Changes in functional connectivity with cognitive behavioral therapy for social anxiety disorder predict outcomes at follow-up
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A B S T R A C T

Approximately half of individuals with Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) treated with psychological intervention do not achieve clinically significant improvement or retain long-term gains. Neurobiological models of SAD propose that disruptions in functioning of amygdala-prefrontal circuitry is implicated in short-term treatment response. However, whether treatment-related changes in functional connectivity predict long-term well-being after psychotherapy is unknown. Patients with SAD completed an incidental emotion regulation task during fMRI before and after treatment with cognitive behavioral therapy or acceptance and commitment therapy (n = 23, collapsed across groups). Psychophysiological interaction analyses using amygdala seed regions were conducted to assess changes in functional connectivity from pre-to post-treatment that predicted symptom change from 6 to 12-month follow-up. Negative change (i.e., greater inverse/weaker positive) in amygdala connectivity with the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) predicted greater symptom reduction during follow-up. Positive change in amygdala connectivity with the cerebellum, fusiform gyrus, and pre-central and post-central gyri predicted less symptom reduction (e.g., no change or worsening). Results suggest that strengthened amygdala connectivity with regulatory regions may promote better long-term outcomes, whereas changes with visual and sensorimotor regions may represent sensitization to emotion-related cues, conferring poorer outcomes. Clinical implications for treatment personalization are discussed, should effects replicate in larger samples.

1. Introduction

Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD), characterized by persistent and excessive fear of being scrutinized in social situations, is one of the most common anxiety disorders (Ressler et al., 2005). SAD is associated with significant impairment in social and occupational functioning, and often precedes the development of other comorbid psychiatric disorders including depression (Beesdo et al., 2007). Although extant psychological treatments can be efficacious in reducing social anxiety symptoms, approximately forty-five percent of individuals do not achieve clinically significant improvement in the long-term (Loerinc et al., 2015), making it important to investigate factors that contribute to sustained well-being. Neurobiological factors that are altered through treatment provide insight into potential mechanisms of therapeutic change, both in the short-term and long-term. Knowledge of mechanisms can pave the way for treatment development and refinement, leading to more targeted and effective interventions.

Emotion regulation refers to flexible management of emotions in response to affective stimuli. Neurobiological models suggest that regions of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) are central to regulation of emotional reactivity in the amygdala through top-down connectivity (Berkman and Lieberman, 2009; Brühl, Delsignore, Komossa, and Weidt, 2014). Compared to healthy individuals, individuals with SAD demonstrate aberrant activity in and connectivity between the amygdala and prefrontal regions (Freitas-Ferrari et al., 2010). In response to social or emotional stimuli, amygdala hyper-reactivity has been consistently implicated in the pathophysiology of SAD (Davies et al., 2017), the degree of which also corresponds with symptom severity (Goldin, Manber, Hakimi, Canli, and Gross, 2009). Moreover, aberrant recruitment of cortical regions in SAD, including dorsolateral, ventrolateral,
and medial prefrontal cortex (dl/vl/mPFC) and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), may be linked to deficits in emotion regulation (Brühl, Delsignore, et al., 2014; Picó-Pérez, Radua, Steward, Menchón, and Soriano-Mas, 2017; Zilverstond, Parvaz, and Goldstein, 2017). However, findings have been inconsistent regarding the specific prefrontal sub-regions as well as direction of effects (both relatively increased (Brühl, Delsignore, et al., 2014; Goldin et al., 2009) or decreased activation (Zilverstond et al., 2017) compared to healthy individuals).

Discrepancies may in part be due to examination of activation rather than patterns of functional connectivity between limbic and prefrontal regions, which may serve as a more reliable biomarker (Nord et al., 2019). Functional connectivity studies have found that compared to healthy individuals, individuals with SAD demonstrate disrupted communication between the amygdala and prefrontal regions during resting state (vmPFC (Hahn et al., 2011)), as well as during implicit (vmPFC (Young et al., 2017)) and explicit emotion regulation tasks (dl/vlPFC (Goldin et al., 2009)). Additionally, evidence from dynamic causal modeling suggests impaired bidirectional effective connectivity from amygdala to vmPFC while perceiving emotional cues (Sladky, Höflich, et al., 2015).

