, community safety, built environment, social/economic factors, and environmental exposures. Within those domains, participants will be judged based on a variety of metrics already in use within the public health field. Challenge participants will also be evaluated on their ability to form cross-sector partnerships — a key ingredient and a critical foundation for sustainable and lasting change. An expert advisory board has been selected to judge the finalists of the Healthiest Cities and Counties Challenge, including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), AARP, and the Urban Land Institute.
  - Challenge participants will be able to share experiences and best practices throughout the process via a learning network.

AMTRAK — Amtrak has created the “Bring Your Bicycle Onboard” program to enable passengers a variety of ways to transport their bike onboard.

BD — BD’s Helping Build Healthy Communities program builds from the finding that nearly 24 million Americans live in medically underserved areas with limited access to health care — and of the few centers available to people, services are limited due to lack of medical supplies and personnel. To close the health equity gap in the United States, BD, Direct Relief, and the National Association of Community Health Centers partner on the Helping Build Healthy Communities initiative. Helping Build Healthy Communities helps local community clinics deliver primary and preventative health care services related to the prevention and treatment of diabetes, cervical cancer, and HIV. The partnership also helps clinics tailor programs for specific local patient populations and their cultural needs. To date, the partnership has awarded 26 health care centers more than $2.6 million in funding through the Helping Build Healthy Communities initiative.\(^{163}\) In 2017, four awards of $200,000 each were provided to eligible community health centers in support of innovative programs in Medication Therapy Management. The funding is to support programs that operate over a two-year period.

BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD — Blue Cross and Blue Shield (BCBS) companies around the country increased their support for community programs that promote healthier behaviors and address social determinants of health — the social factors that can negatively impact health — such as homelessness and food insecurity. Underscoring BCBS companies’ commitment to helping all Americans live healthier lives, programs that focused on increasing healthy eating behaviors and physical activity in schools, mentorship programs for at-risk youth, health clinics for underserved populations, and programs that connect low-income patients with hard-to-reach health specialists rose to the forefront of the investments made by BCBS companies last year. Additionally, the number of community programs related to behavioral health, including efforts to reduce opioid use disorder, increased by 25% as BCBS companies around the country work to address America’s opioid epidemic. In 2016, they invested more than $250 million in community health initiatives, and BCBS employees volunteered more than 400,000 hours and provided more than $11 million dollars in personal donations.

\(^{162}\) https://news.aetna.com/2016/04/healthiest-cities-and-counties-challenge-1-5-million-to-communities-that-make-strides/

\(^{163}\) https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/modal-content/46351
“The Health of America Community Investment Report” showcases community investments by BCBS companies in three key areas:

- **Enabling Healthier Living**: In 2016, BCBS companies provided people with the tools and resources necessary to adopt healthy behaviors and prevent and manage health conditions, such as obesity and diabetes. Programs focused on teaching people how to make healthier food choices, increase their physical activity, and manage chronic diseases.

- **Improving Health care Access**: Improving Americans’ access to health care was a priority for BCBS companies in 2016. The report highlights investments in safety net and mobile clinics along with programs dedicated to increasing health literacy.

- **Improving Healthcare Quality and Affordability**: The report showed BCBS companies working alongside hospitals and doctors to improve how care is delivered—all with the goal of better health. One local effort integrated primary and behavioral health services for low-income patients living in rural areas, while other initiatives include educational opportunities for patients and doctors and support for medical research.164

**CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY** — The company, which is headquartered in Camden, NJ, launched a 10-year, $10 million initiative to halve childhood obesity in the city over the next decade. Recognizing that the complexity and magnitude of the problem was too big for the company to take on alone, Campbell has partnered with different organizations to tackle the different aspects of the problem—with schools, to ensure that children have opportunities for physical activity; with nonprofits, to address issues like playground access; with the local government, to outline nutrition guidelines for children who attend Head Start; and many others.165

