

MONDAY MORNING

BANQUETING HALL

SKALKOTAS HALL

MC2 HALL

MC3 HALL

MC3.2 HALL

MC3.3 HALL

MC3.4 HALL

8:30 – 10:00

Plenary A: The effects of research integrity on innovation and policy making

Chair:

• Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens

Keynotes:

- “Cautionary tales from Metascience”, Daniele Fanelli, Heriot-Watt University
- “A Quality System approach to Research Integrity”, Anja Gilis, Johnson and Johnson Innovative Medicine
- “Research integrity at the interface with policy-making”, Theodoros Karapiperis, EuroScience

10:30 – 12:00

Focus Track (Part 1): Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation

Chair:

• Daniel Barr, RMIT University

Core group:

- Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens
- Daniel Barr, RMIT University
- Eleni Spyrou, National Technical University of Athens
- Maura Hiney, UCD Institute for Discovery

Symposium 1: Leadership in promoting a research culture with integrity in institutions

Moderator:

• Tamarinde Haven, Tiburg University

Contributors:

- Seán Lacey, Munster Technological University
- Dena Plemmons, Univeristy Of California, Riverside
- Bob Siegerink, Leiden University Medical Center

Symposium 2: The impact of generative AI on integrity in education, research, and the translation of research into policy

Chair:

• Sonja Bjelobaba, Uppsala University

Contributors:

- Sonja Bjelobaba, Uppsala University
- Sarah Elaine Eaton, University of Calgary
- D.J. Anning, Elsevier
- Tomas Foltynek, Masaryk University

Session Chair:

Anna Abalkina, Freie Universität Berlin

The Next 10 Years at the U.S. Office of Research Integrity: Joining the International Research Community March into a New Era.

Sheila Garrity, ORI, U.S. Dept. of Health And Human Services

Implementing a national survey on research misconducts: how does data collection lead to standardization of norms?

Carole Chapin, High Council For The Evaluation Of Research And Higher Education

Equity and Health Research Priority Setting: Developing WHO Guidance

Katherine Littler, World Health Organization (WHO)

Key aspects of implementing parallel-arm cluster randomized trials in low- and middle-income countries: a review of 300 trials published 2017–2022.

Cory Goldstein, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute

Stakeholders’ attitudes and perceived ethical challenges of returning individual pharmacogenomics research results among people living with HIV (PLHIV)

Sylvia Nabukenya, Makerere University

Future Proofing Research Integrity Post COVID19 Pandemic

Wendy Lipworth, Macquarie University

Session Chair:

Danny Chan, The University Of Hong Kong

The long road from research misconduct to disciplinary sanction. A 30-year review of case law in French higher education

Nicolas Klausser & Olivier Leclerc, CNRS

25 years of experience with the Ombudssystem and Ombudspersons in Germany – what has been achieved and what still needs to be achieved?

Kirsten Huettemann, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

In favour for a responsible and ethical research: the French national research funding agency (ANR) evaluation criterion on sex and/or gender dimension in research content

Laurence Guyard, Agence Nationale de la recherche

On the contractual and social legitimacy of research integrity offices in small research ecosystems: experiences and recommendations...

Tom Lindemann, Luxembourg Agency For Research Integrity

Transition to Open Science. Advances in integrity and reproducibility of research in the Spanish university system

Fernando Casani, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Creating a Research Integrity Code of Conduct for East and Sub-Saharan Africa – A Scoping Review and Ethical Analysis

Kirsten Reijbroek, Maastricht University

Session Chair:

Krista Varantola, Finnish National Board On Research Integrity Tenk

Opportunities and challenges in research misconduct proceedings, an ORI’s perspective

Anuj Sharma, The Office of Research Integrity

Knowledge, attitudes, practice, and environment. Summary of ten years of studies of scientific integrity in Norway

Bjørn Hofmann, University Of Oslo

Supporting integrity of the scholarly record: Our commitment to curation and selectivity in the Web of Science

Anna Treadway, Web of Science Clarivate

Towards Collaboration in the Investigation of International Cases of Research Misconduct: Issues and Recommendations

Sabine Chai, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity

Professionalizing Ombuds Work in Academia: A Practical Guide for Ombudspersons

Katharina Beier, University of Göttingen

Validated instruments for measuring responsible conduct of research (RCR): a systematic review

Mette Brandt Eriksen & Thea Drachen, University Of Southern Denmark

Session Chair:

Krista Varantola, Finnish National Board On Research Integrity Tenk

An easy-to-use taxonomy for research integrity training

Mariëtte Van Den Hoven, Amsterdam University Medical Centers

Long term outcome assessment of a training in responsible research practices

Silke Kniffert, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin

Over Two Decades of RCR Programs: What’s changed, and does it resonate within the international context?