Changes in this circuitry have been implicated as mechanisms in treatment response (Goldin et al., 2014, 2013; Young et al., 2017). Individuals with SAD demonstrated strengthened inverse connectivity (i.e., negative correlation in activity between two regions) between the amygdala and the dmPFC while reappraising negative self-beliefs after cognitive behavioral therapy (Goldin et al., 2013). Similar patterns were observed in a randomized control trial of cognitive behavioral therapy and acceptance and commitment therapy for SAD (Craske et al., 2014), wherein anxiety symptom reduction in either treatment condition corresponded to increases in inverse functional connectivity between the left amygdala-to-vmPFC and right amygdala-to-vlPFC during implicit emotion regulation (Young et al., 2017). In addition to changes in inverse connectivity between amygdala and prefrontal regions, enhanced positive connectivity among prefrontal regions (medial PFC, vlPFC, dIPFC, and dACC) while reappraising social criticism has been found after cognitive behavioral therapy (Goldin et al., 2014), possibly indicating increased communication between regions implicated in regulation.

Mechanistic research informs questions about how treatments work and requires evidence for change in a purported mediator to predict subsequent change in measures of outcome. Very few studies have evaluated neural change as a predictor of subsequent clinical outcomes, which is important to consider when identifying what factors contribute to sustained well-being after the end of psychological treatment. Instead, by far the majority of studies have evaluated baseline neural predictors of short-term clinical outcomes in SAD (Burklund, Torre, Lieberman, Taylor, and Craske, 2017; Doehrmann et al., 2013; Klumpp et al., 2017; Young et al., 2018). A limited number of studies have examined whether patterns of neural activation predict long-term outcomes (Furmark et al., 2002; Månsson et al., 2015). For example, weaker baseline dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC)-amygdala functional connectivity in response to self-referential criticism predicted treatment-responder status one year after receiving internet-delivered CBT with attention bias modification (Månsson et al., 2015, 2017).

As noted, baseline prediction studies do not speak to treatment mechanisms, which requires investigation of whether changes in neural functioning throughout treatment predict outcomes at follow-up assessments. To date, only one positron emission tomography (PET) study has taken this approach, finding that attenuation in bilateral amygdala, periaqueductal grey, and left thalamus regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) during a public speaking task predicted responder status one year after treatment with either a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor or cognitive behavioral group therapy (Furmark et al., 2002). To our knowledge ours is the first study to investigate the extent to which treatment-related changes in functional connectivity during emotion regulation predict long-term outcomes for social anxiety disorder. Understanding changes in brain networks during emotion regulation holds promise for elucidating how treatments mechanistically influence neural plasticity to promote lasting clinical improvements.

The current study examined whether changes in functional connectivity during implicit emotion regulation (affect labeling) predict changes in symptoms from 6 months to 12 months after treatment. Affect labeling, the process of naming emotions, has been demonstrated to be an effective emotion regulation strategy, resulting in reductions in the intensity of emotional reactions to labeled stimuli (Lieberman, Inagaki, Tabbinia, & Crockett, 2011). Previous fMRI studies have shown that affect labeling (compared to a gender labeling control condition) is typically associated with reduced amygdala and enhanced prefrontal activation, as well as enhanced connectivity between these regions in healthy controls (Lieberman et al., 2007; Torre & Lieberman, 2018). Short-term changes in functional connectivity during affect labeling by completion of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) or acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) observed using data from the parent study have been reported elsewhere from (Young et al., 2017), with post-treatment symptom reduction corresponding to increased inverse connectivity between the right amygdala-right vlPFC and left amygdala-vmPFC, irrespective of treatment type. Young et al. (2017) analyzed pre-to post-treatment neural changes during affect labeling that corresponded with pre-to post-treatment symptom reduction (defined using one self-report measure, the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (Fresco et al., 2001)). The current study builds upon Young et al. (2017) by examining pre-to post-treatment neural changes during affect labeling that predict changes in a composite score of self-reported social anxiety symptoms from 6 to 12-month follow-up (using two self-report measures to capture cognitive, behavioral, and affective facets; methods described below).

We hypothesized that greater strengthening of functional connectivity in emotion regulation circuitry during treatment would predict greater symptom reduction from 6 to 12-month follow-up periods. Based on literature and theory of implicit emotion regulation and treatment prediction, we expected strengthened inverse connectivity between the amygdala to prefrontal regulatory regions (vlPFC, vmPFC, and dACC) to relate to better long-term outcomes.