**CENTENE** — Over 13.6 million Americans live with a mental illness, with only 40% receiving treatment. In 2013, IlliniCare Health Plan and Cenpatico teamed up with Thresholds, a community-based mental health provider. Together they developed a pilot program to take on the challenge of serving the most complex and highest-risk members. The pilot program has seen a 63% reduction in behavioral health inpatient costs for pilot members engaged for 12 months.166

**DSM** — As a leading micronutrient provider, DSM develops innovative solutions to fight hidden hunger, defined as the micronutrient (vitamin and mineral) deficiency in a person’s diet. The company is committed to improving the nutrition of those two billion people suffering from hidden hunger by using its know-how. DSM’s Nutrition Improvement Program leads the innovative product development aimed at serving those at the bottom of the pyramid, as well as supporting the implementation of DSM’s nutrition-focused partnerships and looking for new social business models to reach those in need.167

**DUKE ENERGY** — Poor air quality leads to chronic diseases that severely impact the health, well-being, and quality of life for low-income families. Duke Energy and The North Carolina Community Action Association developed the Duke Energy Helping Home Fund to improve the health, safety, and energy efficiency of low-income households. The Duke Energy Home Fund provides families with energy efficiency measures and upgrades like H-VAC systems, new refrigerators, and appliance replacement of washers and dryers and room air conditioners. Families participating in the partnership are also educated on the value and benefit of energy efficiency and how it leads to a healthier indoor living environment. Since launch, this partnership has helped nearly 3,000 families have safer, healthier homes.168

---

165 http://www.fsg.org/blog/healthy-communities-healthy-companies-engaging-private-sector-collective-impact
168 https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/modal-content/46361
GSK — A growing number of Philadelphia’s students live in poverty and without access to healthy foods, recreational spaces, and employment opportunities. Children growing up in the city’s northern neighborhoods live 10 years less than their peers. “Get HYPE Philly!” is a collaborative initiative lead by GSK, The Food Trust, the Philadelphia Youth Network, and more local stakeholders. The program empowers youth to become leaders for healthy change through physical fitness, nutrition education, entrepreneurship, and civic involvement. Get HYPE Philly’s transformative youth-driven approach provides unique perspectives about the health barriers and resources needed to create sustainable solutions to better health in their neighborhoods. To date, nearly 20,000 youth have participated in healthy programming, including 347 activities designed and led by Get HYPE Philly’s young people. The partnership has four focus areas: urban agriculture, physical activity, food education, and work readiness. Overall, 10 partners are empowering 50,000 kids in 100 middle and high schools. Youth councils in schools work through the program to improve access to healthy foods, decrease access to unhealthy foods, and increase opportunities for physical activity (including fitness clubs, movement breaks, healthy fundraisers, school gardens, and healthy pledge events). Youth councils assess their school food and fitness environments to create and implement healthy action plans. The partnership utilizes hip hop, social marketing, and special events to convey the importance of health in a relevant way. Get HYPE Philly hosts annual Leadership Summits for youth in Philadelphia to collaborate.

JOHN HANCOCK — John Hancock is committed to fostering a culture of health, stating, “By developing products and supporting initiatives that reaffirm this goal, we strive to improve the quality of life for present and future generations.” John Hancock is partnering with The Urban Farming Institute of Boston (UFI) a social innovation organization that supports the development of urban farming (the growing of agricultural products for income). One of its programs is the Urban Farmer Training Program, which gives residents the opportunity to engage in direct, hands-on learning on small quarter-acre plots. UFI sees it as a springboard for individuals for whom traditional job models don’t work, thereby fostering innovation and entrepreneurship.

KAISER PERMANENTE — More than 200,000 of Washington, DC’s residents live in food deserts. Thirty-six percent of those residents live in poverty and 42% receive nutrition assistance. In collaboration with Kaiser Permanente of the Mid-Atlantic States, DC Central Kitchen established the Healthy Corners Program to bring healthy food into these communities. By working with convenience stores, schools, afterschool programs, summer camps, and health care providers, Healthy Corners has become a sustainable healthy food distribution system. The program also promotes lasting behavior and attitude changes by empowering children and families with the resources they need to shop, cook, and eat in healthier ways. The initiative has also been good for local business, as the program drives $69,000 in retail sales for corner stores and 96% of store owners report that Healthy Corners has increased the number of customers for their store.