Debra Schaller-Demers, New York University

Surveying the provision of research integrity training in Australia, the UK and the USA

Ed Gerstner, Springer Nature

Teaching research integrity sustainably – is it possible?

Michael Gommel, Team Scientific Integrity

Aligning Research Integrity with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Undergraduate Programs: Challenges and Solutions

Mariëtte Van Den Hoven, Amsterdam University Medical Centers

MONDAY AFTERNOON

BANQUETING HALL	SKALKOTAS HALL	MC2 HALL	MC3 HALL	MC3.2 HALL	MC3.3 HALL	MC3.4 HALL
-----------------	----------------	----------	----------	------------	------------	------------

12:00 — 13:30

Lunch and Poster Walks 1

13:30 — 15:00

Plenary B: The future(s) of reproducibility: how reproducibility reform improves research integrity
Chair:
• Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Amsterdam University Medical Centers
Keynotes:
• “Building a research culture that is open, rigorous, and reproducible”, Timothy Errington, Center for Open Science (COS)
• “The Future(s) of reproducibility from different disciplinary contexts”, Dr. Joeri Tjink, AmsterdamUMC/VU University
• “The future of reproducibility from a publishers perspective”, Liz Allen, F1000, Taylor & Francis Group

15:30 — 16:30

<p>Session Chair: Eleni Spyrakou, University of Athens</p> <p>Ensuring Good Research Practices in a Time of Generative AI Niek Brunsveld, University of Amsterdam</p> <p>Research Ethics for Artificial Intelligence: The Twofold Need for Compliance Requirements and for an Open Process of Reflection and Attention Anais Resseguier, Trilateral Research</p> <p>The impact of generative models for text and image creation on good research practice and on research funding Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (German Research Foundation, DFG)</p>	<p>Session Chair: Mai Har Sham, The Chinese University of Hong Kong</p> <p>Challenges posed by hijacked journals in Scopus Anna Abalkina, Freie Universität Berlin</p> <p>Large-scale Flagging of Research Integrity Misconduct at Elsevier Yury Kashnitsky, Elsevier</p> <p>From reactivity to proactivity: assessing proactive ethical and integrity guidelines structures of journals with recurrent retractions Karen Santos-d’Amorim, Universidade Federal De Pernambuco</p> <p>Perception of research staff on the ethical structure of a university: knowledge, use and satisfaction. Laura Bernal Sánchez, Universitat of Jaume I</p>	<p>Session Chair: A. Roxana Lescano, ASOPEBAID</p> <p>Artificial Intelligence-based Feature Recognition of “Paper Mill” Patterns Tianyi Hu, Beijing University Of Post And Telecommunication</p> <p>The detrimental impact of Artificial Intelligence on future research integrity, unless we change course(s) Gustaaf Cornelis, Vrije Universiteit Brussel</p> <p>Findings From a Paper Mill Svetlana Kleiner, Springer Nature</p> <p>The relevance of criminological inquiry about research misconduct Rita Faria, University of Porto</p>	<p>Session Chair: Julia Prieß-Buchheit, University of Kiel</p> <p>Responsible PhD Supervision from the perspective of the supervisor and the PhD candidate Tamarinde Haven, Tilburg University</p> <p>Is mentorship heritable? The transmission of integrity from mentor to mentee Kathryn Weber-Boer, Digital Science</p> <p>Empowering Research Integrity: Fostering intrinsic commitment to good research practice across all academic ranks Andrea Kliewer, PI University Hospital/FSU/Scientific Integrity</p> <p>Do we achieve anything by teaching research integrity to starting PhD students? Kris Dierickx, Centre for biomedical ethics and law (KU Leuven, Belgium)</p>	<p>Session Chair: Bert Seghers, ENRIO</p> <p>Negotiating RI as academic survival strategies Andrea Reyes Elizondo, Leiden University</p> <p>On the interconnectedness of psycho-social and research integrity – experiences as confidential counsellor Fenneke Blom, Amsterdam UMC</p> <p>Integrity at the core of healthy and effective research cultures: the roles and responsibilities of research organisations. James Morris, Science Europe</p> <p>Lessons from the Peruvian 'Vacunagate' Case on the Limits of Regulation in Research Integrity Elizabeth Heitman, University of Texas Southwestern</p>	<p>Session Chair: Tony Ross-Hellauer, Graz University of Technology</p> <p>Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Assessing Responsible Research Practices Ulf Toelch, Charité Universitätsmedizin</p> <p>Academic publisher guidelines on AI usage: a ChatGPT supported thematic analysis Mike Perkins, British University Vietnam</p> <p>Drafting a data management plan for an international project Martine Peters & François Vincent, Université Du Québec En Outaouais</p> <p>What academics want versus what they need Patricia Henley, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine</p>	<p>Session Chair: Frits Rosendaal, Leiden University Medical Center</p> <p>Open peer review reports have higher developmental content and similarity than anonymized unpublished reports Mario Malicki, Stanford</p> <p>Correcting the published record: How authors react to editorial prompts about corrections to cited literature Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine</p> <p>Redesigning reporting guidelines: presenting a new way to disseminate reporting guidance based on behaviour change theory James Harwood, University of Oxford</p> <p>AI Chatbots and the Ethics of Authorship Robert Pennock, Michigan State University</p>
---	--	---	--	--	--	---