2. Methods and materials

Full details regarding method and clinical outcomes are reported elsewhere, as data in the current study were collected as part of an RCT of CBT and ACT for SAD (Craske et al., 2014).

2.1. Participants

Participants were recruited through the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Anxiety and Depression Research Center from referrals, flyers, and Internet and newspaper advertisements. The UCLA Office for the Protection of Human Research Subjects approved all procedures, and participants provided written informed consent. Participants were 18–45 years old, right-handed, English-speaking, and had a principal or co-principal diagnosis of SAD (demographic details reported in Table 1). Exclusion criteria consisted of a history of bipolar disorder, substance abuse or dependence in the past six months, suicidality, psychosis or psychiatric hospitalizations; standard MRI contraindications (claustrophobia, pregnancy, non-removable metal, serious medical conditions or brain injury); and concurrent psychotherapy for an anxiety disorder or changes in other psychotherapy within the past 6 months. Additionally, participants were required to be stabilized on psychotropic medication (with no changes within the past three months for SSRIs, SNRIs, and heterocyclics, or within the past month for benzodiazepines).
of psychotherapy, therapists conducted follow-up booster phone calls if participants reported negative thoughts and breathing retraining, whereas ACT focused on emotion regulation component focused on cognitive restructuring of situations, as well as an emotion regulation component. In CBT, the emotion regulation component focused on cognitive restructuring of situations, as well as an emotion regulation component.

Participants completed two valid and reliable self-report measures of social anxiety symptoms including the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)) to assign diagnoses and clinical severity ratings (CSR), with ratings of 4 or higher indicating clinically significant severity. Participants completed two valid and reliable self-report measures of social anxiety symptoms including the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)) to assign diagnoses and clinical severity ratings (CSR), with ratings of 4 or higher indicating clinically significant severity.

Participants completed two valid and reliable self-report measures of social anxiety symptoms including the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)) to assign diagnoses and clinical severity ratings (CSR), with ratings of 4 or higher indicating clinically significant severity.

Participants completed two valid and reliable self-report measures of social anxiety symptoms including the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)) to assign diagnoses and clinical severity ratings (CSR), with ratings of 4 or higher indicating clinically significant severity.

Table 1
Participant demographic and clinical information. M = male, F = female, 12MFU = 12-month follow-up, CSR = clinical severity rating. Independent samples t-tests confirmed no treatment group differences in demographic or clinical variables (all p > 0.25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CBT</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>26.48</td>
<td>26.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(7.79)</td>
<td>(4.44)</td>
<td>(6.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>12/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pre-treatment symptom composite</td>
<td>(−0.16) (0.14) (0.00)</td>
<td>(0.88)</td>
<td>(0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(0.88)</td>
<td>(0.79)</td>
<td>(0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 6MFU symptom composite</td>
<td>(−0.16) (−0.14) (0.00)</td>
<td>(0.83)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(0.83)</td>
<td>(1.04)</td>
<td>(0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 12MFU symptom composite</td>
<td>(−0.02) (0.07) (0.01)</td>
<td>(0.87)</td>
<td>(1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(0.87)</td>
<td>(1.01)</td>
<td>(0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 12MFU-6MFU symptom change score</td>
<td>(−0.18) (0.12) (0.00)</td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(1.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(0.68)</td>
<td>(1.45)</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pre-treatment</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD CSR (SD)</td>
<td>(0.69)</td>
<td>(1.08)</td>
<td>(0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 6MFU SAD CSR</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SD)</td>
<td>(2.00)</td>
<td>(1.38)</td>
<td>(1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 12MFU</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAD CSR (SD)</td>
<td>(1.61)</td>
<td>(1.71)</td>
<td>(1.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Clinical and self-report measures

Trained interviewers conducted diagnostic evaluations using the Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-IV (ADIS IV (Brown, Barlow, & DiNardo, 1994)) to assign diagnoses and clinical severity ratings (CSR), with ratings of 4 or higher indicating clinically significant severity. Participants completed two valid and reliable self-report measures of social anxiety symptoms including the Social Interaction Anxiety Scale (SIAS (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)) and the Social Phobia Scale (SPS (Mattick & Clarke, 1998)). A symptom composite score was calculated from the two self-report measures to create a valid and reliable index of symptoms that encompasses cognitive, affective, and behavioral facets of social anxiety. The symptom composite reflects the mean of Z-scores for each measure at the 6-month follow-up (6MFU) and 12-month follow-up (12MFU) time-points. In the one case in which SIAS data was missing, the symptom composite was based on the mean of SPS Z-scores. A follow-up symptom change score was computed by subtracting the 12MFU symptom composite from the 6MFU symptom composite score.