MEMPHIS BUSINESS GROUP ON HEALTH (MBGH) — The Memphis Business Group on Health, an employer-led action collaborative, plays a significant and vital role in promoting and supporting health in Memphis, Tennessee. Their CEO Culture of Health Initiative promotes healthy company policies, programs, benefits, and cultures. While many initiatives engage the individual, workplace, and community, CEO Culture of Health focuses on empowering CEOs to become health champions. By driving health initiatives from the C-Suite, the program is helping transform the well-being of Memphis communities. Today, there are 64 participating employers, representing approximately 70,000 employees and about 12% of Memphis’s county workforce. Participating employers have indicated that the greatest benefits they receive from creating Cultures of Health in their organizations are recruitment, retention, and productivity.

169 https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/modal-content/46358
170 https://www.johnhancock.com/citizenship/healthy-living.html
171 https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/modal-content/46360
172 https://www.uschamberfoundation.org/health-means-business/healthy10-awards
NOVO NORDISK — Novo Nordisk is making a multi-year investment in the health of Trenton’s children and families. Through a program called the Community Health Collaborative™, which is overseen by the NJ Partnership for Healthy Kids, Novo Nordisk is helping to cultivate healthy lifestyles among grade school children, including healthy food choices and physical activity, along with educational programming for their parents. The Collaborative supports the work of its member organizations in two areas: preventing early onset of type 2 diabetes and increasing the proportion of children at a healthy weight. Funding supports programs within four main pillars: empowering parents and caregivers; providing healthy food in schools; improving access to healthy, affordable foods; and increasing physical activity. Novo Nordisk’s Community Health Collaborative™ brings together Isles, Inc., The Boys & Girls Club of Mercer County, the YMCA of Trenton, the YMCA State Alliance, George Street Playhouse, GoNoodle, Wellness in the Schools (WITS), The College of New Jersey, and the Trenton Health Team. The collaboration works jointly on in-school and afterschool programming plus district-wide wellness policies and their implementation. In a city where 39% of the adults are deemed obese and 16% suffer from diabetes, the focus is on fostering a culture of health for students and their families through education, opportunities to be physically active, and support for great decisions about food and nutrition. Through dramatic presentations, school gardening, cooking demonstrations, taste tests, interactive technology, and targeted physical play activities and exercises, students, teachers, families, and school staff explore the physical, emotional, and academic benefits of a Culture of Health.\(^{173}\)

TARGET — Target expanded upon it long-standing partnership with Feeding America (FA) by supporting its Regional Produce Cooperatives (RPCs). The seven strategically-located RPCs are improving food banks’ efficiency and planning by helping to build the network’s capability to aggregate demand, expand the variety of produce available, and improve distribution of this healthy but highly-perishable food. Most importantly, they are increasing the amount of produce available to families and communities served by the FA network. Since the Southwest location launched in summer 2016, 14 million pounds of produce have shipped through the facility and distributed to the 21 food banks serving Texas. The other RPCs, which are in earlier phases of operation, have shipped 4.5 million pounds since May 2017.\(^ {174}\)

UNILEVER — Unilever has set the following goals:

- By 2020, help more than a billion people take action to improve their health and well-being. By 2016 approximately 538 million people had been reached
- By 2020, use oral health improvement programs to encourage children and parents to brush day and night, aiming to change behavior of 50 million people. Between 2010 and 2016, 75 million people had been reached with oral health programs.
- By 2020, motivate 100 million people to take the Heart Age test
- Help to end hunger, achieve food security, and improve nutrition central to attaining the UN Sustainable Development Goal #2 related to Zero Hunger
- By 2020, double the proportion of its portfolio that meets the highest nutritional standards, based on globally recognized dietary guidelines. By 2016, 35% of their foods met the highest nutritional standards.\(^ {175}\)


\(^{174}\)Source: interviews between the authors and Target staff, November, 2017.

\(^{175}\)https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/
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