MONDAY EVENING

BANQUETING HALL SKALKOTAS HALL MC2 HALL MC3 HALL MC3.2 HALL MC3.3 HALL MC3.4 HALL

17:00 – 18:30

Symposium 3: Implications of research integrity for public trust in academic research
Chair:
Serge Horbach, University of Athens

Moderator:
Jennifer Byrne, The University Of Sydney, NSW Health Pathology

Symposium 4: A snapshot of knowledge on research integrity from research presented in the doctoral forum of the world conferences: a bird’s eye view on the findings
Chair:
Carmen Penido, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation

Moderator:
Elizabeth Heitman, University of Texas Southwestern

Symposium 5: Leveraging policies to influence and enhance responsible conduct of research (RCR)
Chair:
Paula Saner, University of Cape Town

How do national Ethics regulations impact RI/RCR practices and policies
Clarissa Robertson, Stellenbosch University

Session Chair:
Anne Walsh, Queensland University of Technology

Ideology versus academic freedom. A matter of research integrity?
Jonathan Soeharno, University of Amsterdam

Do scientists want to dwell in the ivory tower? Mapping researchers’ attitudes towards societal values in science
Jacopo Ambrosj, Ku Leuven

Session Chair:
Nicole Foeger, Luxembourg Agency For Research Integrity

Research Integrity, Thick and Thin
Michael Vincent, University of Queensland & Mark Hooper, Tricky Goose Training

The ENRIO Handbook on Whistleblower Protection in Research – Critical Feedback and Future Challenges
Helga Nolte, Universität Hamburg

Session Chair:
Li Tang, Fudan University

Uncovering Duplicated Images in Scientific Literature – Systematic Review as a Tool for Detection
Rene Aquarius, Radboud University Medical Center

Correlating Indicators of Research Integrity: An Analysis of Image Integrity, Statistical Inconsistencies, and Missing Citations
Daniel Acuna, University of Colorado at Boulder

Session Chair:
Takehito Kamata, Sophia University

Promoting diversity and inclusively in research through the concept of Ubuntu: A South African Perspective
Feziwe Mseleni, Northwest University

How Should Research Integrity be Introduced to Undergraduate Students? Insights from SURE–Africa Project at a Medical School in Southern Nigeria
Chiedozie Ike, Ambrose Alli University

Why warranted trust in science is never a given, while scepticism is an intrinsic component of trustworthiness – findings from the IANUS project
Hub Zwart, Erasmus University Rotterdam

On the role of mediating actors in building trust through research integrity and societal integration – findings from the POIESIS project
Serge Horbach, University of Aarhus

Contributors:
• Rita Faria, University of Porto
• Tamarinde Haven, Tiburg University
• Francis Kombe, EthiXPERT NPC
• Sonia Vasconcelos, UFRJ

Management, development and implementation of institutional research policies, examples from an HIC context
David Blades, RMIT University

What role do HICs play in capacity and policy development in LMICs?
Dorian Karatzas, European Comission

Enriching engagement with ethical review processes
Filipa Vance, University of Bath

Conceptualising the global dimension of Responsible Research and Innovation through a decolonial lens – Reflections from a Summer School
Sarah Patricia Wendt, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

Fostering Creative Integrity: Engaging Design Educators in Addressing Visual Plagiarism Through Participatory Design Methods
Lisa Winstanley, Lisa Winstanley Design

Working towards a more unified research integrity policy at Erasmus MC
Angela Van Tilborg, Erasmus MC

Correlating Indicators of Research Integrity: An Analysis of Image Integrity, Statistical Inconsistencies, and Missing Citations
Daniel Acuna, University of Colorado at Boulder

Mining tortured acronyms from the scientific literature
Alexandre Clausse, Université Paul Sabatier

Best Practices and Lessons Learned from 30–Years of Teaching the “Responsible Conduct of Research” to Junior Faculty, Postdoctoral Scientists and Graduate and Undergraduate Students...
Jaime Rubin, Columbia University

Achievements and Future Challenges in Establishing Research Ethics at the Korean University Council of Research Ethics
In Jae Lee, Seoul National University of Education

Re-defining the ecosystem of trust in science: the role of stewards of trust in changing research environments – findings from the VERITY project
Agata Gurzawska, Trilateral Research IE

