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Fight for the Future supports the Public Accommodations Facial Recognition Ban (S.7135/A.7625) and urges the legislature to pass, and the Governor to sign, this bill.

Fight for the Future is a national digital rights nonprofit with over 3 million members. In recent years we have engaged our supporters in campaigns calling for a ban on the use of facial recognition and other biometric surveillance technologies by law enforcement, government agencies, and private companies. FFTF leads the Ban Facial Recognition coalition of more than 40 racial justice and human rights organizations.

This bill prohibits places or providers of public accommodation from using biometrics to identify customers, whether going to the grocery store, buying clothes or school supplies for their kids, or picking up their prescriptions at the drug store. It also prohibits collection of New Yorkers’ biometric data without express written consent. This bill will protect New Yorkers’ privacy and prevent abusive and dangerous uses of racially discriminatory facial recognition in places of public accommodation.

Facial recognition is unreliable, discriminatory, and a threat to our everyday life. Facial recognition systems discriminate against BIPOC, Muslim, immigrant, and LGBTQ+ communities. Facial recognition is much more likely to misidentify women of color than middle-aged white men.1 Developers also frequently train biometric surveillance systems without including transgender and non-binary individuals, rendering their gender identity invisible to the algorithm, and making them susceptible to misidentification and exclusion.2

New Yorkers should not be forced to accept biometric surveillance as part of simple activities like buying groceries or taking their kids to a baseball game. Yet this is the reality across New York. Stores’ biased facial recognition systems will exclude Black and dark-skinned people from stores due to incredibly common mismatches. The technology often struggles to identify faces when people are
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wearing hats, seemingly an obvious issue for venues like Citi Field\(^3\) attempting to identify fans headed to a baseball game.\(^4\) Facial recognition means more police called on more BIPOC New Yorkers, more wrongful arrests, and even more police violence.

Billionaire business owners are already abusing this technology. Madison Square Garden’s James Dolan uses facial recognition to keep out employees of law firms suing MSG. This sort of biometric retaliation sets a chilling precedent for how the rich and powerful can silence their opponent and those helping them fight for their day in court. He even ejected a mother trying to watch the Rockettes show at Radio City Music Hall with her daughter's Girl Scouts troop, even though she never directly worked on any lawsuit against MSG.\(^5\)

Facial recognition expansion threatens undocumented immigrants simply because they enter a store. Immigrations and Customs Enforcement (ICE) already uses facial recognition regularly and has been documented using tactics like accessing utility records to locate individuals for detention and deportation.\(^6\) Stores actively partner with law enforcement to voluntarily share data about customers, and the same customer data can easily then be acquired by ICE if the agency simply submits a subpoena request. For example, ICE accessed millions of financial records from Western Union using administrative subpoenas.\(^7\) Even in so-called sanctuary jurisdictions, nothing in existing state law prevents these sorts of private-public partnerships on immigration enforcement. Allowing stores to deploy facial recognition on immigrants thus exposes them to potential harmful interactions with ICE.

Businesses using facial recognition also become lucrative targets for identity thieves and hackers,\(^8\) who can, and do, use biometric identifiers to break into computer systems.\(^9\) More dangerous than other personal identifiers like a social security number, biometric identifiers are static and are almost impossible to change.\(^10\) When a hacker acquires another person’s biometric data, it puts them at risk

---


for identity theft for the rest of their lives. The Federal Trade Commission has recognized how dangerous it is when stores use this technology irresponsibly, and it recently banned Rite Aid from using facial recognition largely because of the company’s unfair data security practices.

Banning facial recognition in places of public accommodation is necessary to ensure New Yorkers are able to access public spaces without fear of being tracked or wrongly excluded from daily life. We urge the legislature to pass, and the Governor to sign, the Public Accommodations Facial Recognition Ban (S.7135/A.7625).
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