2.3. Procedure & treatment

Eligible patients were randomized to either receive one of two manualized psychotherapy treatments for anxiety (Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, n = 40; or Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, n = 34), which involved 12 weekly 1-h individual therapy sessions, or to the wait list (WL, n = 26). As described in more detail elsewhere (Craske et al., 2014), both treatments involved exposure to feared social situations, as well as an emotion regulation component. In CBT, the emotion regulation component focused on cognitive restructuring of negative thoughts and breathing retraining, whereas ACT focused on acceptance and mindfulness. Patients who completed all 12 sessions of treatment were included in the present study. Following the 12 sessions of psychotherapy, therapists conducted follow-up booster phone calls (20–35 min) once a month for 6 months consistent with the assigned therapy modality.

From post-treatment to 12MFU, two participants changed psychotropic medication (one in CBT and one in ACT). Three began new psychotherapy (all three in the ACT group between 6MFU and 12MFU).

All participants who underwent treatment were invited to complete both pre- and post-treatment fMRI scanning sessions (see Fig. 1 for details of numbers of participants who completed each session). As in previous work, we excluded participants with noisy fMRI data at either pre- or post-treatment scans (defined as > 10% of images with global signal intensity > 2.5 SD of the mean, or affected by motion more than 2.5 mm in any direction; see Table 2). An additional inclusion criterion for this study was the completion of both the 6-month (6MFU) and 12-month follow-up (12MFU) assessments, which occurred approximately 3 and 9 months after treatment completion respectively (i.e., 6 and 12-months after the start of treatment). Follow-up assessments involved symptom self-report measures and a diagnostic interview. The resulting sample of 23 patients was included in the present analysis. Given the lack of treatment group differences in both immediate and follow-up clinical outcomes (Craske et al., 2014), as well as functional connectivity in the affect labeling task (Young et al., 2017), the current analysis collapsed across treatment modality to create a combined sample. Independent samples t-tests on the connectivity estimates were used to explore potential group differences in the current sample.

2.4. Incidental emotion regulation task

Participants completed the affect labeling task (Lieberman et al., 2007), commonly used in the laboratory as a measure of implicit emotion regulation independent of effort or intentionality (for a review see Torre & Lieberman, 2018). Affect labeling is thought to serve as a form of incidental emotion regulation that reduces negative affect by “putting feelings into words.” While cognitive behavioral therapy and acceptance and commitment therapy train explicit emotion regulation through different strategies, implicit regulation via affect labeling may tap into processes that are common across treatment types. In the task (see Fig. 2), participants viewed images of emotional facial expressions (anger, fear, disgust) or geometric shapes while instructed to complete labeling or matching tasks (for full details of the affect labeling task see Burklund, Craske, Taylor, & Lieberman, 2015). Consistent with prior research, we focused on the contrast between the Affect Label (choosing which of two words matches the facial expression) and Gender Label (choosing which name matches the gender of the face) conditions to isolate incidental emotion regulation processes. This contrast examines emotional verbal-linguistic processing specifically while controlling for response selection, verbal processing, and emotion perception (Lieberman et al., 2007).

The task consisted of four blocks of each condition type with six trials per block, with condition order counterbalanced across participants. Each block began with a 3 s instruction cue corresponding with the condition type. Each trial lasted for 5 s, during which the stimulus was presented for the entire duration, followed by a 10 s inter-trial-interval, during which a fixation cross was displayed.

2.5. fMRI acquisition

Magnetic resonance images were acquired at the UCLA Ahmanson-Lovelace Brain Mapping Center on a Trio 3.0 Tesla MRI scanner. A high-resolution structural T2-weighted echo-planar imaging volume (spin-echo, TR = 5000 ms, TE = 34 ms, matrix size = 128 × 128, resolution = 1.6 mm × 1.6 mm × 3 mm, FOV = 200 mm, 36 slices, 3 mm thick, flip angle = 90°, bandwidth = 1302 Hz/Px) was acquired for each participant coplanar with functional scans. A total of 344 vol were acquired from four functional runs (gradient-echo, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 25 ms, flip angle = 90°, matrix size = 64 × 64, resolution = 3.1mm×3.1 mm × 3.0 mm, FOV = 200 mm, 36 axial slices,
Fig. 1. Patient flow chart with reasons for exclusion at each time point. CBT = cognitive behavioral therapy; ACT = acceptance and commitment therapy; 6MFU = 6-month follow-up; 12MFU = 12-month follow-up.