International collaboration as a tool to elevate and enact development of local policies and practice
A. Roxana Lescano, ASOPEBAID

Promoting research integrity in the era of decoloniality and epistemic freedom towards locally relevant policies
Nadia Tagoe, Kwame Nkrumah University Of Science And Technology

Integrity in Research Practice: Perception of undergraduate students in Rwandan universities
Edouard Ntakirutimana, University of Bamberg

The link between research methods and research ethics: Towards promoting ethical research culture in higher education
Anastasia Ngobe, University of South Africa

Designing practical tools to foster the epistemic responsibilities of universities: A co-creation study
Iris Lechner, Vrije Universiteit


Year after year: Tortured conference series thriving in computer science
Guillaume Cabanac, University of Toulouse

Bad smells in reviewers’ reports? Text-mining the MDPI Open Peer Review Corpus
Gilles Hubert, University Of Toulouse

Why do some retracted articles continue to get cited?
Marion Schmidt, German Centre for Higher Education Research and Science Studies (DZHW)

Evaluation of the Efficacy of Education and Training on Research Integrity for Young Researchers at KMUTT, Thailand
Namol Vorapreeda, University of Technology Thonburi (kmutt)

Exploration of a new model of scientific research integrity construction in Chinese hospitals—Taking the experience of a Top 10 tertiary medical center as an example
Shanhua Mao, Fudan University



18:30 – 19:30

Socratic dialogues between early career researchers and professionals
Chair:
• De-Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia

TUESDAY MORNING

BANQUETING HALL	SKALKOTAS HALL	MC2 HALL	MC3 HALL	MC3.2 HALL	MC3.3 HALL	MC3.4 HALL
-----------------	----------------	----------	----------	------------	------------	------------

8:30 – 10:00

Plenary C: The 7th WCRI Cape Town statement on fostering research integrity through fairness and equity one year on
Chair:
• Eleni Spyrou, National Technical University Of Athens
Keynotes:
• “The Cape Town statement on fostering research integrity through fairness and equity: A recap and overview”, Lyn Horn, University of Cape Town
• “Benchmarking academic editor diversity at Springer Nature journals”, Ed Gerstner, Springer Nature
• “From principles to practice: using equitable partnership tools to action the Cape Town Statement”, Michelle Brear, University of Witwatersrand and Association Of Commonwealth Universities