Table 2
Pre-to post-treatment changes in functional connectivity from whole-brain PPI analysis using left and right amygdala seed regions (contrast: ‘Post [Affect Label – Gender Label] > Pre [Affect Label – Gender Label]’) correlated with change in symptom composite scores from 6 to 12-month follow-up, controlling for pre-treatment symptom composite scores. Peak Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates and respective Broadmann’s Areas (BA) are reported for each cluster. All p < 0.05, corrected (see Methods).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amygdala seed (L/R)</th>
<th>Anatomical Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>MNI Coordinates</th>
<th>Cluster size (voxels)</th>
<th>Peak t value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in functional connectivity (Post-Pre) correlation with 12 mo. Symptom Composite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect Label &gt; Gender Label</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>dmPFC/R dACC</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>0 53 25 152</td>
<td>4.288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>mid/posterior cingulate,</td>
<td>23/29/31</td>
<td>3 − 40 19 295</td>
<td>5.170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/R precuneus</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>− 3 − 52 52 129</td>
<td>5.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L/R precuneus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>− 33 − 49 − 26 150</td>
<td>− 4.473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L cerebellum, L lingual gyrus, L fusiform</td>
<td>2/3/4</td>
<td>− 45 − 10 46 217</td>
<td>− 4.348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>L post-central gyrus, L pre-central gyrus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2. Whole-brain analyses

A one-sample t-test was conducted on the neural change scores with the 6 to 12-month follow-up symptom change scores entered as a covariate of interest and pre-treatment symptom composite scores entered as a covariate of no interest (Table 2, Fig. 4). Greater follow-up symptom reduction was associated with more negative change (i.e., reduced positive connectivity/enhanced negative connectivity)
between the left amygdala and the dmPFC, dACC, midcingulate and posterior cingulate cortex, left calcarine sulcus, and left and right precuneus. Less follow-up symptom reduction was associated with positive change (i.e., enhanced positive connectivity/reduced negative connectivity) between the left amygdala and the left cerebellum, left lingual gyrus, left fusiform gyrus, and left pre- and post-central gyri connectivity.

Independent samples t-tests on the connectivity estimates from the five resulting clusters confirmed that there were no treatment group differences in functional connectivity (all \( p > 0.20 \)). Similarly, there were no group differences on the 6 to 12-month follow-up symptom change scores in the present sample (\( t(21) = 0.62, p = 0.54 \)). Interaction plots (see Supplementary Materials, Figs. S1 and S2) demonstrate that the direction of the relationship between functional connectivity and 6 to 12-month follow-up symptoms changes from pre- to post-treatment for both AL and GL conditions. Specifically, the association between amygdala connectivity with several regions (dmPFC/dACC, mid-posterior cingulate cortex, and precuneus) and follow-up symptoms changed from a negative correlation at pre to a positive correlation at post. The association between amygdala connectivity with other regions (cerebellum/fusiform/lingual gyrus and pre/post-central gyri) and follow-up symptoms switched from a positive correlation at pre to a negative correlation at post.

4. Discussion

Changes in functional connectivity from pre to post cognitive behavioral therapy or acceptance and commitment therapy for social anxiety disorder significantly predicted clinical outcomes during 6-month to 12-month follow-up. Specifically, negative change in connectivity (i.e., enhanced inverse or attenuated positive connectivity) between the left amygdala and the dorsomedial PFC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, mid-to posterior cingulate cortex, calcarine sulcus, and precuneus during implicit emotion regulation was associated with greater social anxiety symptom reduction from 6 to 12-month follow-up. Conversely, positive change in left amygdala connectivity with the cerebellum, lingual gyrus, fusiform gyrus, pre-central gyrus and post-central gyrus was associated with less symptom reduction (no change or worsening) from 6 to 12-month follow-up. Notably, pre-to post-treatment neural change predicted follow-up symptom change over and above pre-treatment symptom severity. Further, we found that the direction of the relationship between functional connectivity and symptoms changed as a result of therapy, suggesting that pre-treatment functional connectivity, or changes in functional connectivity during Gender Labeling alone, are not solely driving the effects, but that change over time is also an important factor.

4.1. Emotion regulation circuitry

Our findings suggest that change in the strength of neural connectivity during psychological treatment significantly impacts long-term treatment outcomes. By identifying patterns of neural connectivity that may indicate lasting therapeutic success after treatment, this study begins to address mechanisms of therapeutic change. In particular,
strengthened inverse amygdala connectivity with the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate from pre-to post-treatment predicted superior long-term outcomes. This pattern of results points to changes in emotion regulation circuitry as a potential mechanism of effective psychotherapy for social anxiety disorder. The results are consistent with neurobiological models of prefrontal cortical down-regulation of affective regions such as the amygdala (Ochsner, Silvers, & Buhle, 2012).

The dmPFC, implicated in social cognition (Bzdok et al., 2013; Lieberman, Straccia, Meyer, Du, & Tan, 2019), mentalizing (Zaki & Ochsner, 2012), and monitoring one's own and others' emotional states (Ochsner et al., 2012), is thought to play a role in emotion regulation (Buhle et al., 2014; Ochsner & Gross, 2005). In particular, when using perspective-taking as an emotion regulation strategy, healthy individuals engaged the same anterior portion of the dmPFC found in the present study, which modulated amygdala activation (Gilead et al., 2016). Further, greater dmPFC activation and connectivity with the amygdala corresponded to reappraisal success in both healthy individuals (Banks, Eddy, Angstadt, Nathan, & Phan, 2007; Wager, Davidson, Hughes, Lindquist, & Ochsner, 2008) and patients with social anxiety disorder (Goldin et al., 2009). Our results are consistent with treatment studies, which have found strengthened dmPFC activation as well as inverse connectivity with the amygdala during reappraisal after cognitive behavioral therapy (Goldin et al., 2013). Although the region of the dmPFC found in this study is partially overlapping with those mentioned above (e.g., Banks et al., 2007; Gilead et al., 2016; Wager et al., 2008), relatively more dorsal and posterior regions of the medial PFC (i.e., closer to the pre-supplementary motor area) are typically implicated in meta-analyses of emotion regulation (Buhle et al., 2014). Future research should clarify the role of distinct subregions of the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, especially with respect to amygdala connectivity.

The ACC lies at the nexus of large scale networks involved in regulating attention to internal and external stimuli, and has been implicated in modulating amygdala activation in the presence of emotional distractors (Etkin, Egner, Peraza, Kandel, & Hirsch, 2006). Historically, the ACC has been associated with a multitude of psychological functions; however, a reverse-inference meta-analysis indicated that the dACC specifically is related to pain and distress-related emotions rather than conflict, salience, or executive processes (Jahn, Nee, Alexander, & Brown, 2016; Lieberman & Eisenberger, 2015). Relatedly, the dACC may relate to survival goals, making it sensitive to social rejection, a central component of social anxiety disorder (Lieberman & Eisenberger, 2015).

While the ROI-specific analyses with the dACC region did not yield significant relationships, we found in the whole-brain analyses that negative change in amygdala connectivity with relatively more rostral regions of the dACC predicted greater social anxiety symptom improvement during follow-up. These findings are consistent with prior work implicating amygdala-ACC connectivity for prediction of short-term treatment response (Burklund et al., 2017; Fitzgerald et al., 2017; Månsson et al., 2015). It is worth noting that these results could mean either weakened positive connectivity or strengthened inverse connectivity at post-treatment. Changes in amygdala-dACC connectivity through treatment may reflect less coupling between threat-detection (Phelps & LeDoux, 2005) and social distress (Lieberman & Eisenberger, 2015) regions respectively during implicit emotion regulation, which in turn relate to superior outcomes at follow-up. Reduced coupling between these regions might mean that the amygdala does not trigger the dACC subjective distress response as easily or often, thus conferring better long-term outcomes. Alternatively, our results may reflect strengthened inverse amygdala-dACC connectivity. Given that a similar pattern of inverse connectivity has been observed during successful emotion regulation in healthy controls (Banks et al., 2007; Ochsner et al., 2012), normalization of this circuitry during treatment for social anxiety disorder may be responsible for long-term treatment gains. As the PPI analyses used here do not allow inference of directionality within neural circuitry, it is not possible to state which of these two interpretations is more likely. Future work might therefore examine directionality within this circuitry more closely to determine the functional role of these regions in short- and long-term clinical response.