10:30 – 12:00

<p>Focus Track (Part 2): Catalysing the translation of research into trustworthy policy and innovation</p> <p>Chair: • Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens</p> <p>Core group: • Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens • Daniel Barr, RMIT University • Eleni Spyrou, National Technical University of Athens • Maura Hiney, UCD Institute for Discovery</p>	<p>Symposium 6: Research integrity: improving training and trainer quality</p> <p>Chair: • Julia Prieß-Buchheit, University of Kiel</p> <p>Moderator: • Marie Alavi, Kiel University</p> <p>(Non-)Effective FAIR Training</p> <p>Julia Prieß-Buchheit, University of Kiel</p> <p>Opportunities and Challenges in Fostering Research Integrity in Malaysia</p> <p>De-Ming Chau, Universiti Putra Malaysia</p> <p>Measuring Effectiveness of RCR education</p> <p>Mariëtte Van Den Hoven & Miriam van Loon, Amsterdam University Medical Centers</p>	<p>Symposium 7: The intersection of research integrity and academic integrity: multi-country perspectives</p> <p>Chair: • Sarah Elaine Eaton, University of Calgary</p> <p>Contributors: • Erika Löfström, University of Helsinki • Brenda Stoesz, University of Manitoba • Anu Tammeleht, University of Helsinki</p>	<p>Session Chair: Ana Marušić, University of Split School of Medicine</p> <p>Stakeholders’ Views about Research Misconduct in a Public University in Ghana</p> <p>Fred Yao Gbagbo, University of Education, Winneba</p> <p>Reflecting on the implementation of a newly developed integrated research integrity management system: North-West University, South Africa</p> <p>Minrie Greeff, North-West University</p> <p>The State of Research Ethics and Integrity among University Students in Africa: Insights from selected Botswana Higher Education Institutions</p> <p>Ayodeji Michael Obadire, Botswana Accountancy College</p> <p>Development of Legislative Interventions and Policies for Preventing Research Misconduct in Africa</p> <p>Francis Akpa-Inyang, Durban University of Technology</p> <p>Challenges in Setting up a Research Integrity Office in a Lower Middle-Income Country (LMIC) Academic Institution: Lessons from Moi University, Kenya.</p> <p>Edwin Were, Moi University</p> <p>Research Integrity in Higher Education Institutions in the countries of the Andean Community</p> <p>Gisela Isabel Fernández Rivas Plata, Universidad De Barcelona</p>	<p>Session Chair: Chris Graf, Springer Nature</p> <p>The Individual Participant Data (IPD) Integrity Tool for assessing the integrity of randomised controlled trials</p> <p>Anna Lene Seidler, University Of Sydney</p> <p>Academic and research misconduct among health and non-health students: which Big 6 personality profiles are at higher risk in each field?</p> <p>Ana Cristina Veríssimo, University of Porto</p> <p>Development of a tool (INSPECT-SR) to identify problematic randomised controlled trials in systematic reviews of health interventions</p> <p>Jack Wilkinson, University of Manchester</p> <p>Are global clinical trial funders policies on clinical trial registration and reporting improving? – a cross-sectional study</p> <p>Marguerite O’Riordan, Aston Medical School & TranspáriMED</p> <p>Fabricated studies in women’s health: disconcerting experience from a whistleblower</p> <p>Bernardus Mol, Monash University</p> <p>How to Deal with Academic “Inbreeding”?: Inmobility, Mobility and Meritocracy in Research and Higher Education Institutions</p> <p>Wilfrid Antonio Martinez Sanchez, Spanish National Research Council</p>	<p>Session Chair: Lyn Horn, University of Cape Town</p> <p>China’s research integrity monitoring system study and it’s application</p> <p>Yao Yang, National Center For Science And Technology Evaluation</p> <p>Cross-Institutional Research Integrity Training Model – Facilitator Dialogical Reflections</p> <p>Kate Dunne, Atlantic Technological University</p> <p>A multi-dimensional learning strategy to foster research integrity</p> <p>Daniel Pizzolato, EUREC Office gUG</p> <p>Interventions to Support Healthy Authorship Practices in Research Teams</p> <p>Elise Demeter, University of North Carolina at Charlotte</p> <p>Promoting excellence in research through integrity – developing a theoretical foundation for open distance e-learning</p> <p>Leonie Louw, University of South Africa</p> <p>Fostering Research Integrity Culture through Rotatory Role-Playing: A Study on Enhancing Awareness of Research Integrity Code of Conduct</p> <p>Hsing-Tzu Lin, National University Of Kaohsiung</p>	<p>Session Chair: Mads P. Sørensen, Aarhus University</p> <p>Surveys of Institutional Research Culture – Can we measure change?</p> <p>Malcolm Macleod, University of Edinburgh</p> <p>Conflict Resolution, Accountability, Respectful Interactions, Ethics, and Supportive Environments (CARES): Development and Validation of an Organizational Climate Survey</p> <p>Brian C. Martinson, Independent Consultant</p> <p>Signs, Symptoms, and Situations of Moral Distress During the Pursuit of Research Excellence</p> <p>Anna Kang Liu, Roche/genentech</p> <p>UK Committee on Research Integrity – building the evidence base</p> <p>Rachael Gooberman-Hill, UK Committee on Research Integrity</p> <p>Restoring Public Trust in the U.S. Government Through Scientific Integrity</p> <p>Anne Andrews, National Institute Of Standards And Technology</p> <p>The Scope and Current Projects of the U.S. National Academies’ Strategic Council for Research Excellence, Integrity, and Trust</p> <p>Jennifer Heimberg, NASEM</p>
--	--	--	---	--	---	---

TUESDAY AFTERNOON & EVENING

BANQUETING HALL	SKALKOTAS HALL	MC2 HALL	MC3 HALL	MC3.2 HALL	MC3.3 HALL	MC3.4 HALL
-----------------	----------------	----------	----------	------------	------------	------------

12:00 – 13:30

Lunch and Poster Walks 2

13:30 – 15:00

Plenary D: Addressing the challenge of paper mills through research and policy
Chair:
• Jennifer Byrne, The University Of Sydney & NSW Health Pathology
Keynotes:
• “Paper mill submissions - identifying red flags for moving targets”, Lisa Parker, University of Sydney
• “How research paper mills avoid consequences of journal de-indexing”, Thomas Stoeger, Northwestern University
• “United2Act on paper mills: an update”, Deborah Kahn, Committee on Publication Ethics