In our prior study, social anxiety symptom improvement immediately after cognitive behavioral therapy or acceptance and commitment therapy corresponded to strengthened inverse amygdala connectivity with the vIPFC and vmPFC during affect labeling (Young et al., 2017). Contrary to these short-term effects, changes in amygdala connectivity with ventral and lateral prefrontal regions were not associated with long-term outcomes. Instead, we found that enhanced inverse amygdala connectivity with medial regions including dmPFC, rmPFC, dACC, and pgACC predicted better outcomes from 6 to 12-month follow-up.

Our findings can be conceptualized within a recent framework of emotion regulation along two dimensions: the goal, ranging from implicit to explicit, and the change process, ranging from automatic to controlled (Braunstein, Gross, & Ochsner, 2017). Affect labeling is considered a hybrid “implicit-controlled” strategy, characterized by an implicit regulatory goal (i.e. individuals do not intend to regulate when labeling) and engagement of controlled processes (i.e. the labeling itself is likely a controlled process). Neural regions implicated in this class of emotion regulation strategies include the posterior mPFC, dACC, and vIPFC. Our findings were consistent with the exception of the vIPFC, which is traditionally found during affect labeling in healthy controls (Lieberman et al., 2007; Torre & Lieberman, 2018) and was implicated in short-term treatment response in our previous analyses (Young et al., 2017). It is currently unknown why vIPFC-amygdala connectivity was not implicated in long-term symptom reduction. It may be that whereas vIPFC regulation of amygdala responses may be important in immediate responses to treatment, long-term benefit may rely on a different set of neural mechanisms. For example, it is possible for controlled processes to become more habitual/automatic through practice, which could correspond to shifts in regulatory control from lateral to medial PFC regions (Braunstein et al., 2017). Future work should further investigate changes in prefrontal functional connectivity over time, across the various domains of emotion regulation, including implicit vs. explicit goals, and automatic vs. controlled processes.

4.2. Other regions

Strengthened inverse amygdala connectivity with several other regions was predictive of long-term outcomes. Left amygdala connectivity with the midcingulate and posterior cingulate cortex, precuneus, and calcarine sulcus corresponded to changes in follow-up symptoms in a similar direction. The extent to which these regions contribute to effective regulation is unknown. Individuals with social anxiety have been found to exhibit decreased amygdala-posterior cingulate/precuneus connectivity while viewing facial expressions (Hahn et al., 2011). Change in amygdala connectivity with visual regions, such as the precuneus and calcarine sulcus, may suggest altered emotional reactivity. Our findings suggest that normalization of this circuitry through treatment may relate to superior long-term outcomes. Functioning of this circuitry as well as other important processes beyond emotion regulation should be further investigated, especially as it relates to sustained long-term treatment gains.

4.3. Strengthened positive connectivity

Positive change in amygdala connectivity predicted poorer long-term outcomes, such that left amygdala connectivity with the cerebellum, lingual gyrus, fusiform gyrus, and pre- and post-central gyri was related to less symptom improvement from 6 to 12-month follow-up.
The cerebellum, traditionally associated with motor coordination and behavior (Stein & Glickstein, 1992), has been theorized to play a role in emotion (Schutter & Van Honk, 2005). There is some evidence that individuals with social anxiety demonstrate cerebellum hypo-activation during passive viewing (Nakao et al., 2011) and reappraisal of social stimuli (Ziv, Goldin, Jazaieri, Hahn, & Gross, 2013) compared to healthy controls, although studies have not investigated functional connectivity with the amygdala. Further research is needed to clarify the role of cerebellar functioning as it relates to emotion regulation in anxiety disorders.

The lingual gyrus, involved in visual word processing, is also activated while verbalizing high-emotion words compared to neutral-emotion words (Isenberg et al., 1999). In the context of affect labeling, a strategy that down-regulates emotions by “putting feelings into words” (Lieberman et al., 2007), strengthened positive connectivity between the amygdala’s threat response and the lingual gyrus’ visual word representation may represent sensitization to emotion-related cues, thus conferring poorer long term outcomes.