15:30 – 16:30

<p>Session Chair: Matthias Kaiser, University of Bergen</p> <p>The Ethics of Responsible AI: Ways of Long-term Coping Hallvard Fosshem, National Research Ethics Committees (Norway)</p> <p>AI and the evolution of scientific norms: whether and how the norms of science should change to accommodate AI? Mohammad Hosseini, Northwestern University</p> <p>Publishers’ Post-Publication Response Rate and Outcomes of Concerns About False Data in Medical Publications Bernardus Mol, Monash University</p> <p>Research Integrity and Ethics in the 21st Century India: A Critical Appraisal Tarun Kumar Mondal, University of Kalyani</p>	<p>Session Chair: Stefanie Van der Burght, Ghent University</p> <p>A taxonomy of scholarly disinformation to enhance research integrity Leslie McIntosh, Digital Science & William White, University Of Wisconsin-Milwaukee</p> <p>How to assess the scientific integrity of the collected work of one author or group of authors Jeremy Nielsen, Monash University</p> <p>Citations to questionable journals threaten research integrity: bibliometrics as a key actor in the detection Barbara S. Lancho Barrantes, University of Brighton</p> <p>Methods of Dissernet development after “progress” of research falsification Vasilij Vlassov, Higher School Of Economics</p>	<p>Session Chair: Sonia Vasconcelos, UFRJ</p> <p>Identifying Training Needs for Research Ethics Committees Eleni Spyrakou, University of Athens</p> <p>The Necessity to Teach Research Integrity Values Markus Seethaler, Federal Ministry Of Education, Science And Research</p> <p>The ethics of scholarship: Reflections on postgraduate supervision and research Laetus Lategan, Central University of Technology</p> <p>Enhancing Research Integrity in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Lessons from Global Initiatives in Oncology Khalid El Bairi, Mohamed Ist University</p>	<p>Session Chair: Zoe Hammatt, Hawaii</p> <p>We are as strong as our weakest link – Fieldworkers’ role in research integrity Francis Kombe, EthixPERT NPC</p> <p>Indigenising Research Management: What Does it Mean for Research Integrity Managers and Administrators? Tanya Coetzee, University of South Africa</p> <p>A Large-Scale, International Cross-Sectional Survey of Attitudes and Perceptions of Medical Researchers Towards the Use of Artificial Intelligence Chatbots in the Scientific Process Jeremy Ng, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute</p> <p>Responsible Artificial Intelligence – legal perspectives on emerging technologies and research integrity Teodora Konach, European Association Of Research Managers And Administrators</p>	<p>Session Chair: David Moher, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute</p> <p>iRISE – SOLES: A Systematic Online Living Evidence Summary for interventions to improve reproducibility Kaitlyn Hair, University Of Edinburgh</p> <p>Navigating ambiguity and uncertainty in research practice Tom Van Drimmelen, Leiden University</p> <p>The attribution of two portraits of Rembrandt revisited: A case study of replication in art history Charlotte Rulkens, VU University</p> <p>Critical evaluation of the current state preregistration in animal research Céline Heintz, German Federal Institute For Risk Assessment (BfR)</p>	<p>Session Chair: David Tovey, David Tovey Associates</p> <p>Impacts of misinformation on the translation of science with the public Madison Green, James Cook University</p> <p>How should our understanding of research integrity be used to inform trustworthy science communication. Alison Sheaves, James Cook University</p> <p>Editorial Review in International Science for Policy Organisations Koen Jonkers, European Commission</p> <p>Promoting research integrity and communication using science informed art Karen Cloete, University of South Africa</p>	<p>Session Chair: Maura Hiney, University College Dublin</p> <p>TIER2’s activities to foster a next-level funders community in reproducibility Alexandra Bannach-Brown, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité</p> <p>Are research funding decisions related to applicants’ assessments of research ethics and integrity? Knut Jørgen Vie, University of Oslo</p> <p>How One Grant Reviewer Stopped 10 Year of Research Misconduct Ning Du, OASH, HHS</p> <p>Corrections to journal articles supported by European and US research grants: a cross-sectional study, 2014-2022 Antonija Mijatovic, University of Split School of Medicine</p>
---	--	---	---	--	---	--

18:45 – 17:30

Steneck-Mayer Lecture
Chair:
• Maura Hiney, University College Dublin
Speaker:
• “Reproducible research: Progress made across disciplines”, John P. A. Ioannidis, Stanford University

20:00 –

Conference dinner

Plenary E: Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy

Chair:
• Sabine Kleinert, STMJ - The Lancet
Keynotes:
• “Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The researcher’s perspective”, Thirusha Naidu, University Of Kwazulu-natal
• “Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The clinician-anthropologist’s perspective”, Jessica Cerdeña, University of Connecticut, Middlesex Health
• “Tackling racial and ethnic bias when translating research into policy – The editor’s perspective”, Mabel Chew, The Lancet

Symposium 8: Toward responsible clinical trial data sharing practices

Chair:
• Florian Naudet, IRSET

Clinical trial data sharing and the global south

Syntia Nchangwi, University of Cape Town

COPE positions about management of empty promises

Daniel Kulp, Committee on Publication Ethics

Symposium 9: A policy agenda to address industry influence on research integrity

Chair:
• Quinn Grundy, University of Toronto

Contributors:
• Marc-Andre Gagnon, Carleton University
• Scott Graham, The University of Texas at Austin
• Andreas Lundh, University of Southern Denmark
• Lisa Parker, The University of Sydney

Symposium 10: Governance of research integrity in the era of open science

Chair:
• Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe, University of Oslo

Understanding Open Science: Opportunities, Challenges and Solutions

Signe Mežinska, University of Latvia

Will technology enable responsible open science?

Olivier Le Gall, Inrae

ROSiE complement to the European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity. ROSiE General Guidelines on Responsible Open Science

Rosemarie De La Cruz Bernabe, University of Oslo

ROSiE field-specific ethics and integrity guidelines on OS

Teodora Konach, European Association Of Research Managers And Administrators

Roundtable Discussion: Challenges and Opportunities for Responsible Open Science in Europe

Panel

Session Chair:
Sheila Garrity, Office Of Research Integrity, U.S. Dept. of Health And Human Services

Is Innovation the Enemy of Fairness? A Research Data Perspective

Katrin Frisch, German Research Ombudsman

Conflicts of interest in research across scholarly disciplines: cross-sectional study and qualitative content analysis of policies

Andreas Lundh, University of Southern Denmark

Putting Research Integrity on the Map: Understanding Dynamics of Responsibility Across European Research Integrity Policies

Rachel Fishberg, Aarhus University

Shaping the field: a review of the use of theory in research on research integrity

Lise Degn, Aarhus University

Development and application of a research integrity maturity model

Gordon McGurk, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute

Developing a topography of “responsible conduct of research” across disciplines: a three-part study

Jackie Thompson, University of Bristol

Session Chair:
Dorian Karatzas, European Commission

A classification and ranking of Questionable Research Practices reported in surveys

Daniele Fanelli, Heriot-Watt University

Ranking Research Misconduct and Questionable Research Practices: An Informal Survey Among Ph.D. students

Robin Engelhardt, University of Copenhagen

Are questionable practices always detrimental? On the context dependency of ethical evaluations of academic practices

Mads Paludan Goddixsen, University of Copenhagen

Themes and emerging factors from research misconduct cases in the media: A report of findings from a review of recent case studies for the BEYOND project

Ian Slesinger, Trilateral research

Balancing Complexity and Collaboration: Multi-Institutional Research Integrity Investigations in Australia

Karolyn White, Macquarie University

From watchdogs to Epistemic activists – a pass-through towards institutional change?

Mady Barbeitas, CNRS

Session Chair:
Malcolm Macleod, University of Edinburgh

The Cochrane Evidence Pipeline: Revolutionizing Study Identification in Evidence Synthesis

Steph Grohmann, Cochrane

Increasing robustness of preclinical research towards successful translation: an assessment of the evolution of protocols from exploration to confirmation

Maria Arroyo Araujo, Berlin Institute of Health

Transparency in the secondary use of health data: Assessing the status quo of guidance and best practices

Olmo van den Akker, Berlin Institute of Health

Trialblazers: putting patients in the driving seat of clinical trials

Caroline Struthers, University of Oxford

Results of the use of the Quality Output Checklist and Content Assessment (QuOCCA)

Simon Gandevia, Neura

The Use and Misuse of Clinical Trial Registries: Reflections from Metaresearch in the UK and Germany

Maia Salholz-Hillel, Berlin Institute of Health

Session Chair:
Annette Flanagin, JAMA and JAMA Network

Do potential predatory journals and mainstream ones differ in handling retractions?

Shaoxiong Brian Xu, Huanggang Normal University

Conflicts of interest policies for editors, statistical reviewers, and peer reviewers in medical journals: cross-sectional study

Christoffer Bruun Korfitsen, University of Southern Denmark

Empirical Insights into global retraction notices: Assessing Quality for Improved Transparency and Accountability

Hui-Zhen Fu, Zhejiang University

Requiem for the retraction: why proposals for 'honest retractions' fail in their objective to promote and encourage the self-correction of science

Tim Kersjes, Springer Nature

Towards automatic detection of citation quotation errors: An open dataset and machine learning models

Halil Kilicoglu, University of Illinois

Monitoring Open Science Practices – towards a community-derived automated dashboard for biomedical institutions

Anna Catharina Vieira Armond, University of Ottawa Heart Institute

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON

BANQUETING HALL

SKALKOTAS HALL

MC2 HALL

MC3 HALL

MC3.2 HALL

MC3.3 HALL

MC3.4 HALL

12:00 – 13:00

Lunch

13:30 – 14:30

Plenary F: Perspectives on Research Integrity and Generative Artificial Intelligence

Chair:

• Daniel Barr, RMIT University

Keynotes:

- “Perspective of publishers and policy makers”, Joris van Rossum, STM Solutions
- “Perspective from Industry”, Shanshan Yu, Fujitsu Limited
- “Impacts of generative AI on research: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly”, Karin Verspoor, RMIT University

15:00 – 16:00

Session Chair:

Loreta Tauginienė, Kazimieras Simonavicius University

Challenges and Opportunities in Promoting Research Integrity in African Institutions

Daisy Cheruiyot, Kenya Medical Research Institute

Strengthening Research Ethics and Integrity in East and Sub-Saharan Africa

Beth Mutumba, Uganda National Council for Science and Technology

How can human experience influence the development of integrity tools and workflows? A case study from image screening

Elizabeth Moylan, Wiley

Constructing an International Framework for the Promotion of Research Integrity in Asia: An Interim Report

Jun Fudano, Waseda University

Session Chair:

Grace Mulcahy, University College Dublin

A Research Institution’s Experience of the Recommendations of Cooperation and Liaison between Universities and Editors (CLUE).