The fusiform gyrus plays a central role in visual face perception (McCarthy, Puce, Gore, & Allison, 1997), and has been shown in a meta-analyses to be hyper-reactive to negative emotional stimuli in social anxiety disorder (Briuhl, Delisignore, et al., 2014; Etkin & Wager, 2007). Compared to healthy controls, patients with social anxiety disorder have demonstrated greater fusiform gyrus activation in response to fearful faces, as well as greater fusiform-amygdala connectivity, which corresponded with greater social anxiety severity (Frick, Howne, Fischer, Kristiansspon, & Furmark, 2013). Our results are complementary, such as poorer outcomes at follow up (no change or worsening of symptoms) related to strengthened positive amygdala-fusiform connectivity, perhaps reflecting sensitization to aversive stimuli (faces) across treatment.

In the context of the emotional experience, the pre-central gyrus may relate to preparation of motor responses to affective material (Iuldee et al., 2017). Strengthened positive connectivity between the pre- and post-central gyri and amygdala across the course of treatment may reflect persistent physiological reactivity or motoric responding to emotional faces, in turn predicting poorer outcomes. However, we did not find changes in amygdala connectivity with the insula, which would be expected, given its implication in interoception and pain processing in anxiety disorders (Paulus & Stein, 2010). Future work should clarify the role of these regions during emotion regulation as it relates to social anxiety.

4.4. Limitations

One limitation of this study is the small sample size, in part due to missing clinical data at follow-up and excluded fMRI data due to motion, which prevented us from examining the effects of medication, additional psychotherapy, and comorbidities. As psychotropic medications, such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, have been shown to enhance prefrontal down-regulation of the amygdala in healthy controls (Sladky, Spies, et al., 2015) and in depressed patients (Heller et al., 2013; Maslowsky et al., 2010), medication effects are an important consideration for future research on emotion regulation. Furthermore, three patients in the current study (all in the ACT group) began a new psychotherapy between the 6 and 12-month follow-up, which may have contributed to continued symptom improvement. On the other hand, the lack of group differences in symptom change from 6 to 12-month follow-up suggests that additional psychotherapy did not have a significant impact. It is also important to note that the potential impact of booster phone calls between follow-up periods also cannot be ruled out as an explanation for symptom improvement. In addition, the effect of comorbidities on emotion regulation circuitry is also worthy of further examination, given evidence of differential neural activity during affect labeling depending on depression comorbidity status in SAD (Burklund et al., 2015).

Further, although our findings fit well within a framework of prefrontal down-regulation of amygdala, the functional connectivity analysis used in the present study cannot conclude the direction of communication between regions. Indeed, evidence from dynamic causal modeling has demonstrated bidirectional connectivity between the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex and ventral PFC during emotional processing (Sladky, Höflich, et al., 2015). Future work should use these approaches to investigate specific patterns of communication between regions that relate to clinically meaningful change.

Finally, as the waitlist control group did not complete follow-up assessments, we did not have the necessary temporal precedence in the control group as well as the treatment group to conduct a formal mediational model testing whether neural change was responsible for follow-up symptoms as an effect of treatment. While our results suggest that changes in emotion regulation circuitry may be a mechanism associated with lasting treatment response, future research should apply mediational analyses to examine this possibility.

5. Conclusion

Our results suggest that treatment-related changes in functional connectivity predict long-term therapeutic success for social anxiety disorder. Changes in amygdala connectivity with the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex and dorsal anterior cingulate through treatment may reflect strengthened emotion regulation, in turn promoting lasting therapeutic success. Conversely, changes in amygdala connectivity with sensorimotor and visual word/face processing areas may relate to sensitization to emotion-related cues, conferring poorer long-term outcomes. However, it should be noted that effects were found in a limited sample size and investigation of the replicability of these findings in a larger sample is warranted. Should the effects be found to generalize, these findings would have several clinical implications. For instance, training in cognitive bias modification might be a targeted way of achieving patterns of neural change that overlap with our findings (Wiers & Wiers, 2017). Further, this knowledge could be applied to treatment personalization by using patterns of neuroimaging to evaluate whether a treatment is working, and to guide how long (i.e., the number of therapy sessions) a patient needs to stay in treatment in order to achieve a sustained response. Knowledge of key neural circuitry may also help guide treatment for affective disorders with neurofeedback to promote efficient emotion regulation (Briuhl, Scherpert, et al., 2014; Johnston et al., 2011; Zotev, Phillips, Young, Drevets, & Bodurka, 2013). These clinical implications have the potential to improve the cost-effectiveness of treatments by enhancing the efficiency, potency, and longevity of psychotherapeutic interventions for anxiety disorders.
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