David Blades, RMIT University

Beyond Organisational Boundaries: Ecosystem and Researcher Influences on Integrity and Practice

Robin Brooker, University Of Essex

Keeping the PEACE: Applying an interview model to research integrity investigations

Nitya Phillipson, Murdoch Children’s Research Institute

Placeholders: An Honest-Error Defense?

Ranjinidevi Ambalavanar, United States Office Of Research Integrity

Session Chair:

Tamarinde Haven, Tilburg University

The case for a percentage-based author-contribution system in scientific publishing

Achilleas Samaras, Democritus University Of Thrace

Peer reviewer credit in research assessment

Erica Wilfong, Embo

Research Integrity Indicators project from the UK Committee on Research Integrity

Jane Alfred, UK Committee on Research Integrity

Fostering research integrity practices among Postgraduate Students, Postdoctoral Research Fellows (PDRFs) and University Staff: A Case of a South African University

Oluyinka Osunkunle, University of Fort Hare

Session Chair:

Nikos Thomaidis, Nkua

Research data management practices and challenges at a large comprehensive university: A cross-sectional mixed method study

Joshua Ho, University of Hong Kong

The institutional journey to foster research integrity through research data management and open science: Are we there yet?

Su Nee Goh, Nanyang Technological University

Data management in research misconduct cases in the UK

Anne Taylor, Wellcome & Rebecca Veitch, UK Research and Innovation

Collating and comparing institutional data on university research infrastructures: critical reflections from ACU Measures

William Bramwell, Association Of Commonwealth Universities

Session Chair:

Costas Charitidis, National Technical University of Athens

Partners in Integrity – The Importance of Global Collaboration for Responsible Research: A South African and UK Perspective

Liam Mckerverey, University Of Bristol

Research Stewardship – A New Concept to Keep Up With the Times

Allison Jackson, University Of Technology Sydney

Shifting Power and Advancing Equity in the Field of Sexual and Reproductive Health Globally

Ann Moore, Guttmacher Institute

The Blur of Academic Integrity and Research Integrity – The Complementary Link

Eleni Flack-Davison, Wits University Johannesburg

Session Chair:

Tomáš Foltýnek, Masaryk University

Students' Perceptions and Lecturers' Experiences of Thesis Supervision in the School of Creative Arts, University of Education, Winneba

Pearl Hammond, University Of Education, Winneba

Navigating Open Science and Research Ethics in the Basic Sciences: Insights from a Qualitative Study

Alejandra Manco, Lyon 1

The institutionalization of principles of equitable partnerships by large international funders – naivety or lack of commitment?

Cornelia Malherbe, Stellenbosch University

Knowledge and Prevalence of Research Misconduct among Post-Graduate Students in South-West Nigeria

Rebecca Oke, Ekiti State University, Ado-ekiti

Session Chair:

Chien Chou, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University

Embedding equity in international research collaboration

David Nicholson, Wellcome Sanger Institute

Incorporating research ethics into collaborative public-private sector research in Horizon Europe technological innovation projects: ethics advisors’ reflections on experiences from DIDYMOS-XR

Irma Poder, Trilateral Research

More ethics in the laboratory, please! Scientists' perspectives on ethics in the preclinical phase

Marcin Waligóra, Jagiellonian University Medical College

The Role of Data Protection Laws in Promoting Research : An East African Case Study

Judith Murungi, Young African Policy Research Hub (yaporh)

18:45 – 17:30

Concluding Plenary

Chair:

• Lex Bouter, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Amsterdam University Medical Centers

Keynotes and awards:

- “Progress made with Athens statement”, Panagiotis Kavouras, National Technical University of Athens
- “Preregistration of empirical research reported on in WCRI abstracts”, Klaas Sijtsma, Tilburg University
- “Excellence in Doctoral Research Awards”, Tamarinde Haven, Tilburg University
- “Anderson-Kleinert Diversity Awards”, Sabine Kleinert, STMJ – The Lancet
- “Poster and Oral Presentation Awards”, Chris Graf, Springer Nature
- “Announcement of the 9th WCRI” & “Closing remarks”, Panel